MARCH 2024



FINAL REPORT TO THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, IMPLEMENTATION OF D.03-12-035



Stewardship Council

PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL

THE PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL

CONSERVING WATERSHED LANDS AND INVESTING IN OUR YOUTH

The Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council (Stewardship Council) is a private, non-profit foundation established in 2004 as part of a 2003 Settlement Agreement and Stipulation with Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) and the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC).

We have two goals: to ensure that over 140,000 acres of California's pristine watershed lands are conserved for the public good through our Land Conservation Program, and to invest in outdoor programs that serve California's young people through our Youth Investment Program.

Bringing a broad range of interests and expertise to the Stewardship Council, our Board of Directors is made up of leading conservation, natural resource management, business, and public officials.

The Stewardship Council is working collaboratively with the people of California on this historic effort. If you would like to learn more about working with us for the benefit of our watershed lands or our young people, please visit our Public Information Page.

Stewardship Council

The Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council
8863 Greenback Lane # 326
Orangevale, CA 95662

(916) 297-6660
info@stewardshipcouncil.org
stewardshipcouncil.org

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 7

1. INTRODUCTION 14
- Purpose of Final Report 14
- Background 14
- PG&E Watershed Lands 16

2. SETTLEMENT AND STIPULATION REQUIREMENTS 20
- Land Conservation Plan 20
- Conservation Easements and Donations 22
- Stewardship Council Recommendations for Land Conservation and Donation 22
- Progress Reporting 22
- Public Outreach and Meetings 23
- Economic Reporting 24
- Youth Investment Program 24
- Property Tax Neutrality 26

LAND CONSERVATION COMMITMENT

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE LAND CONSERVATION COMMITMENT 28
- FERC and Hydro Operating Considerations 30
- Federal Power Act Considerations 31
- Donation Barriers 32
- Other Constraints Making Fee Title Donation Inadvisable 33
- Fee Title Donations 33
- Donations to State Agencies 34
- Donations to Native American Entities 35
- Donations to the US Forest Service 38
- Donations to other Public Agencies 39
- PG&E Retained Lands 41
- Burney Gardens 41

4. PUBLIC OUTREACH SUMMARY 44
- General Public Outreach Program 44
- Public Comments and Responses During LCCP process 49
- Native American Outreach and Engagement Efforts 50

5. PROTECTION OF BENEFICIAL PUBLIC VALUES 58
- Fish, Plant, and Wildlife Habitat 58
- Open Space 60
- Sustainable Forestry 61
- Outdoor Recreation 63
- Preservation of Historic Values 64
- Agricultural Uses 66

6. AREAS EXCLUDED FROM THE LAND CONSERVATION COMMITMENT **70**
No Significant Public Interest Value 70
Public Outreach 72
Partial Interest Parcels 73
Carrizo Plain Land Exchange 73
Inaccessible Parcels 74
Bass Lake Parcel Transfers 74
Oroville Parcel 690 75
Lot Line Adjustments 75
7. ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM **77**
Endowments and Capacity Building for Conservation Easement Holders 77
Enhancement Project Grants 78
Program Initiation and Work Group 79
Enhancement Review Committee and Directed Grants 81
Capacity Building Grants 82
Program Re-Evaluation 82
2017 Competitive Grant Cycle 83
Sky Mountain Camp 84
McArthur Swamp 84
2020 Competitive Grant Cycle 85
Native American Support through Enhancement Program 86
PG&E Retained Land Enhancement Project Considerations 86
9. ECONOMIC AND PHYSICAL IMPACTS **88**
Economic Impacts 89
Physical Impacts 94
PG&E Environmental Agreement 98
10. CONSERVATION EASEMENT MONITORING **102**
11. SIERRA NEVADA CONSERVANCY FINAL REPORT UPDATE **105**


YOUTH INVESTMENT PROGRAM

12. OVERVIEW **107**
Background and Commission Decisions Guiding the Youth Investment Program 108
Youth Investment Program Implementation 109
Youth Investment Program Grants and Activities Benefitting Tribal Youth 115
Youth Investment Program Funding Recap 115

EXHIBITS **116**

EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT 1: LCCP TRANSACTIONS REPORT 117
EXHIBIT 2: LCCP PROCESS 120
EXHIBIT 3: PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING LCCP PROCESS 140
EXHIBIT 4: TAX NEUTRALITY TABLE 158
EXHIBIT 5: FEE TITLE DONATION RECOMMENDATIONS 160
EXHIBIT 6: BPV TABLE BY TRANSACTION 163
EXHIBIT 7: BUILDING ENVELOPE MATRIX 165
EXHIBIT 8: NSPIV FINDINGS TABLE 167
EXHIBIT 9: ENDOWMENT GRANTS 169
EXHIBIT 10: ENHANCEMENT GRANTS 172
EXHIBIT 11: YOUTH INVESTMENT PROGRAM GRANTS 173
EXHIBIT 12: JUSTICE OUTSIDE MAJOR GRANT AGREEMENT FINAL REPORT 215
EXHIBIT 13: SCOPE OF WORK FOR SNC REPORT TO CPUC 274
EXHIBIT 14: CONSERVATION EASEMENT MONITORING 277
EXHIBIT 15: CPUC DISSOLUTION RESOLUTION 283
EXHIBIT 16: ACTION TO DISSOLVE 293
EXHIBIT 17: PARCEL LEVEL DISPOSITION TABLE 313



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Overlooking Humbug Valley in Plumas County

The Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council (Stewardship Council) has completed its mission to preserve in perpetuity 140,000 acres of PG&E's Watershed Lands for beneficial public values (BPVs) and to implement a Youth Investment Program to connect underserved youth with the outdoors. The Stewardship Council made formal recommendations for new owners and/or conservation easement or covenant holders that would best steward the land and ensure public access along with the other BPVs are protected. Investments in enhancement projects resulted in new recreation facilities, trails, and improved habitat. The largest investment went into the purchase and required facility upgrades for the Sky Mountain Outdoor Education Center which students, young people and educators associated with the San Joaquin County Office of Education now utilize year-round.

There is a new level of connection between the land and communities in the vicinity of the Watershed Lands. Years of community outreach, significant investment in local land trusts for monitoring and stewardship, capacity building for land trusts and fee title donees, enhancement projects, and youth activities have all combined to help build a conservation minded community throughout the Watershed Lands.

The contribution this has provided to the local conservation community is immense. From a small land trust's perspective, this has allowed us to increase capacity which has led to additional acres protected. In addition, we have had the opportunity to work with new partners that have strengthened our understanding of community partnerships, while also increasing our overall stewardship fund that will allow for greater organizational capacity down the road."

Paul Vienneau, Shasta Land Trust Executive Director

The PG&E Watershed Lands are just one piece of the puzzle of properties these communites hope to conserve, but the large scale of the Stewardship Council projects helped build conservation capacity and momentum in these areas.

PG&E donated a substantial portion of the properties that were not required for hydroelectric energy production to new stewards of the land. Three Native American organizations have benefited from the return of over 8,000 acres of their ancestral homeland. The Potter Valley Tribe, Pit River Tribe, and the Maidu Summit Consortium all secured land donations

and will use the properties to restore their land and culture, as well as invite community members to participate in cultural education and activities. These tribal members are demonstrating how they sustainably tended the forests and meadows with Traditional Ecological Knowledge, before they were driven from their homelands. The return of these lands is a small but significant step in facilitating the tribes spiritual and cultural practices that early Californians attempted to destroy.



Bear River, BYLT

"This land ownership represents our time to honor our ancestors and carry our traditions forward through our children and grandchildren's children."

Ben Cunningham, Maidu Summit Consortium elder

Investments in underserved youth created far reaching and enduring impacts, fostering a love of nature and interest in environmental stewardship, and creating opportunities in the outdoors for personal growth and leadership development. Over 260,000 youth living in the PG&E service territory were able to participate in outdoor programs, through grants to community-based programs, and programs like Outdoor Educators Institute and Rising Leaders Fellowship. Seed funding from the Stewardship Council led to the creation of Justice Outside, a nonprofit that has become self- sustaining and will continue for the foreseeable future to connect underserved youth to the outdoors and to advance racial justice and equity in the outdoor and environmental movement.

In the forests, new opportunities for research and demonstration projects are guiding statewide efforts to increase wildfire resilience and sustainable forestry practices. CAL FIRE has six new Demonstration State Forests at different elevations and latitudes that fill the gaps in California forest types they need to study. Basic and applied forest research is urgently needed to track, understand and adapt to climate change with actions that promote forest health, reduce the risk of stand-replacing wildfires, avoid or minimize adverse impacts, and take advantage of potential beneficial effects where possible. The UC Berkeley Forest donations created two new research forests where long term monitoring plots have been established for stand improvement and fire resilient thinning methods are being tested.



Humbug Valley, Maidu Summitt Consortium celebration (land return) 2019

Rural economies received investments from the Stewardship Council through Enhancement projects and land protections that draw recreational users and increase sustainability of productive lands. A substantial investment of $1.6 million in the agricultural area of McArthur Swamp is demonstrating how properly managed grazing can be symbiotic with wildlife habitat in the most productive waterfowl area of Shasta County. New forest owners of timberlands are focused on sustainable harvests and ensuring forests do not build up excess fuel loads. New trail systems at Bucks Lake, Fall River Lake, Hat Creek, and Manzanita Lake are attracting recreational users that help support nearby towns that have struggled since lumber mills have been shuttered. Local economies will continue to benefit from the continued recreational uses of the Watershed Lands that are now protected in perpetuity by conservation easements.

Finally, the land itself is now protected –140,000 acres now have perpetual conservation easements or conservation covenants (for US Forest Service donations), and many of the properties are keystone lands that fill in gaps in the protected landscape, allowing for wildlife migration and continuous open space.

This puzzle piece contributes to goals established at both the state and national levels to conserve 30 percent of our land and ocean areas by 2030 to combat climate change and declines in global biodiversity. 140,000 acres protected with perpetual conservation easements and covenants is a big step from this single program.

Californians can be proud that our state leadership took initiative to turn PG&E's bankruptcy into an opportunity to conserve the Watershed Lands and invest in rural communities, Native American tribes and young Californians. Eighteen years later, through partnerships requiring creative problem solving, consensus and commitment, the Stewardship Council has accomplished that vision. We have preserved 140,000 acres of natural and forested lands, filling in the mosaic of our mountain landscapes with corridors for wildlife, protected watersheds and places to enjoy nature. We celebrate permanent public access to some of the best places for hiking, boating, fishing, camping, birdwatching and nature study. And we celebrate the ongoing accomplishments of Justice Outside, born from funding provided by the Stewardship Council, that has led to hundreds of young Californians gaining leadership skills and appreciation for the lands of our great state.

As the Stewardship Council completes its work, this final report documents and provides context to our accomplishments – and a roadmap and case-study from which others can learn.

$100 MILLION PG&E FUNDING




$70 MILLION
LAND CONSERVATION




$30 MILLION
YOUTH INVESTMENT

LAND CONSERVATION BREAKDOWN



($17.5M Earned Interest)


BENEFICIAL PUBLIC VALUES
Open Space Preservation
Historic Values
Sustainable Forestry
Habitat Protection
Agricultural Uses
Outdoor Recreation

1. INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE OF FINAL REPORT

This Land Conservation Program Final Report documents the Stewardship Council's fulfillment of the requirements and expectations set forth in the Settlement[1] and Stipulation[2] to oversee PG&E's Land Conservation Commitment (LCC), carry out environmental enhancements, and invest in efforts to improve the lives of young Californians through connections with the outdoors.

BACKGROUND

The Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council (Stewardship Council) is a private, nonprofit foundation established in 2004 pursuant to a Settlement Agreement and a Stipulation Resolving Issues Regarding the Land Conservation Commitment approved by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) in Decision 03-12-035. The Stewardship Council Board of Directors includes appointees from state and federal agencies, water districts, Native American and rural interests, forest and farm industry groups, conservation organizations, the CPUC, and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E). The Stewardship Council was tasked with two main goals: (1) developing and implementing a Land Conservation Plan (LCP) for protection of the PG&E Watershed Lands (Watershed Lands) for the benefit of the citizens of California which includes, among other things, objectives to preserve and/or enhance the beneficial public values (BPVs) identified on each parcel of the Watershed Lands, and (2) investing in outdoor programs that serve young people residing in the PG&E service area through the Youth Investment Program. The Stewardship Council was funded with $100 million in ratepayer funds collected from PG&E and provided $70 million dedicated to the Land Conservation Program and $30 million dedicated to the Youth Investment Program.

The Stewardship Council elected to prepare the LCP in a series of three volumes:

- Volume I: The Land Conservation Framework established the overall framework for the LCP, including legal requirements, the

1 Opinion Modifying the Proposed Settlement Agreement of Pacific Gas & Electric Company, PG&E Corporation and the Commission Staff, and Approving the Modified Settlement Agreement California Public Utilities Commission Decision 03-12-035

2 Stipulation Resolving Issues Regarding the Land Conservation Commitment California Public Utilities Commission Proceeding I. 02-04-026

planning process, methodologies, public involvement, and relevant regulatory processes.

- Volume II: Planning Unit Concepts documented existing conditions and presented management objectives, potential measures, and conceptual plans to preserve or enhance the beneficial public values (BPVs) within each watershed and planning unit.
- Volume III: "Disposition Packages" or Land Conservation and Conveyance Plans (LCCPs) encompassed a series of real estate transaction packages that detailed the specific land conservation and/or disposition requirements. Each disposition package proposed either donation of the property with a conservation easement, donation to the US Forest Service with a conservation covenant, or a conservation easement on properties retained by PG&E.

The planning process for the development of the LCP required extensive research and assessment to develop appropriate recommendations to enhance the BPVs on the Watershed Lands. Since these lands encompass approximately 1,000 parcels in 22 counties, the Stewardship Council began by grouping the properties into 11 overall watersheds. The watersheds were further divided into 47 distinct planning units based on geography and the characteristics of the lands.[3] You will find the earlier land planning processes laid out in Volume 1. This report focuses on the timeframe from 2007 –2023 and describes process steps, outcomes, and rationale for decisions the Stewardship Council made.

During this timeframe, state level policy directives that came into effect and added some context and support for the Land Conservation Program work the Stewardship Council was leading included:

- Governor Newsom signed executive order N-82-20 on October 7, 2020 to conserve 30 percent of California's land and coastal water by 2030 to combat climate change and declines in global biodiversity. The LCP contributes to these goals by preserving the 140,000 acres of Watershed Lands with perpetual conservation easements and covenants.
- The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) adopted the Tribal Land Transfer Policy that requires utilities offer tribes a first right of refusal to purchase any real property it is selling within the tribe's ancestral territory, restoring historical, spiritual, and other significant land to the tribes. While the PG&E Watershed

3 LCP Volume I ES-4

Lands were exempted from the policy, the Stewardship Council made focused efforts to donate lands to Native American entities through its tenure and successfully transferred over 8,000 acres to the Potter Valley Tribe, Maidu Summit Consortium, and Pit River Tribe. The CPUC policy built on existing statute and two executive orders: Governor Brown's Executive Order B-10-11 and Governor Newsom's Executive Order N-15-19 that recognized California's history "fraught with violence, exploitation, dispossession, and the attempted destruction of tribal communities."

- The Legislature enacted AB 782 in 2019 which clarified that CEQA review is not required before a public agency transfers an interest in property, provided the purpose of the transfer is to conserve the land for habitat, open space, agricultural, or historic preservation, among other purposes. Since the LCC transactions were for conservation purposes, this confirmed that transactions were not subject to CEQA review.
- In January 2021, President Biden issued an Executive Order on Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad where he established a national goal to conserve at least 30 percent of U.S. lands and freshwater and 30 percent of U.S. Ocean areas by 2030, in an initiative commonly referred to as 30x30. This initiative seeks to reverse the negative impacts of biodiversity decline and climate change by protecting more natural areas, and to increase access to nature for communities that lack it.

PG&E WATERSHED LANDS

The Watershed Lands cover 140,000 acres primarily located in the Sierra Nevada and Cascade Mountain range watersheds and are distributed widely along major river corridors that feed the hydroelectric systems. The hydroelectric system is comprised of more than 100 reservoirs, numerous powerhouses and various other facilities located across the PG&E service territory. These facilities are regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) as well as the CPUC.

The Watershed Lands are critically important because half of Northern California's water passes through them, flowing in Wild and Scenic rivers, reservoirs for storing and moving water, and riverine and riparian habitats critical for diversity. Largely acquired in the early 1900's, the properties harbor historic resources and buildings with architectural interest. As private lands that offer public resources, they are available for public access and recreation to a population looking for connections to place and nature. The properties protect water quality, provide ecosystem services, and increase the natural capital of the state.

FIGURE 1 WATERSHED LANDS MAP



Many of the properties are keystone lands that fill in gaps in the protected landscape, allowing for greater benefits for both nature and people. Continuously protected large landscapes create migration corridors suitable for large mammals and predators and provide opportunities for long distance trails with a wilderness feel. Paul Vienneau, Executive Director of the Shasta Land Trust, speaks to one example, "in the area of Fall River Mills and the Hat Creek area, many of the Watershed Lands connect directly with already protected properties. This provides for conservation continuity which allows for larger habitat protection and a more significant environmental impact. The importance of connecting these puzzle pieces together cannot be understated."

Conservation of the Watershed Lands helps address key challenges facing the Sierra Cascade region which is uniquely fragmented with a checkerboard of public vs. private land ownership, inconsistent land management policies and land uses, water conveyance canals and roads. According to the Sierra Cascade Land Trust Council, "This type of fragmentation is a major stressor reducing landscape resilience to threats like climate change. Today, rather than urban development, the most ominous conversion risks are the devastating impacts of climate change, catastrophic wildfires, blighted forests, and threatened water resources. There are unaddressed impacts from past resource-extraction industries that further challenge landscape resilience. At the same time, our region has been nearly "loved to death" by visitors and by those who seek vital resources for downstream communities and agriculture. Our prosperous state economy and high quality of life will deteriorate if we don't address these threats. We must foster resilience, restore to health and permanently protect this landscape.[4]"

The Watershed Lands forests are also critical to address the challenges of climate change and wildfire. They supply new opportunities for research and demonstration projects that guide statewide efforts to increase wildfire resilience and sustainable forestry practices. CAL FIRE has six new Demonstration State Forests at different elevations and latitudes that fill the gaps in California forest types they need to study. Basic and applied forest research is urgently needed to track, understand and adapt to climate change with actions that promote forest health, reduce the risk of stand-replacing wildfires, avoid or minimize adverse impacts, and take advantage of potential beneficial effects where possible. The UC Berkeley Forest donations created two new research forests where long term monitoring plots have been established for stand improvement and fire resilient thinning methods are being tested.

4 https://www.sierracascadelandtrustcouncil.org/about/strategicvision/



Lyons Reservoir, Tuolumne County

2. SETTLEMENT AND STIPULATION REQUIREMENTS

The Stewardship Council was formed in accordance with the Settlement and Stipulation to oversee and carry out the PG&E LCC for the benefit of the citizens of California. The LCC Statement of Purpose states, "PG&E shall ensure that the Watershed Lands it owns and Carizzo Plains are conserved for a broad range of beneficial public values, including the protection of the natural habitat of fish, wildlife and plants, the preservation of open space, outdoor recreation by the general public, sustainable forestry, agricultural uses, and historic values. PG&E will protect these beneficial public values associated with the Watershed Lands and Carizzo Plains from uses that would conflict with their conservation. PG&E recognizes that such lands are important to maintaining the quality of life of local communities and all the people of California in many ways, and it is PG&E's intention to protect and preserve the beneficial public values of these lands under the terms of any agreements concerning their future ownership or management." [5] Fulfillment of the protection of the individual BPVs is further described below by the section titled *Beneficial Public Value Overviews* in Chapter 5.

In the context of the purpose above, the specific requirements laid out in the Settlement and Stipulation were:

LAND CONSERVATION PLAN

The Settlement states "PG&E Environmental Enhancement Corporation will develop plan for the protection of these lands for the benefit of the citizens of California." [6]

The Stewardship Council produced Volumes I and II of the LCP in 2007 and Volume III in 2023. The Stipulation delineated a planning and assessment process that examined all of the subject lands in the context of their watershed and county, which the Stewardship Council addressed in each Land Conservation and Conveyance Plan included in Volume III. Table 1 displays these requirements, and each requirement was addressed by a specific chapter in the relevant LCCPs. Ultimately 95 LCCPs were approved by the board and submitted with transactions for CPUC approval.

5 Settlement D. 03-12-035 Appendix E p.38

6 Ibid

TABLE 1 STIPULATION 12(A) REQUIREMENTS
(1) Acreage, Existing Economic Uses and Agreements "Reasonably exact estimates of acreage, by parcel, within or outside licensed project boundaries, and existing economic uses (including all related agreements);"
(2) Objectives to Preserve and/or Enhance "Objectives to preserve and/or enhance the BPVs, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, Appendix E, of each individual parcel;"
(3) Recommendations for Conservation Easement and Fee Simple Donation "A recommendation for grant of a conservation easement or fee simple donation for each such parcel;"
(4) Finding of Donee Funding and Other Capacity to Maintain Lands to Preserve and/or Enhance BPVs "A finding that the intended donee of such easement or fee simple has the funding and other capacity to maintain that property interest so as to preserve and/or enhance the BPVs thereof;"
(5) Analysis of Tax and Other Economic and Physical Impacts "An analysis of tax and other economic and physical impacts of such disposition strategy, and a commitment by an appropriate entity to provide property tax revenue, other equivalent revenue source, or a lump sum payment, so that the totality of dispositions in each affected county under the LCC will be 'tax neutral' for that county;"
(6) Hazardous Waste Disclosure "A disclosure of all known hazardous waste or substance contamination or other such environmental liabilities associated with each parcel;"
(7) Consideration of Parcel Split "Appropriate consideration whether to split any parcel which is partly used or useful for operation of PG&E's and/or a co-licensee's hydroelectric facilities, where the beneficial public values of the unused part may be enhanced by such split, provided that it is consistent with Section 12(b)(4) of this Stipulation and that, in the event that governmental approval of a parcel split imposes conditions or restrictions on other PG&E property, the decision to accept or reject such conditions will be at PG&E's sole discretion;"
(8) Strategy for Physical Measures to Enhance BPVs "A strategy to undertake appropriate physical measures to enhance the BPVs of individual parcels; provided that no such measure will be in conflict with the provisions of Settlement Agreement paragraph 17(c) and Appendix E paragraph 1;"
(9) Monitoring Plan for the Economic and Physical Impacts of Disposition and Implementation of Enhancement Measures "A plan to monitor the economic and physical impacts of disposition and implementation of enhancement measures on the applicable management objectives; "
(10) Implementation Schedule for Transactions and Measures "A schedule for the implementing transactions and measures."

Parcels that were excluded from the LCP protections and not addressed in LCCPs are described in Chapter 6.

CONSERVATION EASEMENTS AND DONATIONS

The LCC states, "The Watershed Lands and Carizzo Plains shall (1) be subject to permanent conservation easements restricting development of the lands so as to protect and preserve their beneficial public values, and/or (2) be donated in fee simple to one or more public entities or qualified non-profit conservation organizations, whose ownership will ensure the protection of these beneficial public values. "[7]

All parcels included in the LCP except the areas discussed in Chapter 6 were permanently protected through a conservation easement or, in the case of a donation to the US Forest Service, with a conservation covenant. On lands that PG&E retained (retained land) and land donated to all entities except for the US Forest Service, the properties were encumbered with a conservation easement to be held by a qualified conservation easement holder, primarily local land trusts, as detailed in Chapter 3. PG&E also donated 38,405 acres of the Watershed Lands as detailed in Chapter 3.

STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR LAND CONSERVATION AND DONATION

The LCC states the "PG&E Environmental Enhancement Corporation will recommend to PG&E (1) conservation objectives for the properties, including identification of conservation values, (2) criteria for ultimate disposition of the properties, (3) conservation easements guidelines, and (4) land disposition." [8] The PG&E Environmental Enhancement Corporation was renamed as the Stewardship Council in the Stipulation.[9]

This requirement was fulfilled when each LCCP was approved by the Stewardship Council board of directors and transmitted to PG&E. A table displaying each transaction with board approval date and closing date is included as Exhibit 1. The process followed for selecting donees and developing transactions is detailed in Exhibit 2.

PROGRESS REPORTING

The Settlement states "PG&E Environmental Enhancement Corporation will prepare a report to the Commission within 18 months of the Effective Date describing the status of the conservation easement and land

7 Settlement D. 03-12-035 Appendix E p.38

8 Settlement D. 03-12-035 Appendix E p.39

9 Stipulation p.4-5

disposition plan. PG&E Environmental Enhancement Corporation will make the report available to the public upon request. Every two years following the first report, PG&E Environmental Enhancement Corporation will prepare a report to the Commission on the implementation of the conservation easement and land disposition plan."[10] The Stipulation further requires the "Stewardship Council will provide semi-annual progress reports to the Commission, beginning on the last day of the sixth month after the Effective Date, until PG&E submits its final application under Public Utilities Code section 851. Thereafter, the Stewardship Council will report to the Commission every twelve months. Each report will state: (1) the actual expenditures and progress achieved towards the stated purpose of the LCC; (2) unresolved disputes within the Governing Board; and (3) anticipated expenditures and actions during the next reporting period. The initial report will include the Stewardship Council's by-laws and other governing documents."[11]

This requirement was fulfilled by the Stewardship Council Biannual Reports filed with the CPUC, which are accessed on the Stewardship Council website.

PUBLIC OUTREACH AND MEETINGS

The Stipulation requires "...meetings of the Governing Board, including meeting minutes, will be public, except that the Governing Board will have the ordinary authority to undertake a closed session in appropriate circumstances. The Stewardship Council will publish notice of its meeting in newspapers of general circulation in the counties where affected parcels are located and will maintain a public web site. The Stewardship Council, upon request, will make web site information available in hard copy to members of the public. Before making a decision regarding the disposition of any individual parcel, the Stewardship Council will provide notice to the Board of Supervisors of the affected county, each affected city, town, and water supply entity, each affected Tribe and/or colicensee, and each landowner located within one mile of the exterior boundary of the parcel, by mail or other effective manner."[12]

The extensive public outreach program the Stewardship Council instituted is described in Appendix A of each LCCP and in Chapter 4. Minutes, public meeting notes, and LCCPs are all on the website. A further description of

10 Ibid

11 Stipulation I. 02-04-026 p.12

12 Ibid, p. 7

public comments received during the LCCP process is included in Exhibit 3 of this report.

ECONOMIC REPORTING

The Stipulation requires "a plan to monitor the economic and physical impacts of disposition and implementation of enhancement measures on the applicable management objectives." [13]

The Stewardship Council included a plan to monitor the economic and physical impacts in each LCCP and is providing a report on the current findings in Chapter 10 of this report. This report will be further updated by the Sierra Nevada Conservancy in their SNC Final Report described in Chapter 12 of this report.

YOUTH INVESTMENT PROGRAM

The Settlement directed PG&E to provide $30 million in ratepayer funding to be expended for the following purposes: "to provide a wilderness experience for urban youth, especially disadvantaged urban youth, and to acquire and maintain urban parks and recreation areas."

The Decision directed that the acquisition of parks and recreation areas be: "focused on creating an environment that will particularly serve the needs of urban low-income youth" and stated "[o]f the $30 million … approximately 1/3 would be used to provide seed money that would establish a permanent program for young people who are least likely to enjoy the wonder of California's natural beauty" and that "[t]he 2/3 balance of the $30 million would be used to acquire urban parks and recreation areas for inner city youth."

In 2012, at the request of the Greenlining Institute and the Stewardship Council, Decision 03-12-035 was modified to better reflect the Council's grant making experience and best practices. The 2012 CPUC Decision ordered pages 66-67 of Decision 03-12-035 be modified by replacing the paragraph that starts with "In order to ensure" and inserting the following:

"In order to ensure that environmental benefits of a substantial nature are realized by PG&E's urban ratepayers, our modified Settlement Agreement will augment the $70 million devoted to environment activities by $30 million. These additional funds shall be expended to provide greater resources to connect children, youth, and young adults in the PG&E service territory to nature, parks, open spaces, and the outdoors, and will particularly serve the needs of underserved youth. Of the $30 million, to be expended in installments over 10 years or more, we will expect that a

13 Ibid, p. 8-9

reasonable portion of the funds, as approved by the Stewardship Council board, would be used to provide seed money that would establish a permanent program for young people who are least likely to enjoy the wonder of California's natural beauty."

The Stewardship Council has accomplished the youth investment element of its mission through the following actions and activities:

- Award of 270 grants to youth serving organizations connecting over 260,000 youth living in the PG&E service territory to the outdoors, including targeted grants to connect youth to PG&E Watershed Lands
- Creation and funding of the Outdoor Educators Institute, which supports young adults interested in pursuing a career in outdoor education, facilitation, and leadership through an immersive training program
- Creation and funding of the Rising Leaders Fellowship, which supports the continued career development of individuals in entry- to mid-level positions within the outdoor/environmental/ environmental justice sector
- Funded the $5 million acquisition and improvements to Sky Mountain Outdoor Education Center, which San Joaquin County Office of Education uses for science camp and other youth gatherings
- Convening of youth program providers to assist them to make their programs and operations more culturally relevant and inclusive
- Creation of a youth apprenticeship program at land trusts holding conservation easements over PG&E Watershed Lands
- Creation and funding of a non-profit (Justice Outside) that has established effective programs to provide grants to programs to connect underserved youth to the outdoors and to advance racial justice and equity in the outdoor and environmental movement

Further details on the Youth Investment Program are provided in Chapter 9.

PROPERTY TAX NEUTRALITY

The Settlement Agreement and Stipulation that established the LCC require that the LCP being developed by the Stewardship Council provide property tax revenue so that the totality of dispositions in each affected county will be "tax neutral" for each county.[14]


Since many of the potential fee donees expressed concern about their ability to make in lieu payments to counties for lost property taxes, the Stewardship Council agreed to use its funding to make in lieu property tax payments. The Stewardship Council developed a Property Tax Neutrality Methodology in 2012 to make payments and later adopted a lump-sum payment as the sole and standard payment process when lands are transferred. In fulfillment of the Tax Neutrality obligation, counties received a one-time lump sum payment in satisfaction of property tax neutrality after close of escrow for each land donation. The lump-sum payment was calculated using a discounted cash flow analysis for perpetual payment streams, otherwise known as a Capitalization Rate (Cap Rate) detailed in the Property Tax Neutrality Methodology section.

On August 14, 2014, the California Public Utilities Commission approved Resolution E-4644. The resolution states that the Commission endorses the Guidelines Regarding Satisfaction of Tax Neutrality and the Property Tax Neutrality Methodology adopted by the Stewardship Council.

The methodology was revised on June 24, 2015, and January 21, 2016 to establish a standard payment process when lands are transferred to organizations that are exempt from paying property taxes.

As of November 15, 2017, the Stewardship Council board approved further revisions to the property tax neutrality methodology. The revisions established that the County would receive a one-time lump sum payment allocated based upon the applicable Tax Rate Area at the time of the payment. Counties and special districts would then be free to determine the best use of the funds pursuant to the needs of the county or special district, including, if desired, investment in a shared investment pool of the county's choosing. A table showing Tax Neutrality payments to each county by transaction is attached as Exhibit 4.

14 Stipulation I. 02-04-026



Humbug Valley in Plumas County

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE LAND CONSERVATION COMMITMENT

The Stewardship Council was tasked with determining how best to protect and enhance the Beneficial Public Values of the Watershed Lands. The Stewardship Council developed and followed a detailed process for determining fee title donees and conservation easement holders that is fully described in Exhibit 2. This chapter focuses on the outcomes of that process and explains what Watershed Lands PG&E was able to donate and preserve through the process.

The Settlement Agreement envisioned PG&E granting conservation easements and donating land would "go much further than simply maintaining the status quo – the people of California can look to a partnership of the environmental community, state and local governments, and environmental stewardship organizations to preserve the lands and improve public access where desirable. The proposed corporation and its governing board established in the PSA will ensure that PG&E complies with the requirement to donate the lands or grant conservation easements and will provide significant public (and Commission) oversight and participation into improvements made to the lands and the lands' ultimate disposition."[15]

The Settlement Agreement and Stipulation allowed PG&E discretion to retain fee title interests to Watershed Lands that contain hydroelectric project features, or that are necessary for current and/or future operations.[16] The Stewardship Council worked closely with PG&E to develop a representative list of the types of operational uses that existed on the Watershed lands. The Settlement stated that of the Watershed Lands, approximately 95,000 acres are lands that are either included in the project boundaries, contain essential project elements related to the operations of the hydro facilities, or are part of legal parcels that contain major FERC project facilities.[17] PG&E asserted that the majority of the lands that support these hydro uses continue to be owned in fee title by PG&E in the future and protected with a conservation easement (or other

15 Settlement D. 03-12-035 p.62

16 Ibid Appendix E p. 38

17 Ibid Appendix A p. 23

appropriate legal mechanism).

PG&E projects on the Watershed Lands are licensed by FERC. Each such license requires the licensee to undertake appropriate measures on behalf of both developmental and environmental public interest uses of a waterway, including as relevant fish and wildlife protection and enhancement, irrigation, flood control, water supply, and recreation, together with whatever other beneficial public uses the license identifies as a "Project Purpose." The license requires the licensee to acquire and retain all interests in non-federal lands and other property necessary or appropriate to carry out the Project Purposes. As explained in Volume I of the LCP, the lands recommended by PG&E for continued ownership essentially fall into two broad categories:

- Lands within FERC project boundaries (Nearly 40 percent of the Watershed Lands); and
- Lands outside FERC Project boundaries, in circumstances where the lands either contain features necessary for operations and maintenance of facilities, or are necessary to support other ongoing operational uses by PG&E. It was thought that outside of the FERC boundaries, PG&E would likely retain an additional 10 percent of the Watershed Lands for these needs, leaving about half of the total acreage of lands available for donation.[18]

At the encouragement of the Stewardship Council, PG&E preliminarily designated as available for donation approximately 70,000 out of 140,000 acres of PG&E watershed lands. This was substantially more acreage than the 44,000 acres that PG&E anticipated would be made available for donation at the time it entered into the Settlement Agreement. The 44,000 acres were the whole legal parcels completely outside the FERC project boundaries that did not contain FERC project features.[19]

Once the LCCP Process described in Exhibit 2 was initiated, there was a significant reduction in donations finally recommended and approximately 30,000 of the acres that were originally made available for donation by PG&E were not donated through the LCC processes due to the reasons outlined below. A table showing all recommended donations and the resulting acreages associated with each is provided in Exhibit 5 – Fee Title Donation Recommendations.

18 LCP Volume I p. 4-1

19 Land Donations Amount of Acreage Being Donated talking points p.1

FERC AND HYDRO OPERATING CONSIDERATIONS

Based upon a more detailed on-the-ground evaluation, PG&E determined that some of the lands preliminarily designated as available for donation were necessary for PG&E's hydro power operations and therefore were retained by PG&E. In addition to the Watershed Lands within FERC boundaries that are subject to approval and inadvisable for donation, PG&E also determined that it should retain additional properties for the following purposes:

- Connected waterways – waterways outside of FERC Boundaries provide migration corridors for fish and conveyance for contracted water delivery obligations PG&E has to downstream users. In many cases these waterways were retained by PG&E to ensure continued control of the resource and management regime. Examples include Hat Creek, Pit River, North Fork Mokelumne River, Battle Creek, etc...

- Buffers – In many cases PG&E added sizable buffers to waterways and roadways to allow for future FERC boundary realignments, natural stream course variation, erosion control, and access for management activities. The setbacks were unique to each area and were determined case by case.

- Areas of management concern – In some rare cases, PG&E retained areas where operations staff had concerns that hydro operations could be impacted by a donation. In the Bear River area, ground instability where future uses would require vehicle access led to retention of portions of the property where access roads crossed to reach powerhouses and the river corridor. In the Battle Creek planning unit, the state could not accept the Lassen Trout Farm lease or a shooting area, so those areas were removed from the recommended donation.

- FERC Requirements - In some places PG&E had environmental requirements as part of the FERC operating licenses that influenced the size and setbacks of donation area. For example, PG&E maintains wetlands in the Bear River area, and in other areas is required to protect endangered plant species. Additionally, PG&E created a 500-acre wetland restoration at McArthur Swamp Wetland Habitat Improvement Project. Other FERC license requirements were anticipated in future licenses and resulted in additional retained areas that would be required outside of the FERC boundary. For example, at Lake Valley Reservoir, PG&E retained an area on the east side of the reservoir that is designated for a future campground location as a FERC requirement.

In sum, these additional retention areas reduced the size of some of the donation areas.

FEDERAL POWER ACT CONSIDERATIONS

Donation of specific parcels to the US Forest Service (USFS) or the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) were not possible due to the requirements of Section 12(b)(4) of the Stipulation pertaining to the Federal Power Act.[20] Subsequent to the Stewardship Council making fee title recommendations in favor of the BLM and USFS, conflicts were found between terms in the Stipulation and requirements of the Federal Power Act. USFS had been recommended for 2,855 acres and had interest in 15,078 additional acres and BLM had been recommended for 3,537 acres and had interest in 18,210 additional acres.

PG&E's hydroelectric projects operate under licenses issued by FERC. If any portion of a FERC project is located on federal lands, Section 4(e) of the Federal Power Act allows the federal agency to require conditions to be imposed on the FERC license to protect public lands affected by the hydropower project. PG&E was concerned that compliance with these license conditions could be very costly to the utility and its ratepayers, and PG&E indicated that this was the specific reason for the inclusion of language in the Stipulation to prevent the LCC from imposing new Section 4(e) conditions. Following negotiations, the Stewardship Council determined that any land transaction that expands an agency's ability to impose conditions on PG&E's FERC licenses would be inconsistent with the Stipulation. In PG&E's view, allowing a federal agency to acquire PG&E land in an area where the agency already exercises 4(e) authority would be objectionable because as a result of that transaction, the agency could impose conditions requiring PG&E to undertake potentially costly measures on the newly donated lands. PG&E and the Stewardship Council explored possible solutions including federal agencies waiving their 4(e) authority and reversionary property rights for PG&E but neither were found to be feasible.

In the end, Stewardship Council staff focused on identifying "low risk" lands on a case-by-case basis for donation where PG&E could agree that there was little or no risk of additional FERC license conditions being imposed, even if the land were to go to a federal agency.[21]

In addition, there were conflicts between the USFS and PG&E regarding PG&E retention of riparian water rights on parcels to be donated. The Forest Service said it was unwilling to accept a deed where all the riparian

20 Stipulation I. 02-04-026 p.10

21 Federal Power Act Memo p. 3

water rights are severed and retained by PG&E as the requirement conflicts with its mandate for management of the property. Without water rights, the Forest Service could not manage the land for the Beneficial Public Values they are agreeing to protect, manage, and enhance in the conservation covenant (fish/wildlife habitat, outdoor recreation, sustainable forest management, grazing) or for firefighting or protection of current economic activities.[22]

As a result of these issues and identification of low-risk lands available for donation, BLM was only eligible to receive 42 acres and abandoned its interest in fee title donation of any acreage. USFS donations were scaled back to ten transactions totaling 4,529 acres with conservation covenants.

In addition to the ten donations that were made to the USFS there was potential for additional donations at Kings River and Lake Spaulding planning units. An old refuse dump site was discovered on the Kings River planning unit that the USFS was unwilling to accept and so withdrew from the recommended donation. The recommended donation area at Lake Spaulding (Lake Valley Reservoir area) was bisected by multiple roads and transmission corridors that PG&E would have required be retained because the USFS would not accept easements over the property. Management of the property would have been complicated by this carving up of the parcel and the USFS withdrew from the parcel, which was subsequently included in the CAL FIRE donation.

DONATION BARRIERS

In some cases, there was a lack of interest on the part of eligible and qualified entities. Many of the PG&E Watershed Lands are remote and do not have revenue generating resources to balance management costs. Once USFS and BLM withdrew from many donations, substantial areas did not have fee title donee interest. Timbered lands and grazing lands continued to be attractive to donees such as CAL FIRE and Fall River RCD, but many oak woodland and chaparral areas did not attract Land Stewardship Proposals (LSPs) for parcels in the Butte Creek, Oroville, North Fork Feather River, Bear River, and Lower Drum planning units. PG&E retention of waterways and rights to surface water on donated parcels further limited the attractiveness of Watershed Lands available for donation. Notable exceptions were from Native American tribes who place a high value on regaining possession of ancestral homelands and place value on traditional resources and stewardship itself.

22 PG&E's Reservation of Riparian Water Rights memo p.2

OTHER CONSTRAINTS MAKING FEE TITLE DONATION INADVISABLE

Parcel splits and survey costs

To the extent that the Land Conservation and Conveyance Plans include subdivisions or lot splits, survey and recording costs became a substantial cost of the transactions. The Stewardship Council had to consider the value of what additional acreage and BPV impacts would be gained by subdividing parcels for donations. This was primarily focused on the transactions later in the process when budget constraints were identified, and land donations were proceeding with the Pit River Tribe and CAL FIRE. For example, PG&E and Stewardship Council did a parcel-by-parcel analysis of lands outside the FERC boundary at the Hat Creek, Fall River, and Lake Britton planning units and determined what areas would be cost effective for land management and to subdivide. Potential land to be donated identified in the subsequent evaluation was split into two tiers. Tier 1 appeared to be available without significant land rights barriers. The second tier were those parcels with significant barriers, including small land donations and significant costs to survey and subdivide, legal access, FERC impacts, access concerns too difficult to reach parcels, ingress and egress access and other land use restrictions. These considerations, including cost to survey and subdivide, were factored into the staff analysis provided to the board of directors. The initial estimate to survey all proposed properties identified by PG&E as available was in excess of $450,000 for these parcels in Shasta County. Initial cost estimates for surveying all planned donations in 2011 was $1,408,283, but due to additional donations and escalating costs for surveys, by the end of the process the Stewardship Council spent $3 million on surveying.

Access issues

PG&E discovered it did not have transferable legal access to some areas that were recommended for donation to CAL FIRE. Parcel 851 in the Bear River Planning Unit was recommended but was later removed from the donation when access could not be secured. Other areas at Pit-Tunnel, Battle Creek, Bear River and Lake Spaulding Planning Units also lacked legal access but PG&E was able to work out access agreements with adjacent landowners prior to transactions closing.

FEE TITLE DONATIONS

The Stewardship Council Board selected a diverse set of entities to receive fee title to approximately 38,405 acres of Watershed Lands in 40 separate transactions. The purpose of the donations was to convey the properties to public agencies, nonprofit organizations, and recognized tribes that would focus efforts preserving and enhancing the BPVs.

The Stewardship Council recommended 11 distinct fee title donations to CAL FIRE, California State Parks, and University of California, Berkeley Forests (UC).

CAL FIRE

CAL FIRE received approximately 14,948 acres through seven transactions for the purpose of expanding the Demonstration State Forest system lands to enhance the existing network of Demonstration State Forests in order to fulfill its mission of sustainable forestry, research and demonstration, and recreation. The enabling legislation for the Demonstration State Forest system requires that each major forest type in the State be represented in the Demonstration State Forests system. The addition of these properties to the Demonstration State Forest system will help fill the gap for research and demonstration of sustainable forestry practices in California. CAL FIRE's specific objectives are to implement, study, and demonstrate sustainable forestry, to protect recreation and open space resources and work with partners to enhance them, and to protect and enhance habitats and cultural and historical resources.

One of the key research objectives for adding these seven properties to the Demonstration State Forest Program is to expand the State's capacity to monitor and study large-scale, long-term forest change, including the effects of climate change. Studies indicate increasing wildfire activity and vulnerability to disease, changes in vegetation such as decreased conifer cover, and potential increases to erosion from changing precipitation regimes. Basic and applied forest research is urgently needed to track, understand and adapt to climate change with actions that promote forest health, reduce the risk of stand-replacing wildfires, avoid or minimize adverse impacts and take advantage of potential beneficial effects where possible.

CAL FIRE's Demonstration State Forests make significant contributions to rural recreation and cultural resource protection and education. CAL FIRE continues this commitment in the donated properties by protecting recreational opportunities, historic and prehistoric sites, and working with stakeholders and adjacent landowners to meet watershed-wide recreation needs and to promote education on cultural history. [23]

23 CAL FIRE Land Stewardship Proposal p.7

California State Parks

California State Parks received 135 acres at the Lake Britton planning unit near Burney Falls State Park, a major recreation area in the region that offers camping, hiking, and other local amenities around Lake Britton in the PG&E retained area, popular for fishing, boating and picnicking. State Parks was leasing the property from PG&E and continues to lease portions of the retained property at Lake Britton for recreational use.

UC Berkeley Forests

UC Berkeley Forests received 4,700 acres in the Narrows, Lake Spaulding, and Pit River planning units. Through research, education and public service, UC continues to improve scientific understanding of the interconnected role of California's forests and state watersheds, renewable wood products, fish and wildlife habitat, scenic and recreational opportunities, and climate benefits. These new lands allow for increased research on the effects of climate change on forest ecosystems, expanded experimentation of forest management techniques, and broadened outreach efforts to students of all levels, researchers, and the interested public.[24]

DONATIONS TO NATIVE AMERICAN ENTITIES

The Stewardship Council encouraged collaboration and dialogue among stakeholders resulting in groundbreaking partnerships between nonprofit conservation organizations, public entities, and Native American tribal entities. The Stewardship Council recommended donation of fee title that resulted in transfer of almost 8,200 acres of land to three tribal entities including the Potter Valley Tribe, Pit River Tribe, and the Maidu Summit Consortium. These lands hold significant historic and cultural value as the ancestral homelands to our partnering tribal entities, while also having important biological habitat and recreational values. Based on legal research by outside counsel, the Stewardship Council determined that there were reasonable grounds to treat Native American Tribes as "public entities" and therefore to include them in the array of organizations eligible to receive a fee title donation to PG&E Watershed Lands. This determination was made prior to the Stewardship Council soliciting Land Stewardship Proposals from eligible entities.

In 2018 the board approved the following additional guidance regarding the donee selection process related to Native American entities:

24 UC Research Forest Lands Expand with Donation, Forest Research and Outreach ANR Blog, Jan 23, 2017

"The watershed lands subject to the Land Conservation Commitment include lands of cultural importance to tribes. The Stewardship Council acknowledges this historical connection as a unique characteristic for tribes seeking ownership of lands available for donation and recognizes the value of such connection with extra consideration when assessing the qualifications of potential donees."[25]

Pit River Tribe

The federally recognized Pit River Tribe received three donations of land in the Hat Creek, Lake Britton, and Fall River Mills planning units, totaling over 4,300 acres. The purpose and interest of the tribe in obtaining these ancestral lands was to plan ecological restoration activities that preserve and enhance fisheries, monitor watershed conditions, and balance recreational activities to protect and identify cultural resources. In addition to the enhancement of pristine habitat values found within the jurisdictional territory of the Pit River Tribe, the tribe aims to inventory sensitive species and habitat found within the lands made available for donation and develop applicable habitat conservation protection measures. The protection of biological and cultural resources is of utmost importance to the Pit River Tribe.[26] The Stewardship Council provided $78,366 funding to the Pit River Tribe for its transaction and environmental due diligence costs. The Stewardship Council also provided funding for closing costs and lump-sum funding to the county for future property tax obligations because lands held in fee by tribal entities are subject to local property taxes.

Potter Valley Tribe

The federally recognized Potter Valley Tribe received two fee title donations totaling 879 acres in the Eel River Watershed. The tribe sought the acquisition of land in the Eel River planning unit as presenting the following opportunities: land acquisition within their ancestral range, education and youth-elder involvement through development of cultural resource educational facilities on the known sites present within the unit; vocational training (rangers and maintenance personnel as camping facilities are expanded), summer environmental camps for all ages of youth; and restoration of the fisheries through cooperation with interested entities. Furthermore, the tribe sought to participate with other Tribes and communities' youth programs interested in coordinating efforts to educate the broader community of the unique history and culture of local

25 Native American Outreach and Engagement Efforts Updated August 2019 p. 3

26 Pit River Tribe Land Stewardship Proposal for Remaining Parcels within Shasta County p. 3

Native American people.[27] The Stewardship Council provided funding for closing costs and the Tribe's property tax obligation associated with these land donations.

Maidu Summit Consortium (MSC)

MSC received fee title donations to approximately 2,935 acres of lands at Humbug Valley and four transactions at the Lake Almanor planning unit, all which have high cultural resource value. The MSC is a 501(c)(3) organization that is comprised of nine Native American entities. The Stewardship Council invested heavily in capacity building to ensure that the MSC would be successful stewards to preserve and enhance the BPVs on the donated properties once the transactions were completed. Support provided to MSC included the following:

- Capacity Building Grants ($746,508)
- MSC kiosk design and protective fence project at Humbug Valley ($50,200)
- MSC Land Management Plan for Humbug Valley ($350,000)
- Ethnographic Survey for Humbug Valley ($23,670)
- Tribal Cultural Park Enhancement Grant for Tàsmam Koyòm ($178,700)
- Cumulative Transaction Costs ($120,000)

The 2,325-acre property at Humbug Valley, also known as Tàsmam Koyòm in Maidu, is an example of how the Stewardship Council helped to facilitate the creation of a unique and ground-breaking collaborative partnership to preserve and enhance the beneficial public values at the Humbug Valley property in the Feather River Watershed between the MSC, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife ("CDFW"), and the Feather River Land Trust ("FRLT"). In this creative arrangement, FRLT and CDFW are co-conservation easement holders and the MSC is the fee title donee to lands in their ancestral territory. In 2016, the Stewardship Council funded the development of a land management plan for Humbug Valley. The funding not only assisted with the consultation related to the land management plan but it also funded the hosting of several in person meetings in Chester, CA where the Stewardship Council, MSC, and CDFW worked collaboratively with 15 other agencies and organizations in the development of the land management plan to protect and enhance the

27 Potter Valley Tribe Land Stewardship Proposal September 2011 p. 3

beneficial public values on the property rooted in Traditional Ecological Knowledge. The collaboration included the MSC staff and board and tribal members, CDFW, USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service, United States Forest Service, US Fish and Wildlife Service, Collins Pine Company, UC Davis, UC Berkeley Center for Forestry and Point Blue Conservation Service, among others. In addition, Stewardship Council staff and MSC participated in a national effort to develop curriculum through the Land Trust Alliance on tribal engagement and utilizing the MSC, CDFW, FRLT and Stewardship Council model of collaboration and Traditional Ecological Knowledge on a national scale. [28]

DONATIONS TO THE US FOREST SERVICE

Approximately 4,529 acres were donated to the USFS in 10 planning units where Watershed Lands were adjacent to USFS property and were accompanied with the conveyance of conservation covenants held by the Sierra Nevada Conservancy. The donations allow for consolidated, consistent management of the ecological, recreational, cultural, and historical resources in the seamless fashion developed through years of collaboration between the National Forests and PG&E. The management direction for the National Forest lands is consistent with the management objectives of the Volume II Planning Unit Recommended Concepts of the Stewardship Council's LCP and the USFS manages the donations holistically with the adjacent National Forest lands within the landscape. The covenants require Land and Resource Management Plans be amended to incorporate the specific management direction, standards, and guidelines to protect and enhance the BPVs.

Conservation Covenants

Section 12(d) of the Stipulation provided an exception to the requirement that the beneficial public values of PG&E's watershed lands be protected via conservation easements held by qualified organizations when "the chosen donee of fee title informs the Governing Board that applicable law or policy precludes its accepting such easement, and the Governing Board receives satisfactory assurance in another form that the parcel will be managed consistent with the purpose of the LCC." [29] The United States Forest Service (US Forest Service) informed the Stewardship Council that applicable law or policy precluded them from accepting donations of PG&E lands encumbered with conservation easements and proposed a conservation covenant as providing satisfactory assurance

28 Native American Outreach and Engagement Efforts Updated August 2019 p. 7

29 Stipulation I. 02-04-026 p.7-9

that those parcels will be managed consistent with the purpose of the LCC. The Stewardship Council accepted the conservation covenants as satisfactory assurance in its adoption of each of the Land Conservation and Conveyance Plans (LCCPs) for parcels recommended for donation to US Forest Service. Detailed terms of the conservation covenants are included in each LCCP and contain a requirement that each forest amend its Land and Resource Management Plan to incorporate language into the management area descriptions that states that the property was donated to ensure the permanent protection of the property's natural resources.

DONATIONS TO OTHER PUBLIC AGENCIES

Donations were completed to a number of other entities, often paired with special grant funding for enhancement projects that would help the new owners improve BPVs. Several of the donations transferred property to entities that already had long standing leases with PG&E and were managing the land for uses supporting the BPVs. Details on the enhancement projects are included in Chapter 7.

Fall River Resource Conservation District - Fall River RCD received three land donations totaling 5,388 acres in the McArthur Swamp and Fall River Mills planning units. The mission of the Fall River RCD is to support private and public landowners in the use and management of natural resources that will ensure the sustained highest economic, social, and environmental benefits of these resources. The Stewardship Council provided enhancement funds of $1,760,000 for the McArthur Swamp donation to plan and demonstrate best practices for grazing management that improves habitat and provides public access and recreational opportunities. One of the Fall River Mills donations will be evaluated and possibly used for grazing and agricultural uses. Another property hosts the Hat Creek Rifle and Pistol Club, which the RCD will continue to lease on the site.

Tuolumne County – 240 acres were donated to Tuolumne County at the Kennedy Meadows planning unit. Kennedy Meadows is a trailhead for backcountry access to the Emigrant Wilderness and a major staging area is the Kennedy Meadows Resort and Pack Station. The pack station is a commercial operation that operated pursuant to a lease between the commercial operator and PG&E on a seasonal basis since 1917. The lease encompasses the entire 240 acres of the planning unit and consisted of approximately 27 buildings, including a lodge, saloon, cabins and ancillary buildings.[30] All improvements and associated structures associated with

30 Kennedy Meadows LCCP p.8

the resort are owned and managed by the lessee. Tuolumne County assumed the lease and agreed to earmark Kennedy Meadows Resort and Pack Station lease revenues for use on the property for costs associated with property ownership, property tax neutrality payments to special districts, land management, and future enhancements to the BPVs. The Stewardship Council provided enhancement funding for a number of resource management studies to guide BPV improvements on the property.

Madera County – 146 acres were donated to Madera County in the Manzanita Lake planning unit for a new regional park with trails connecting to a larger network from North Fork to Bass Lake, campgrounds, staging areas, and interpretation of the cultural history of the area with the North Fork Rancheria of Mono Indians of California. The Stewardship Council supported fuel reduction efforts and an initial phase of the improvements with an enhancement grant of $502,882.

San Joaquin County Office of Education (SJCOE) - San Joaquin County Office of Education (SJCOE) - 62 acres were donated to SJCOE in the Lake Spaulding planning unit for an outdoor education center. Sky Mountain Christian Camp, which leased the property from PG&E and had constructed extensive facilities over the years agreed to sell the buildings to SJCOE and the Stewardship Council provided over $5.4 million in enhancement funding for the purchase of the camp and required upgrades for the outdoor education center to host students.

Fall River Valley CSD - 34 acres were donated to Fall River Valley Community Services District in the Fall River planning unit to develop a new public park for the community. The property overlooks the confluence of the Pit and Fall Rivers and will include trails, interpretive elements, restrooms, and other amenities that take advantage of the scenic location. The Stewardship Council provided enhancement grants totaling $706k that leveraged state funding to construct the park features.

Placer County – 15 acres were donated to Placer County in the Lower Drum planning unit for continued support of the county's Bear River Campground. PG&E had leased the property to the County for a campground caretaker's mobile home, campground water treatment facility, and public hiking trails since 1973.

Auburn Recreation and Park District - 16 acres were donated to Auburn Recreation and Park District in the Lower Drum planning unit. The property is adjacent to ARPD's existing Christian Valley Park, including a 3.5-acre area with a baseball diamond and picnic area that was leased from PG&E for over a decade.

PG&E RETAINED LANDS

PG&E retained ownership of lands within FERC boundaries, lands needed for hydro operations, and any remaining Watershed Lands after fee title donations were completed. All PG&E retained lands except the areas discussed in Chapter 6 were permanently protected through a conservation easement that included terms to preserve and enhance the BPVs of the properties described in the Conservation Easement and Legal Document Development section of Exhibit 2.

BURNEY GARDENS

The Burney Gardens planning unit, located in Shasta County, consists of 15 parcels encompassing approximately 1,612 acres of land. All 1,612 acres were made available for fee title donation. The Stewardship Council solicited LSPs in 2011 and no LSPs were submitted by any organization at that time. The Stewardship Council continued to evaluate opportunities for the recommendation of donations of land within the Burney Gardens planning unit. In February 2013, Humboldt State University, a participant of a collaborative group involved in restoration work at the Burney Gardens planning unit and adjoining lands, inquired about the status of the ownership of the Burney Gardens planning unit. This inquiry led to further discussions between the Stewardship Council and Humboldt State University Advancement Foundation (HSUAF) which culminated in HSUAF being invited to seek a fee title donation of the lands within the planning unit. At its June 24, 2015 meeting, the Stewardship Council board recommended the HSUAF as the prospective fee title donee. However, at its September 20, 2017 meeting the board of directors approved the rescission of the fee donee recommendation for HSUAF due to HSUAF's request for fee simple title land with no conservation easement or reserved rights, as well as an endowment of up to $2.5 million. After the rescission, the Stewardship Council narrowed its solicitation to two entities that had demonstrated organizational capacity and continued to show interest in a donation of fee title; the Pit River Tribe and the Fall River RCD. Following a targeted solicitation by the Stewardship Council, in June 2018 the Stewardship Council received LSPs from the Pit River Tribe and the Fall River RCD expressing interest in being considered for a donation of fee title at Burney Gardens.[31]

In January 2019 the board recommended the Fall River Resource Conservation District as the prospective fee title donee with the Shasta

31 Burney Gardens Proposed LCCP January 15, 2020 p. 16

Land Trust as the easement holder, subject to compliance with all of the requirements of the Land Conservation Commitment. Following that decision and at the urging of members of the Board, Stewardship Council staff invested significant resources, including holding multiple meetings with the parties, in an attempt to achieve a mutually acceptable land conservation partnership between the Fall River RCD and Pit River Tribe. The Fall River RCD and Pit River Tribe were not able to reconcile different perspectives through this process. After the fee title donation and conservation easement transactions were negotiated by the parties, the Watershed Planning Committee approved the release of the draft LCCP for the Burney Gardens planning unit for a 30-day public comment period from May 31, 2019 until July 1, 2019. At its January 15, 2020 meeting, the Board was presented with a proposed action to approve the adoption of the LCCP for the Burney Gardens planning unit recommending the Fall River RCD as the fee title donee, and SLT as the conservation easement holder. Consensus was not reached by the Board on this action.[32]

At its January 15, 2020 meeting, the Board was unable to unanimously agree to approve the LCCP for Burney Gardens recommending the Fall River Resource Conservation District as the donee to fee title, and Shasta Land Trust as the conservation easement holder. The Stewardship Council's governing documents require that all actions taken by the Board are made by consensus.

A dispute mediation process was initiated in February 2020 and included 3 meetings but did not result in resolution of the disputes raised in the dispute notices or reach consensus on another viable alternative, despite reasonable best efforts to attempt to reach consensus on the development and adoption of a LCCP for the Burney Gardens planning unit.

Therefore, the board concluded by resolution on April 29, 2020 that it could not reach consensus on the approval of the Burney Gardens LCCP. Burney Gardens represents the only transaction where the Council's diverse board failed the reach consensus. The Stewardship Council no longer had a role regarding the recommendation of a donation of fee or conservation easement interests in the property. PG&E moved forward to fulfill its obligations in accordance with the requirements of the Settlement Agreement and Stipulation and completed a transaction donating Burney Gardens to the Pit River Tribe with Shasta Land Trust as the conservation easement holder.

32 Summary of Dispute Resolution Process Regarding Burney Gardens Planning Unit April 27,2020



Narrows planning unit, Yuba River

4. PUBLIC OUTREACH SUMMARY

During the development of the LCP, the Stewardship Council implemented a public outreach program to ensure local communities, elected representatives, neighboring property owners, Native American tribes and groups, and other key stakeholders had many opportunities to engage in the Stewardship Council's effort to preserve and enhance the Watershed Lands. A Summary of Public Outreach Program with a description of the general program and documentation of specific outreach for each planning unit and transaction is included as Appendix 1 in each LCCP document. This report includes a general summary of the program and additional information on public outreach received from circulation of LCCPs for public comment, additional outreach conducted to involve Native American entities, and protests to CPUC advice letter filings.

GENERAL PUBLIC OUTREACH PROGRAM

The Stewardship Council established a comprehensive public outreach program to both inform and solicit input from the public on the development and implementation of a plan to permanently protect over 140,000 acres of PG&E watershed lands. A variety of tools and techniques were used to engage the public, including:

- Stewardship Council Website: the website provided background information on the land conservation program and was regularly updated with board meeting agendas and minutes, proposed recommendations, and other announcements.
- Stakeholder Database and E-mailing: regular e-mail notifications were sent directly to individuals and organizations that have signed up to receive e-mails. The e-mails provide updates on the status of the land conservation program, including pending actions by the board and upcoming public meetings.
- Targeted Newspaper Noticing and Paid Advertisements: newspaper advertisements and notices were placed in local newspapers circulated in the area where a board or public meeting was taking place or in communities that may have had an interest in a particular topic on an upcoming meeting agenda.
- News Releases: news releases were issued to statewide and local media outlets at key intervals during the planning process.

- Public Information Meetings and Workshops: public information meetings and workshops were conducted throughout the watershed lands to provide updates and solicit input from interested stakeholders on the land conservation program and individual planning units. In many workshops, public comments were sought on potential measures to protect and enhance the beneficial public values on specific lands as well as the desired qualifications of potential donee organizations. Individuals and organizations unable to attend were provided an opportunity to submit comments in writing and review meeting summaries posted on the web site.

- Notice by Mail of Pending Decisions Regarding the Conveyance of Individual Parcels and Invitation to Comment:

    - Noticing of Affected Governmental Entities: prior to the Watershed Planning Committee forwarding a recommendation to the board that a proposed Land Conservation and Conveyance Plan (LCCP) be adopted by the board, a notice was mailed to the Board of Supervisors of the affected county; each affected city, town, and water supply entity; and each affected tribe and/or co-licensee.

    - Noticing of landowners: postcards or letters were sent to all landowners located within one mile of lands that are the subject of a proposed LCCP prior to the Watershed Planning Committee forwarding a recommendation to the board that the proposed LCCP be adopted by the board.

- Individual Meetings with Stakeholders: Over the course of the preparation of Volumes I and II of the LCP (LCP) and the LCCP, Stewardship Council staff met, and communicated via telephone and email, with a number of stakeholders interested in the Watershed Lands.

- The Stewardship Council Board of Directors met five to six times per year, typically on a bimonthly schedule. At the board meetings, the public was invited to directly address the board on an agenda item or on any other matter. The meetings have been held at locations in northern and central California and across the Watershed Lands to help facilitate public participation. Agendas were available one week prior to meetings, and meeting minutes were posted on the Stewardship Council public website approximately three weeks following those meetings.

Public Review of Volumes I and II of the LCP

The Draft LCP Volumes I and II were released in June 2007 for a 60-day public comment period. During this time, the Stewardship Council held ten public meetings to publicize the availability of the Draft LCP and to encourage public comment. These meetings were advertised via an e-mail sent to contacts in the Stewardship Council's database, an announcement posted on the Stewardship Council's web site, a press release issued to local newspapers, a paid advertisement in local papers, and a postcard sent to all landowners on record that reside within one mile of any PG&E parcel. Comments were received via email, the website, and hardcopy letters. The comments were reviewed and responded to individually; and the text in the draft LCP was revised as appropriate.

The Stewardship Council received nearly 1,300 written comments on the Draft LCP Volumes I and II, which are included along with responses in a separate document that accompanies the Final LCP ("Public Comments and Response to Comments on LCP Volume I & II.").

Noticing of Landowners within One Mile

In the fall of 2006, a postcard was distributed to the approximately 26,000 landowners located within one mile of the exterior boundary of all the parcels to notify and invite comment on Volume I and II of the LCP. In addition, simultaneous with the release of a proposed subject LCCP for public comment, adjacent landowners located within one mile of the subject parcels were noticed by mail 30 days before the Watershed Planning Committee considers forwarding the proposed subject LCCP to the board for final approval.

Public Information Meeting

Regional public workshops were held during the donee selection process for the pilot projects as well as Round 1 and 2 selections. The purpose of the workshops was to: (1) provide a review and update on the Stewardship Council's Land Conservation Program; and (2) solicit additional public input on future stewardship of the planning units. Stations were set up with maps, other pertinent information, and easels with blank paper to collect comments from attendees.

Public Review of Land Stewardship Proposals

LSPs submitted by potential donees for fee title and conservation easement interest were posted on the Stewardship Council's website for public review and comment, and an e-mail was sent to contacts in the Stewardship Council's database to notify them of the postings.

Public Review of Land Conservation Program Policies & Guidelines

Public comment was sought on policies and guidelines that helped inform the Stewardship Council's land conservation and conveyance process. These documents were provided to the public in advance of being reviewed and endorsed by the Watershed Planning Committee or Fiduciary Committee and forwarded to the board for review and consideration.

Land Conservation Program Funding Policy

The Stewardship Council created a Land Conservation Program Funding Policy to help guide future planning and decision-making regarding funding of the long-term management and stewardship of the watershed lands. In June and July, 2009, the draft policy was posted on the Stewardship Council's web site and made available for review and comment to a group of stakeholders consisting of all registered potential donees and representatives of the counties in which the watershed lands are located. Two comments were received during the 30-day review and comment period. Both comments were reviewed, and it was determined that neither comment necessitated a change in the draft policy. The Stewardship Council's Board of Directors adopted the policy at a public board meeting in Sonora, California on September 17, 2009.

Guidelines for Achieving Property Tax Neutrality

The Stewardship Council created guidelines for achieving property tax neutrality to describe scenarios when the Stewardship Council will make property tax payments to affected counties as in lieu payments for property taxes that are lost due to the donation of PG&E watershed lands to an entity that is exempt from paying property taxes. The guidelines also defined a set of overarching assumptions regarding property tax neutrality payments. The draft guidelines were posted on the Stewardship Council's web site in December 2010. A notice inviting review and comment on the guidelines was sent to the Stewardship Council's stakeholder database. Additional targeted outreach was performed to inform the affected counties. Nine comments were received during the 60-day review and comment period. After consideration of public comments, the Stewardship Council Board adopted a set of guidelines at its public board meeting on March 30, 2011.

Proposed Methodology for Achieving Tax Neutrality

The proposed methodology for achieving tax neutrality on donated lands was e-mailed to all land stakeholders and posted on Stewardship Council's website for public review and comment on January 9, 2012. The deadline for submission of comments was March 9, 2012. The

Stewardship Council received one request to extend this deadline, which was granted. By the new deadline of March 30, 2012, six comments were received. Upon consideration of the comments received, the Stewardship Council board deferred adoption of the full methodology until the June 27, 2012 board meeting so that the affected counties could be notified of the proposed change to the capitalization rate. No comments were received on the revised capitalization rate. The revised methodology was adopted by the board at its June 27, 2012 meeting. Revisions to the policy were made in 2015, 2016, and 2017 at publicly noticed board meetings.

Watershed Planning Committee Recommendations for Fee Title and Conservation Easement Donees

Staff recommendations for prospective fee title donees and conservation easement holders that were endorsed by the Watershed Planning Committee were posted on the Stewardship Council's website for public review and comment. The proposed board action was noticed via an e-mail sent to contacts in the Stewardship Council's database. In addition, public board meetings were advertised via an e-mail sent to contacts in the Stewardship Council's database, an announcement was posted on the Stewardship Council's web site, a press release was issued to local papers, and an advertisement was placed in local newspapers in the area where a board or public meeting was taking place or in communities that may have an interest in a particular topic on an upcoming meeting agenda. The board action taken was also noted in the meeting minutes that were posted on the Stewardship Council's website following each meeting.

All public comments received by staff concerning the fee and conservation easement recommendations were provided to the board for consideration at the relevant public board meetings.

Public Review of the Land Conservation and Conveyance Plans

The public was provided an opportunity to review and comment on the proposed LCCPs, and the comments received were shared with board members prior to the Watershed Planning Committee's forwarding the proposed LCCP to the board for its review and approval. The 30-day public review and comment periods were announced via an e-mail sent to contacts in the Stewardship Council's database, a posting on the Stewardship Council's web site, and an advertisement placed in local newspapers in communities that may have an interest in a particular planning unit. A notice inviting review and comment on the proposed LCCP was also sent to all landowners on record located within

one mile of the subject PG&E parcels and to PG&E leaseholders. In addition, a notice was mailed to the board of supervisors of the affected county; each affected city, town, and water supply entity; and each affected tribe and/or co-licensee. After receiving public comment, the Watershed Planning Committee could make revisions to a proposed LCCP prior to forwarding a recommendation to the board.

Stewardship Council Board of Directors Meetings

Proposed LCCPs endorsed by the Watershed Planning Committee were posted on the Stewardship Council's website for additional public review and comment approximately 30 days prior to being considered by the board at a public board meeting. The posting of proposed LCCPs was advertised via an e-mail sent to contacts in the Stewardship Council's database. In addition, public board meetings were advertised via an e-mail sent to contacts in the Stewardship Council's database, an announcement posted on the Stewardship Council's web site, a press release issued to local papers, and an advertisement placed in local newspapers in the area where a board or public meeting is taking place or in communities that may have an interest in a particular topic on an upcoming meeting agenda. The board action taken was noted in the meeting minutes that are posted on the Stewardship Council's website following each meeting.

All public comments received were provided to the board. There was also an additional opportunity for public comment at the public board meeting when the board considered approval of the proposed LCCP. Adoption of a LCCP by the board was the final step in the Stewardship Council's process for selecting donees. The prospective donees were responsible for securing their own internal approvals prior to the transaction being completed. Transactions were finalized upon LCCP review and transaction approval by the California Public Utilities Commission.

PUBLIC COMMENTS AND RESPONSES DURING LCCP PROCESS

LCCP documents were circulated for public comment prior to board approval and selected transactions attracted substantial interest and comments to which staff responded. A detailing of comments received and responses can be found in the Universal Tracking Log. A description of the substantive comments and responses to the comments by transaction is included as Exhibit 3.

NATIVE AMERICAN OUTREACH AND ENGAGEMENT EFFORTS

Since its formation in 2004, the Stewardship Council made a concerted effort to extend the benefits of PG&E's LCC to Native American Tribes and Native American entities in California. The Stewardship Council:

- Clarified that Tribes and Native American entities were included in the definition of the "public entities" eligible to receive fee title donations of PG&E Watershed Lands even though Tribes were not specifically mentioned as potential donees in the PG&E Settlement Agreement and Stipulation Resolving Issues Regarding the LCC.
- Added a representative (and alternate) on the Stewardship Council Board of Directors (Board) for Native American Interests -- a significant action because the Board makes all decisions by "consensus" (unanimous vote).
- Clarified the definition of "historic values," a beneficial public value defined the Settlement Agreement to be protected by the conservation easements on both donated and PG&E retained lands, to include "cultural resources," ensuring Native Americans continued access to the watershed lands consistent with the access that exists at the time the conservation easement is recorded.
- Spent considerable time meeting in person with representatives of Native American entities and conducting other types of special outreach to ensure that Native American entities were aware about and provided full access for participation in the opportunities presented by PG&E's LCC.
- Recommended that fee title to a portion of PG&E Watershed Lands be transferred to three Native American entities.
- Expended Stewardship Council funds to assist Native American entities to pay for transaction costs associated with fee donations and the negotiation of conservation easements on the lands they are acquiring, similar to other donees who needed this assistance.
- Committed a portion of the Stewardship Council's funds to pay for future property tax obligations for lands being acquired by Tribes, as lands held in fee by Tribes are subject to local property taxes, as was done for most other donees.
- Awarded enhancement program grants that involve Native Americans in the restoration of portions of PG&E's Watershed Lands.

- Provided a major capacity building grant to the Maidu Summit Consortium to ensure that it has the organizational capacity and the funding to protect the Beneficial Public Values of the lands which PG&E will be donating to them.

- Encouraged fee title donees and conservation easement holders to build strong collaborative relationships with Tribes and Native American entities.

- With PG&E Settlement Agreement funding that the Stewardship Council received for its Youth Investment Program, grants were awarded to Native American entities to provide tribal youth with meaningful opportunities to spend time in nature. Furthermore, the Foundation for Youth Investment used Stewardship Council youth program funds to organize two well attended workshops where Native Americans shared a set of best practices for engaging tribal youth in the outdoors.

Outreach Activities Developed With Expert Assistance

To ensure that the Stewardship Council's outreach efforts to Native American entities were comprehensive and sensitive to Native American values and customs, the Stewardship Council took the following actions beginning early on: (1) retained an outside consultant who had extensive experience working with Native American entities, and engaged specialized expert legal counsel to assist on a variety of tribal matters; (2) engaged a Native American staff member who previously worked for tribal entities to help the Stewardship Council develop and implement an outreach plan over a several year period; (3) actively sought advice from Board Member Larry Myers; (4) held Board and staff trainings on cultural resources protection and Native American matters; and (5) with input from the Native American Heritage Commission, developed a comprehensive list of Native American entities for outreach and other communications.

Broad Range of Tribal Outreach Activities Conducted

The Stewardship Council's outreach and communications to Native American entities over the past thirteen years includes the following:

- Planning and outreach efforts included a series of general public outreach meetings and public planning workshops, where tribal entities participated.

- At Board field trips to visit the PG&E Watershed Lands, representatives of Native American entities were often invited to

make a presentation to the Board members and the public about their interest in the subject lands.

- At a series of seven Native American focused regional meetings hosted in communities throughout the Watershed Lands, the Stewardship Council sought Native American input on a draft document that described ways that Native American entities could be involved in the implementation of the LCC.
- Before the Stewardship Council started soliciting proposals for fee donations, staff met in person with individual representatives of Native American entities at their offices or at locations in close vicinity.
- The Stewardship Council invited Native American entities to tour PG&E Watershed Lands and to submit Statements of Qualifications and Land Stewardship Proposals. We provided potential fee donees an ample amount of time to decide whether to apply and to prepare a proposal.
- Prior to the Board taking action to approve major land conservation program actions, emails were sent to the Stewardship Council's stakeholder database, which maintains a frequently updated contact list of individuals and group representatives within and surrounding the Watershed Lands, which includes hundreds of Native American contacts.
- The Stewardship Council sent individual letters to the chairs of Native American entities inviting them to review and comment on major land decisions before the Stewardship Council Board votes on such matters. This has been done in every instance for approvals of the individual LCCPs, and findings that a select parcel does not have "Significant Public Interest Value" and therefore should not be encumbered by a conservation easement.
- Whenever a Native American entity requested a meeting, a Stewardship Council staff member would travel to the place where the meeting was requested to enter into a dialogue.

Land Conservation Commitment Restrictions Hindered Tribal Interest in Fee Donations

Native American entities who decided not to seek a fee title donation most often stated one or more of the following reasons for that decision:

- The required conservation easement would preclude types of development of the Watershed Lands which the tribal entity might consider desirable in the future.

- To ensure the enforceability of the conservation easement and various transactional agreements with PG&E, a federally recognized tribe was required to grant a limited waiver of sovereign immunity.
- To ensure that the conservation easement would remain enforceable, the Stewardship Council Board said that lands acquired from PG&E could not be placed into trust with the federal government. Tribal representatives said that this restriction would likely prevent them from accessing federal funding for future management of the donated lands.
- To ensure consistency with the Stipulation requirement that donations made as part of PG&E's LCC do not expand or limit PG&E's obligations or the rights of others in a FERC relicensing proceeding or otherwise under Part 1 of the Federal Power Act, the Tribe must agree not to petition to have the subject lands taken into trust by the federal government.
- Some Tribes stated they had higher priorities for their time and resources, such as projects and activities that would further their economic development, or that their interests could be met in another way, such as through a partnership opportunity.

Other Efforts To Promote Collaboration with Tribes

CAL FIRE Native American Advisory Council

Beginning in 2016, Stewardship Council staff facilitated discussions and ongoing collaboration with CAL FIRE and the staff at the Natural Resources Agency regarding tribal engagement. CAL FIRE developed and reviewed with Stewardship Council their 2012 Native American Tribal Communities Relations Policy and developed the "CAL FIRE Pacific Gas and Electric Lands Action Plan for Native American Tribal Relations" (Plan). The first goal of the Plan was to reconstitute the CAL FIRE Native American Advisory Council. Other action items in the Plan included

- Reviewing the Native American Tribal Communities Relations Policy for Currency as a result of AB 52
- Developing and providing periodic Departmental Training on the Native American Tribal Communities Relations Policy
- Communicating with Tribes having ancestral lands related to the PG&E parcels which CAL FIRE hopes to acquire
- Considering how to integrate Native American advisement into Executive Decisions.

CAL FIRE continues to update the Stewardship Council on the progress from the Plan and to engage the Native American Advisory Council. They have several meetings per year and the agendas and minutes of those meetings are listed on their website.

California Department of State Parks

In 2007, State Parks adopted a Consultation Policy and program to ensure on-going, meaningful and timely consideration of the views of California Indian tribes in the protection and preservation of the California Indian heritage resources that are held in trust by the Department. On December 2, 2010, the Board recommended State Parks as the fee title done for lands in the Lake Britton planning unit adjacent to McArthur Burney State Park. State Parks officials at the McArthur Burney State Park have been meeting with the Pit River Tribe on a regular basis on consultation and are drafting a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the tribe. The Stewardship Council has encouraged the continuation of those meetings, and the development of the MOU, which is still in process as of late 2022.

University of California

The board recommended several donations to UC Berkeley Forests, including 1,459 acres at Grouse Ridge in the Lake Spaulding planning unit, and 3,100 acres of property in the Pit River planning unit. UC identified four key activities meant to create a positive environment for discussion, protection, and enhancement of cultural resources. They include:

- Continue to engage with Native Americans with ties to the lands through field visits to identify potential collaborations
- Protect physical cultural resources as required when UC takes responsibility for new lands, as required by law
- Develop a plan to protect and enhance botanical cultural resources
- Create a 'living laboratory' to test out 'traditional (and other innovative) ecological knowledge' practices.

Engagement activities include:

- UC College of Agricultural and Natural Resources hosted a two-day intensive training in March 2017 on approaches to increase collaboration between UC and Native American groups
- In 2014, the University of California and CAL FIRE, potential donees for parcels in the Pit River and Tunnel Reservoir planning units, toured some of the land with PG&E staff, Stewardship Council staff, and Darlene Machon, the Madesi Band cultural representative for the Pit River Tribe.

McArthur Swamp Planning Unit

On May 19, 2010, when the Board made its recommendation that the Fall River RCD receive fee title to lands at the McArthur Swamp planning unit, it recommended that the California Waterfowl Association, California State Parks, and the Pit River Tribe be Conservation Partners to actively participate in future efforts to preserve and enhance the beneficial public values on the lands to be conveyed.

A three-member management team comprised of the RCD, the Pit River Tribe, and a Technical Advisory Committee make land management decisions. To ensure that the RCD and the Pit River Tribe had a solid understanding of how they would work together, the Stewardship Council funded the costs of a facilitator to assist the RCD and the Pit River Tribe to reach agreement on the provisions of a management charter.

Now that the RCD has received fee title to the property, the new management team has developed a land management plan. The management team now meets regularly on implementation of the land management plan and the Stewardship Council staff provides consultation as needed to the team. In January 2018, the Stewardship Council board approved funding to the RCD to conduct a cultural resource survey of the property which was completed and is on file at the RCD office.

Manzanita Lake Planning Unit

On June 25, 2014, the Board recommended Madera County as the fee donee for the Manzanita Lake property. On May 2, 2018, the final LCCP was approved by the Board. During the time between the fee donation recommendation and the Board's LCCP approval, Stewardship Council staff encouraged the collaboration between the North Fork Rancheria of Mono Indians of California and Madera County. Since that time, they have collaborated on goals of land management for the property as well as the development of an enhancement proposal for the property. Madera County agreed to a MOU with the North Fork Rancheria of Mono Indians of California to partner in implementing the proposed enhancement project, protecting cultural resources, and pursuing ongoing maintenance and restoration for the lands the County will own at the Manzanita Lake planning unit.

Youth Investment Program Grants and Activities Benefitting Tribal Youth

With a portion of the $30 million that the Stewardship Council was provided to implement a youth investment program to connect underserved youth to the outdoors, the Stewardship Council followed by Justice Outside (formerly the Foundation for Youth Investment and Youth

Outside), awarded multiple grants to Native American entities to support their efforts to connect tribal youth to the outdoors and involve them in conservation projects.

Furthermore, the Foundation for Youth Investment hosted two workshops for Native American entities where Native Americans shared their best practices to engage Native youth in outdoor programs. The Foundation for Youth Investment and the UC Davis Native American Studies Department sponsored the first of these two workshops in April 2010. In 2011, the Foundation for Youth Investment partnered with the Native American Health Center INC. Youth Services Program, the Stewardship Council, and Sierra Health Foundation to help support a gathering for Native American youth providers in Sacramento.



Lake Almanor, Plumas County

5. PROTECTION OF BENEFICIAL PUBLIC VALUES

The primary goal of the LCP was the preservation and enhancement of the BPVs. Volume II identified an overall management objective for each planning unit, as well as objectives to preserve and/or enhance specific BPVs relevant to the planning unit. These objectives were adopted in final form by the Stewardship Council Board of Directors and did not change during development of the LCCPs. Each LCCP contained in Volume III specifically addressed how the identified BPVs in Volume II were protected and/or enhanced through the LCCP in Chapter 2 of the subject LCCPs. Volume II also identified a number of preservation and/or enhancement measures that may contribute to the conservation management for each planning unit. These measures were intended to be illustrative in nature, not prescriptive and there was insufficient time and funding to complete many of the specific projects.

Since the Settlement Agreement required that the conservation easements protect the BPVs, the Stewardship Council considered how to balance, on a collective basis, the conservation values on each property. The Stewardship Council's Conservation Easement Guidance for Donated Lands included a sample conservation purposes provision stating, "[a]all attempts should be made to balance on a collective basis the Conservation Values on a property, whenever possible." [33] The sample language recognized that in protecting and/or enhancing one or more of the Conservation Values, another Conservation Value may be impaired.

Below is a summary of how each BPV was protected and/or enhanced through the LCP. A table showing the BPVs protected by each transaction is included as Exhibit 6.

FISH, PLANT, AND WILDLIFE HABITAT

The Watershed Lands support a staggering array of plants and animals including sensitive species that are now protected by perpetual conservation easements and covenants on the Watershed Lands. Habitat for Fish, Plants, and Wildlife is a conservation value identified in every conservation easement and covenant and typically included language similar to the following:

33 Conservation Easement Guidance for Donated Lands. Final Revised 5-7-15 p.7

"Habitat for fish, wildlife, and plants that are native to the area, including species protected under the California Endangered Species Act and/or the federal Endangered Species Act. The term "habitat" includes vegetation along banks and shorelines that provide critical cover, forage and nesting habitat for a variety of species and contribute to maintaining watershed health. The term "native" refers to plants and animals that occur naturally on the Property, and are defined as "native" by the California Department of Fish & Wildlife and its successors." [34]

Conservation easements on donated lands were more varied than the retained lands and often described the habitat types existing on the property as well as significant species that utilize the habitat such as bald eagles, bear, deer, and special status species.

The most commonly recommended measures for habitat protection and enhancement in Volume II were:

- Conduct surveys of the planning unit to identify biological resources and enable their protection.
- Develop a noxious weed management plan for the planning unit.
- Develop a wildlife and habitat management plan for the planning unit.[35]

Through the transaction process, a detailed Baseline Data Report (BDR) was created by qualified land trust staff or consultants that documented soil, habitat, and species conditions for each transaction. The reports were produced following property conditions research, California Natural Diversity database searches, site visits, and photo documentation of the natural resources. Through this process, additional information regarding habitat was gained that will be used for future monitoring of the resources.

In select locations planned for fee title donation, detailed biological surveys were conducted prior to planning for amenities to be added. At the Humbug Valley planning unit (now Tàsmam Koyòm Maidu Cultural Park), the Maidu Summit Consortium developed a full land management plan for the property, and at Bell Road the Auburn Recreation and Park District completed biological and cultural surveys as part of enhancement planning.

34 Deed of Conservation Easement and Agreement (Doyle Springs Planning Unit). Retained Land Standard. Exhibit D p. 43

35 LCP Volume II p.6

The Stewardship Council utilized funding dedicated to enhancement projects and activities to complete restoration projects in a number of targeted locations, which are detailed in Chapter 7.

OPEN SPACE

Most of the Watershed Lands are located in mountainous, rural, or undeveloped areas with limited development pressure that provide scenic views of forestland, oak woodlands, rivers, and mountains. The Open Space BPV was protected through the LCC with perpetual conservation easements and covenants but is difficult to enhance without removing structures and facilities that interfere with the natural surroundings. All transactions included Open Space as a BPV in the conservation easement or covenant with language that typically protected:

"The scenic viewshed of the Property in keeping with the surrounding environment including the unobstructed views of a contiguous forested landscape visible to the public using trails and roads on the Property, from boats on waterbodies and from adjacent publicly accessible roads, and trails."[36]

The primary open space enhancement measure recommended in Volume II states:

- Apply permanent conservation easements to ensure a higher value of open space protection for planning unit lands.

Additional open space recommendations included measures such as removing obsolete buildings or fences and utilizing existing recreation facilities to the greatest extent possible. [37]

Conservation easements restrict or eliminate future development and protect the scenic quality of the lands. The easements limit subdivision of the property that would fragment ownership and generally prohibit new structures and facilities. There are some exceptions to the prohibition of new structures and facilities that include:

- On PGE retained lands there are allowances for new structures and facilities related to Hydro purposes as required for PG&E power generation operations in the Settlement and Stipulation, and "structures or improvements made in the course of prudent and customary land management practices and/or to protect, preserve, or enhance the [BPVs]." [38]

36 Deed of Conservation Easement and Agreement (Bucks Lake Planning Unit) Exhibit D p. 41

37 LCP Volume II p.6

38 Deed of Conservation Easement and Agreement (Doyle Springs Planning Unit). Retained Land Standard. Exhibit F p. 3

- On some donated lands there are building envelopes identified where structures and facilities can be constructed for the purposes identified in the conservation easements that promote the protection and enhancement of the BPVs or for land management purposes. These building envelopes are further detailed in Exhibit 7.

In total, 140,000 acres of the Watershed Lands were protected by conservation easements or covenants, the exceptions being the partial interest parcels, inaccessible parcels infeasible to protect with a conservation easement, and parcels originally included for protections but later found to meet the criteria for having No Significant Public Interest Value (NSPIV). These areas are further described in Chapter 6.

SUSTAINABLE FORESTRY

Of the more than 140,000 acres of Watershed Lands, over 52,000 acres are forested. These lands are covered predominately by the following forest types: mixed conifer forest, lodgepole pine/fir forest, and central oak woodlands. An estimated 948 million board feet of timber is growing on these forested lands with timber growth estimated to be about 25 MBF per year. From 1990 to 2008 PG&E harvested an average of 14 million board feet (MBF) per year on the forested lands, significantly less than the estimated annual timber growth rate of 25 MBF.[39]

To guide the development of objectives and preservation and enhancement measure for the Sustainable Forestry BPV, the board adopted the following definition for sustainable forestry in November 2006: "The practice of managing dynamic forest ecosystems to provide ecological, economic, social, and cultural benefits for present and future generations."[40] Sustainable forestry also includes active management of forest ecosystems through practices such as thinning, hazard tree removal, and fuels reduction.

Where forest resources existed on Watershed Lands, the related conservation easements and covenants included sustainable forestry as a conservation value to be protected. 86 transactions covering 130,862 acres included sustainable forestry as a BPV. Most easements listed the types of forest resources present on the property at the time of the transfer, though some included the definition above as the BPV.

39 Sustainable Forestry on the PG&E Watershed Lands Briefing Paper May 2011 p. 1

40 Ibid p.2

The most commonly recommended measures for sustainable forestry enhancement for planning units with forest resources included in Volume II were:

- Evaluate existing timber inventory data and supplement as appropriate.
- Develop a forest management plan to promote wildlife habitat and structural and physical diversity in forests for long-term ecological, economic, social, and cultural benefits.
- Develop a fire management and response plan for the planning unit to ensure fire preparedness.
- Develop a fuels management plan for the planning unit to ensure long-term forest health and reduce fuel loading and fire hazard.[41]

The Stewardship Council invested in improvements to the sustainable forestry BPV by focusing on transferring forested lands to organizations focused on forest management such as CAL FIRE, the US Forest Service, and the University of California (UC) Research Forests. Approximately 25,000 acres of forested land were donated to such organizations. Approximately 20,000 acres were donated to increase demonstration forest capacity to study climate change and adaptation strategies (UC and CAL FIRE donations). Of that total, CAL FIRE was selected to receive approximately 15,278 acres for the purpose of expanding their existing Demonstration State Forest system. The acquisition of these properties will result in additional forest types and locations being incorporated into the demonstration system and will broaden CAL FIRE's ability to conduct and facilitate applied research, education, technology transfer, and outreach, and to offer recreational opportunities for the general public. Applied research will focus on areas such as carbon sequestration, climate change, silviculture, ecology and fire science. UC received land donations of over 4,700 acres of watershed land as part of their Research Forest program. The purpose of UC's Research Forests is to develop and test appropriate management strategies for forested watersheds that will flourish under different climate change scenarios. Their goal is to develop and disseminate science-based solutions to wildfire-related challenges. These new lands will allow for increased research on the effects of climate change on forest ecosystems, expanded experimentation of forest-management techniques, and broadened outreach efforts to students of all levels, researchers, and the interested public.

41 LCP Volume II p.7-8

Several enhancement grants funded sustainable forestry projects such as the installation of permanent monitoring plots and selective fuel treatments at the Grouse Ridge property donated to UC in 2016, fuel reduction at Manzanita Lake for Madera County and an oak woodland restoration project at Fall River Mills with the Fall River Valley Community Services District and Lomakatsi Restoration Project. A possible additional enhancement grant under consideration for Shasta County lands would address veg assessment and plots, fuels treatment, youth/local community college involvement.

OUTDOOR RECREATION

Outdoor recreation occurs on nearly all of the Watershed Lands and is an important use in these rural areas. On some lands, PG&E has provided numerous developed recreation facilities, often in cooperation with federal and state agencies that administer adjacent public lands. Other areas lack developed facilities but are accessible for more passive recreational activities such as hiking, bird watching, and picnicking. A few areas serve as gateways to adjacent federally designated wilderness areas, where visitors can experience primitive remote, roadless recreation.

Recreation activities that occur on Watershed Lands are as diverse as the lands themselves. With the presence of hydroelectric development on most of the planning units, water-based recreation is foremost in many areas, both on the flat-water of reservoirs and forebays and on flowing water in rivers and creeks. Activities like camping are enhanced by water-based recreation opportunities such as boating, swimming, and fishing. Water sources also provide significant habitat for plants and animals, supporting recreation like wildflower photography and animal tracking.

On all properties with current outdoor recreation uses by the general public, the conservation easements and covenants include “outdoor recreation by the general public” as a BPV that must be protected. 87 transactions covering 137,464 acres of the Watershed Lands include outdoor recreation as a BPV. The conservation easements and covenants often provide examples in the definition of the BPVs of current recreational uses, such as hiking, camping, or fishing, although that description is not intended as an exhaustive description of the recreational uses for such BPV. The BPV’s do not include specific names or place names of recreational facilities, but instead are generically referenced. Place names are often included in the property BDR. A sample of typical language about outdoor recreation in the conservation easements would be:

"Outdoor recreation in the form of passive recreational pursuits such as camping, picnicking, hiking, fishing, hunting, birding, boating, and sightseeing."[42]

The most commonly recommended measures for recreation enhancement included in Volume II were:

- Add, enhance, or manage recreation facilities/access within the planning unit.
- Provide new signage or enhance existing signage within the planning unit.
- Create use areas for education or create interpretive programs within the planning unit.
- Conduct recreation studies and/or monitoring of recreation use within the planning unit.
- Develop a recreation management plan.[43]

The Stewardship Council funded select outdoor recreation enhancements in the Hat Creek, Fall River Mills, Bucks Lake, Humbug Valley, Manzanita Lake, and Mountain Meadows planning units that are detailed in Chapter 7 of this report.

PRESERVATION OF HISTORIC VALUES

The Watershed Lands are replete with cultural resources and historic pioneer, mining boom, and railroad features that reflect California's long and varied history, many of which have been determined eligible for listing with the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and, in certain cases, have been nominated as Historic Districts. In the majority of the Watershed Lands, the imprint of history and Native American culture is evident, and Native American communities remain active as an integral component of the living historic landscape.

While a certain level of protection of cultural and historic resources is already afforded by state and federal laws, Historic Values are a conservation value identified in almost every conservation easement and covenant, typically included with language similar to the following:

Identified historical and cultural values, to the extent they are protected by state and federal law.[44]

42 Deed of Conservation Easement and Agreement (Lake Almanor Planning Unit). Exhibit D p. XX

43 LCP Volume II p.7

44 Deed of Conservation Easement and Agreement (Doyle Springs Planning Unit). Retained Land Standard. Exhibit D p. 43

The only conservation easement that does not include Historic Values as a BPV is Lower Drum Pinecroft Preserve, and the conservation easement does contain a cultural resource restriction in Section 4.11 that states "There shall be no activities, actions or uses that disturb or impair any cultural resources (as defined in Title XIV of the California Code of Regulations) on the Property in violation of any applicable law, statute or regulation."[45]

Recommended measures were developed to promote the long-term protection and enhancement of cultural resources on Watershed Lands in Volume II and the most commonly recommended measures for cultural resources enhancement included:

- Conduct surveys of the planning unit to identify cultural resources and enable their protection.
- Develop a cultural resources management plan or extend existing plans into adjacent areas.
- Incorporate cultural resource protection measures in conservation easements to protect any cultural resources found in the future.
- Coordinate with Native American entities when conducting cultural resource measures.[46]

The Stewardship Council focused much of the effort to protect and enhance Historic Values on working with Native American entities through fee title donations, focused outreach, and in some cases resource surveys and resource protection projects. Watershed Lands were donated to three Native American Entities (Pit River Tribe, Potter Valley Tribe, and Maidu Summit Consortium donations are detailed in Chapter 3). As a part of the LCCP process, significant outreach and involvement was conducted to engage Native American entities as detailed in Chapter 4. Comprehensive Cultural Resources Surveys were completed for the Burney Gardens and Bell Road planning unit. At properties where PG&E had historic resource information from prior studies, such as available from FERC licensing or timber harvest studies, they provided it to the qualified recipient of the conservation easement/covenant holders for baseline documentation and ongoing monitoring. Resource protection projects were completed with enhancement funds at the Humbug Valley, Manzanita Lake, and Hat Creek planning units and details on those enhancement projects are included in Chapter 7.

45 Deed of Conservation Easement (Bear River Pinecroft Reserve, Placer County) p.8-9

46 LCP Volume II p.8-9

AGRICULTURAL USES

13,083 acres of land were identified as leased for agricultural uses within the Watershed Lands in Volume II.[47] The majority of these lands are in the northern planning units and are utilized for cattle grazing; however, lease activities also include horse grazing, row crops, aquaculture, and a tree farm. The most significant agricultural area is the 6,000-acre McArthur Swamp, which has a long history of grazing and supports more than 1,000 head of cattle.

Where agricultural use existed on Watershed Lands, the related conservation easements and covenants included agriculture as a conservation value to be protected. 34 transactions covering 47,752 acres included agriculture as a BPV. In selected cases where agriculture was not present when the conservation easement was placed on the property, agricultural use was allowed for in the conservation easement if it would be an appropriate use to add in the future. In some cases where donations to Native American entities were recommended, agricultural uses were included to protect cultivation and gathering of wild plant foods, medicinal plants, basketry materials, seeds, grasses and bulbs for subsistence, medicinal, and ceremonial purposes.

The conservation value in the conservation easement typically included language such as:

"Agricultural values, such as grazing."[48]

Enhancement measures identified in Volume II for agricultural resources focused on improving current agricultural practices and identifying additional areas suitable for agricultural use. The majority of recommended measures were specific to cattle grazing, as it is the dominant agricultural use on the Watershed Lands. The most commonly recommended measures for agricultural protection and enhancement in Volume II include:

- Develop a baseline conditions report that describes current agricultural, physical, and overall biological conditions of the area, including current uses and state of improvement.
- Develop a rangeland management plan for grazing use that includes goals and objectives and a monitoring and adaptive management strategy and specifies grazing prescriptions that address soil and water conservation, erosion control, pest management, nutrient management, vegetation management, and habitat protection.

47 Ibid p. 8

48 Deed of Conservation Easement and Agreement (North Fork Feather River Planning Unit) Exhibit D p. 42

- Evaluate the potential for grazing opportunities, in coordination with adjacent grazing operations (or USFS/BLM grazing allotments).[49]

The Fall River Resource Conservation District (RCD) was the primary donee receiving land used for agriculture. In recognition of the importance of the agricultural use of cattle grazing to the local economy and the unique wildlife habitat values of McArthur Swamp, the Fall River RCD agreed to develop and implement a demonstration project that was requested and funded by the Stewardship Council board. The demonstration project involved the development and implementation of a land management plan and an enhancement project with the potential to improve the beneficial public values of both wildlife habitat and agriculture, supporting viable cattle grazing operation. Additional detail on this enhancement project is provided in Chapter 7. The Fall River RCD was also permitted to intensify agricultural use of the property to grow crops on up to 20% of the property.

On the Fall River Mills planning unit property donated to the Fall River RCD, the agricultural use was permitted to be intensified in the conservation easement and the conservation value is stated as:

"Agricultural uses on the Protected Property include rangeland/grazing and intensification to convert rangeland/grazing land into more intensive agricultural uses, including, without limitation, the growing and production of small grains, wild rice, hay, or silage for livestock food production."[50]

Public Access

The guiding documents outlining the requirements of the LCC established objectives to preserve or enhance reasonable public access and provide the Stewardship Council the flexibility to determine how best to preserve and enhance the beneficial public values on the Watershed Lands.[51]

The Stewardship Council developed standard conservation easement language that included a specific provision pertaining to public access requiring the landowner to allow public access to the property that is substantially consistent with the public access existing on the effective date of the conservation easement, while also giving the property owner the right to reasonably control, limit, or as necessary, exclude informal

49 LCP Volume II p.8

50 Deed of Conservation Easement Limiting Owner's Uses Fall River Mills RCD p. 4

51 Stipulation I. 02-04-026 p.11

uses and public access. The conservation easement language requires that the property owner and conservation easement holder periodically review public access and informal uses.[52]

Donations to the US Forest Service are subject to a conservation covenant (not the typical conservation easement for all other Watershed Lands) that requires the lands remain open to the public for outdoor recreation.

The Stewardship Council has facilitated land transfers to entities committed to protecting, and in many cases, enhancing public access and recreational opportunities. Additionally, the Stewardship Council has funded a number of enhancement projects that meaningfully enhance public access and recreation on the Watershed Lands. See Chapter 7 for enhancement projects.

52 Conservation Easement Guidance for Donated Lands. Final Revised 5-7-15 Exhibit E p.16-19



Lake Almanor from town of Chester

6. AREAS EXCLUDED FROM THE LAND CONSERVATION COMMITMENT

In some cases, PG&E Watershed Lands were excluded from the protections of the LCC. A total of 1,376 acres originally included in the LCC were removed for the reasons outlined below.

NO SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC INTEREST VALUE

The PG&E Settlement Agreement and Stipulation contemplated the possibility that some parcels of Watershed Lands would not have a significant public interest value (NSPIV) and should not be required to be protected by a conservation easement.[53] The board made findings of NSPIV only on land for which PG&E retained ownership. After conversations with the board, PG&E and CPUC staff in 2017-2019, PG&E determined it would accept the board NSPIV recommendations, the Stewardship Council would omit the parcels from the LCCPs, and the Stewardship Council would note the NSPIV findings in Biannual CPUC reports. [54]

In 2016 Stewardship Council staff preliminarily identified approximately 50 parcels encompassing a total of 250 acres as potential candidates for a NSPIV finding based on parcel dispersion, known existing conditions, and aerial photos. Following the completion of the evaluation and outreach process outlined below, the Board found approximately 115 acres in 35 separate parcels do not have significant public interest value and therefore are not required to be protected by a conservation easement, according to the terms of the PG&E Settlement Agreement and Stipulation. See Exhibit 8 for a listing of approved NSPIV Parcels by LCPID.

The Stewardship Council developed a robust process for evaluating the public interest value of each NSPIV candidate parcel, including: (1) the establishment and application of a set of considerations for evaluating the public interest value of each parcel, focusing on the BPVs associated with the parcels; (2) the assessment of the physical condition of each parcel through review of existing information, satellite imagery, and site visits; (3) consultation with the entity that would hold any conservation

53 Stipulation p.10

54 CPUC Meeting Talking Notes March 21, 2019

easement established on the subject parcel; and (4) the review of applicable databases with historical information pertaining to biological and cultural resources that may be present on or in the immediate vicinity of each parcel. A board working group (NSPIV Work Group) was established to review staff recommendations and forward them to the Planning Committee and board for approval.

Step 1: Considerations for Evaluating the Public Interest Value of a Parcel

The following considerations informed the evaluation of each subject parcel with regard to its public interest value.

1. The existing and potential future beneficial public values associated with the parcel.
2. The existing and potential future public use of the parcel.
3. The potential for future development of the site based primarily on its proximity to roads, infrastructure, and other development.
4. The size and location of the parcel with respect to other parcels in the planning unit.
5. The ownership and use of surrounding lands.
6. The ease or difficulty of access in and around the parcel by the conservation easement holder for the purposes of monitoring.
7. The necessity of a conservation easement to protect against adverse risk to beneficial public values existing on the property.
8. Expressly stated public interest in having the property conserved.

Step 2: Assessment of Physical Condition of Parcel

An assessment of the physical condition of each of the subject parcels was undertaken. The assessment involved a review of existing information, such as the description of the lands contained in Volume II of the LCP, and a review of readily available satellite imagery and aerial photography. Staff and/or a qualified consultant (e.g., a biologist or archeologist) visited and inspected each parcel, with the possible exception of parcels that would be very difficult to access. Members of the NSPIV Work Group also had the opportunity to visit candidate parcels.

Step 3: Consultation with Prospective Conservation Easement Holder

If staff made a preliminary determination that a particular parcel may not have significant public interest value, staff consulted with the entity recommended to hold the conservation easement over the particular

parcel and adjacent or nearby parcels. If the entity concurred with staff's preliminary recommendation, staff would continue to evaluate and possibly recommend the subject parcel for a possible NSPIV finding.

Step 4: Review of Applicable (Cultural Resources and Biological) Database

If the evaluation of the candidate parcel using the considerations listed above resulted in a preliminary determination by staff that a particular parcel may not have significant public interest value, staff and/or a qualified consultant initiated a review of existing databases pertaining to recorded observations of threatened and endangered species.

The following three sources of information were evaluated with respect to cultural resources:

1. California Office of Historic Preservation historical inventory (including cultural resources). This historical inventory is known as the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS).

2. Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) inventory of Native American sacred sites. This step would require submitting parcel information to NAHC and requesting a review of its records. After completing the inventory check, NAHC usually sends the inquiring party a letter containing a list of Native American tribes that it recommends the party consult.

3. PG&E records concerning cultural resources.

PUBLIC OUTREACH

Outreach to Native American tribes and to other stakeholders on a parcel being considered for a NSPIV finding was conducted as follows:

A notice of a proposed NSPIV finding was made available for a 30-day public review and comment period and noticed to a wide variety of stakeholders, including all landowners within a mile radius of the site, local water agencies, county board of supervisors, and Native American tribes located in the vicinity of the parcel. The Stewardship Council sent an individually addressed letter to tribes that the Stewardship Council has identified as being located in the vicinity of the candidate parcel and to any other tribes that the NAHC recommended the Stewardship Council contact with regard to the finding and the possible presence of cultural resources on the candidate parcel. All comments received were shared with the Planning Committee before the committee forwarded a recommendation of NSPIV finding to the Board for action. If after reviewing the public comments, the Planning Committee decided that the subject property does not warrant a NSPIV finding, the property

was encumbered by a conservation easement and addressed in the corresponding LCCP.

NSPIV parcels were generally not referenced in LCCPs since they are not a part of the recommendation for conservation or donation of the subject planning units but were reported separately to the CPUC in biannual reports.

PARTIAL INTEREST PARCELS

PG&E has less than 50% ownership interest in approximately 440 acres on seven LCP parcels in the Bear River planning unit. The LCP IDs are 835, 858, 860, 861, 863, 864, and 869. As a result of the partial ownership, PG&E does not have the legal authority to encumber the parcels with a conservation easement, so PG&E concluded that no action by the Stewardship Council should be taken on the parcels.

CARRIZO PLAIN LAND EXCHANGE

PG&E owned approximately 654 acres of land in an area of San Luis Obispo County known as the Carrizo Plain that was subject to the LCC (LCC). Previously the site of a demonstration solar facility, the property included improvements associated with that former use, including an old warehouse/storage structure. PG&E leased the land to a local rancher for dry farming and grazing. Two PG&E transmission lines run in the vicinity of the property's northern boundary: the 115 kilovolt (kV) Temblor-San Luis Obispo distribution line is sited along the property's northern border and the 230 kV Morro Bay-Midway transmission line is sited directly north of that. Topaz Solar Farms, LLC (Topaz) planned to build a 550-megawatt (MW) photovoltaic (PV) power plant that would surround the property on more than two sides.

In 2010, PG&E and Topaz proposed a land exchange whereby the PG&E Carrizo Plain Property would be acquired by Topaz in exchange for two other privately-owned parcels totaling approximately 1,200 acres (Exchange Properties) and located within the boundaries of the Carrizo Plain National Monument. The Exchange Properties would be donated to the federal Bureau of Land Management and be permanently protected as part of the Carrizo Plain National Monument.

The Stewardship Council supported the proposed land exchange at its October 20, 2010 meeting, finding that the proposed land exchange may provide greater environmental and economic benefits to the people of California than continuing to maintain the PG&E Carrizo Plain Property within the LCC. The Exchange Properties would provide greater opportunities to preserve and enhance the beneficial public values of

wildlife habitat (possibly excepting kit fox habitat), agricultural uses, and outdoor recreation by integrating these lands into the management of the surrounding Carrizo Plain National Monument. Further, the exchange permitted renewable energy development on the PG&E Carrizo Plain Property. Support from the Stewardship Council was conditioned on Topaz completing the environmental review process and securing permits for the Topaz Solar Farm project, Topaz first transferring the Exchange Properties to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for inclusion in the Carrizo Plain National Monument, Topaz paying reasonable transaction costs, and permanent protection of the Exchange Properties by a conservation easement or equivalent agreement between BLM and an entity qualified to hold and manage conservation easements in the State of California. The Stewardship Council further recommended that PG&E engage with Topaz on the development of additional enhancements to the Exchange Properties for the benefit of the public.[55]

The CPUC approved the land transfer on July 28, 2011 with the Stewardship Council recommended conditions and modified the Settlement Agreement to remove the Carrizo Plains from the protected lands owned by PG&E.[56] In 2012, the Exchange Properties were donated to BLM and deed restrictions were placed on the Exchange Properties, with Sequoia Riverlands Trust holding the deed restrictions and completing annual monitoring.[57] The 550 MW Topaz solar farm came online in October 2014 providing renewable energy for approximately 180,000 homes.

INACCESSIBLE PARCELS

PG&E concluded it is infeasible to secure legal access to five parcels containing 157 acres in the Oroville planning unit and one parcel containing four acres in the Battle Creek planning unit. Accredited land trusts cannot hold conservation easements over property that they are unable to access for monitoring and easement enforcement purposes, so while the parcels were included in the LCCPs, a footnote was added that legal access could not be secured. PG&E will address these parcels with the CPUC to determine a path forward.

BASS LAKE PARCEL TRANSFERS

In the Bass Lake planning unit PG&E transferred ownership of parcels 1037-1038 and 1041-1044 to the lease holders as outlined in the Bass Lake

55 Carrizo Plain Board Action

56 Decision Approving Land Transfer and Acting on Related Issues D 11-07-040

57 Garcia to BLM and Stewart to BLM Grant Deeds

100-year Master Lease, which contained an option to buy the parcel that was leased. Therefore, these parcels were not included in the LCCP.

OROVILLE PARCEL 690

Parcel 690, comprising approximately 4 acres, was inadvertently included as part of the Oroville planning unit, but was actually quitclaimed in 1991 to the Western Canal Water District.

LOT LINE ADJUSTMENTS

In two cases where lands were being surveyed, significant boundary issues were uncovered that required boundary line adjustments to clarify the boundary and avoid having encroachments on property being donated to new fee title owners. In the Eel River planning unit at parcel 748 an incorrect property boundary survey was conducted and recorded with Mendocino County in 1973. The 24 acres in question were approved for a NSPIV and the boundary line adjustment removed PG&E interest in the property. In the Lake Almanor planning unit, encroaching buildings on parcel 375 were discovered in the survey process which were constructed based on an improper survey. The encroachments were surveyed out and a boundary line adjustment was completed so that the fee title donee would not have encroachments on the property.



Kidd Lake in the Fordyce planning unit

7. ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM

The LCP includes the requirement to "enhance the existing environmental and economic benefits of the Watershed Lands and Carrizo Plains on an overall basis". This requirement led the Stewardship Council to undertake a thorough examination of existing conditions, both environmental and economic, and to focus on how to best enhance the current state of the Watershed Lands. In 2012, the Stewardship Council launched its Environmental Enhancement Program (Enhancement Program) to improve the quality and character of the six BPVs as well as the user's experience of those lands. Overall, the Enhancement Program resulted in approximately $35 million being awarded to enhance the Watershed Lands. The enhancements funded included the costs of establishing perpetual conservation easements on the Watershed Lands, stewardship funding for the conservation easement holders, as well as specific project grants selected by the Stewardship Council.

ENDOWMENTS AND CAPACITY BUILDING FOR CONSERVATION EASEMENT HOLDERS

Early in the LCC process, the Stewardship Council determined that the Watershed Lands would be best stewarded by having primarily local land trusts familiar with the surrounding environment and community hold the perpetual conservation easements. Through the Interested Donee Registry, pilot process, Round 1, and later the targeted process for selecting donees of conservation easements, the Stewardship Council selected a total of 14 conservation easement and covenant holders. The Stewardship Council invested heavily in these land trusts and Sierra Nevada Conservancy as the covenant holder, providing $16.8 million in endowments for ongoing monitoring and stewardship of the easements and covenants including legal defense funds. The Stewardship Council also provided grant funding for staff review of due diligence, negotiation of the conservation easements, development of Baseline Documentation Reports, and legal expenses that amounted to $3.16 million. The conservation easements act as important enhancements to the Watershed Lands, as they will exist in perpetuity to ensure the continued protection of the BPVs. A listing of grants for transaction expenses, monitoring and stewardship endowments, and legal defense funds is included as Exhibit 9.

The Stewardship Council identified a need for a local land trust to hold conservation easements in the Mokelumne and Stanislaus watersheds. The board chose to invest in Mother Lode Land Trust (MLLT) to increase its capacity to carry out its duties as the holder of conservation easements over PG&E Watershed Lands and to invest and safeguard the Stewardship Council funding that is provided MLLT for conservation easement monitoring and legal defense. Capacity building grants of $275,000 were provided to MLLT for these purposes and MLLT now holds 9 LCC conservation easements over 5,677 acres.

The PG&E Watershed Lands are primarily located in either mountainous rural areas with few nearby population centers or wildland urban interface areas, and the land trusts that serve these areas have incredible goals to help conserve large landscapes. The Stewardship Council projects have contributed to growing these land trusts to not only take on conserving the PG&E Watershed Lands, but also to acquire additional lands and conservation easements. In 2021 Shasta Land Trust, as the holder of the largest Watershed Lands portfolio of terrestrial properties at 31,033 acres, was awarded four grants from the California Sustainable Agricultural Lands Conservation Program that will conserve an additional 2,711 acres in Shasta County. Paul Vienneau, Executive Director of the Shasta Land Trust said of the Stewardship Council work, "The contribution this has provided to the local conservation community is immense. From a small land trust's perspective, this has allowed us to increase capacity which has led to additional acres protected. In addition, we have had the opportunity to work with new partners that have strengthened our understanding of community partnerships, while also increasing our overall stewardship fund that will allow for greater organizational capacity down the road. While the process has been arduous and tedious at times, SLT's involvement with these projects has been a game changer for the organization."

ENHANCEMENT PROJECT GRANTS

The Stewardship Council provided approximately $14 million in grants for selected enhancement projects that enhance the BPVs of the Watershed Lands and promote productive partnerships involving landowners, Native American tribes, conservation easement holders, local communities, youth, and other stakeholders. Examples of some of the projects funded to date include habitat restoration, recreational trails and facilities, cultural resource protection and interpretation, forest research, management plans, planning and feasibility studies, and biological surveys. A full listing of Enhancement Program grants awarded and budgeted to date is included in Exhibit 10.

The charts below show how much funding has been dedicated to donated lands and PG&E retained lands (Figure 5) and how Enhancement Program grants support different project types (Figure 6).




FIGURE 5 GRANT FUNDS ON DONATED OR PG&E RETAINED LAND




FIGURE 6 ENHANCEMENT FUNDING TO DATE BY PROJECT TYPE

PROGRAM INITIATION AND WORK GROUP

Beginning with the development of Volume II of the LCP in 2006, an extensive effort was undertaken by the Stewardship Council to identify potential enhancement projects on the Watershed Lands. This effort included public workshops throughout the Watershed Lands to solicit input from local stakeholders on potential enhancement projects, discussions with prospective fee title donees, prospective conservation easement holders, and conservation partners, and the identification of potential enhancement opportunities by AECOM, a consulting firm with extensive knowledge of the Watershed Lands through its prior work on the Land Conservation Program.

An Enhancement Work Group, comprised of ten Stewardship Council board members, with participation of several Land Conservation Program staff and PG&E staff was formed in 2012 to develop a framework and process for the Enhancement Program. The Work Group determined the best outcome would be met by concentrating a significant portion of the available funding on a strategic set of enhancement projects on a limited number of planning units, leaving an enduring legacy on the Watershed Lands for the people of California. The group developed proposed conceptual funding criteria and a structure for legacy projects. The structure envisioned a dedicated and significant amount of funding ($15 million or higher) set aside by the Stewardship Council to fund major enhancement projects on the Watershed Lands that would have a meaningful and enduring impact. The balance of available funding was to be used to fund enhancements such as surveys, studies and management plans across all of the Watershed Lands that were donated, and some of the lands to be retained by PG&E. The Work Group also wished to set aside some funding for an open process for future enhancement projects that would be proposed once donations were underway.

A major focus of the design and initial implementation of the Stewardship Council's Enhancement Program was to seek to maximize the value of the enhancement projects being funded by the Stewardship Council and to leverage the funding being provided by the Stewardship Council. This focus was reflected in the strategic planning and discussions by board members and staff, consultation with other organizations engaged in similar enhancement programs (National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, Resources Legacy Fund, and the Sierra Nevada Conservancy), and review of projects using external advisors with experience and expertise in enhancement projects. This focus was also reflected in the proposal guidelines that were developed which required project applicants to identify sources of matching funds and to describe the anticipated outcomes of proposed projects with respect to protecting, restoring, and improving the BPVs and how these outcomes would be measured.

By 2013, the Work Group decided to pursue directed projects by soliciting and developing partnerships with applicants. The group began by identifying high priority enhancement projects drawing on information and ideas generated by stakeholders through the Stewardship Council's public outreach process, as well as input from the recommended future landowners and conservation easement holders. This effort resulted in the identification of 30 potential conceptual enhancement projects and the projects were divided into three tiers depending on potential project costs. Nineteen of these 30 projects were on land to be retained by PG&E. Proposal guidelines were developed to capture specific information

on the benefits of the proposed projects, and the scope, budget and proposed timeline for implementation. Staff worked collaboratively with potential project sponsors on the development of proposals for the directed projects.

In an effort to identify additional potential projects that contribute to the overarching goals of the Enhancement Program, staff publicized the Enhancement Program and the availability of grant funding through the Stewardship Council's web site, and through communications and meetings with a wide variety of interested stakeholders, including land trusts, fee title donees, PG&E, tribes, and youth and conservation organizations. A fact sheet describing the program and written guidelines for the development of project proposals was distributed and used to publicize the program. Staff also reached out to interested and qualified entities and groups associated with or located near the Watershed Lands to develop new enhancement project proposals. Examples of a few organizations staff attempted to solicit proposals from include the California Hydropower Reform Coalition, Foothill Conservancy, American Whitewater, California Trout, and Trout Unlimited.

Staff also worked with PG&E to investigate expanding access to Pacific Service Employees Association (PSEA) camps to the general public. There were a number of challenges identified since PSEA is a member-based organization and changes in access would require approval by their Board of Directors, who would seek input from the current membership. PSEA had considered expanding the seasonal availability of its camp facilities, but in most cases the cost of doing so was high due to increased costs of facility operation and maintenance, limited demand, and weather constraints in the shoulder seasons that would be available. Further work on PSEA camps was not pursued as competitive grant cycles began.

ENHANCEMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE AND DIRECTED GRANTS

In 2014 an Enhancement Review Committee (ERC) was established to review grant proposals, apply evaluation criteria, and make recommendations to the Stewardship Council board regarding the proposals, funding amounts, and grant conditions. The committee was formed with several board members, a representative from one of the land trusts holding conservation easements, and a Sierra Nevada Conservancy representative.

From 2011 through 2016 the Stewardship Council awarded enhancement grants, totaling approximately $2.9 million to twelve organizations. This included:

- $2,000,000 for two major projects at Hat Creek planning unit, Shasta County for habitat restoration, trail development, and cultural resource protection
- $140,000 for Merced River Riparian restoration project
- $400,000 for the development of land management and adaptive management plans
- $350,000 for planning and feasibility studies
- $100,000 for cultural resource protection, ethnographic and biological surveys

CAPACITY BUILDING GRANTS

In addition to the $2.9 million in enhancement grants awarded from 2011 to 2016, capacity building grants were awarded and shown in Exhibit 10. These grants were awarded for individual need of partner organizations on a case-by-case basis and used to help the recipient organization build capacity to the point where they could qualify as donees of PG&E Watershed Lands. The Stewardship Council awarded approximately $750,000 in capacity building grants to the Maidu Summit Consortium (MSC) to enhance the organization's ability to protect the BPVs of Watershed Lands. The Board recommended that MSC receive fee title to over 3,000 acres in the Humbug Valley and Lake Almanor planning units in the Maidu ancestral territory. The Board also awarded $275,000 in capacity building grants to the Mother Lode Land Trust (MLLT) to increase its ability to successfully protect the BPVs as described in Chapter 9. As a result of these capacity building grants, MSC and MLLT are much better positioned to financially sustain themselves and are strongly positioned to successfully steward the BPVs of the lands in which they have acquired a property interest through the LCC.

PROGRAM RE-EVALUATION

When the Stewardship Council launched the Enhancement Program, there was an assumption that the land transactions would be completed no later than 2016 and that there would be approximately $25 to $30 million available for enhancement project awards. However, due to the complexity of the land transactions, and the costs associated with that work, a significantly larger percentage of the $70 million provided to the Stewardship Council for the Land Conservation Program was spent to complete land transactions. This was necessary to ensure that the conservation easement holders have the funding in perpetuity to monitor the uses of the lands and take enforcement action if necessary

to ensure that the BPVs of the properties are protected, in order to meet the requirements of the Settlement Agreement and Stipulation. In 2017, the Enhancement Program budget was reconsidered and reduced to approximately $13 million.

2017 COMPETITIVE GRANT CYCLE

With this reduced funding in mind, in 2017 the Stewardship Council invited select organizations to participate in a new competitive round of funding of up to $2 million focused on physical improvements on the Watershed Lands. Staff solicited concept proposals in September 2017 and received 25 proposals requesting $16 million. The ERC considered the concept proposals and invited nine applicants to submit full proposals. Full proposals were accepted in February 2018 and reviewed by PG&E to ensure compatibility with operations.

Of the nine full proposals received, the Stewardship Council awarded the following grants:

- Fall River Lake Trail Improvement and Ecocultural Enhancement Project - The Fall River Valley Community Service District, working in partnership with Lomakatsi Restoration Project used $299,230 to complete oak woodland restoration and build 1.8 miles of trail, including benches and interpretive signage.
- Indian Ole Public Access Improvements - Mountain Meadows Conservancy used $130,000 to build a half mile of trail with a restroom and interpretive signage.
- Tàsmam Koyòm Maidu Cultural Park - MSC was awarded $178,800 to improve infrastructure for the first Tribal Cultural Park in California and to protect natural and cultural resources that may be impacted from increased visitor use. Due to other priorities, including the Dixie Fire, MSC was not able to accomplish the work and $153,800 of the grant was reallocated to other projects.
- Bass Lake North Fork Regional Trail - Madera County used $502,882 to develop a new walk-in campground, a connecting trail, and a staging area for multiple use access to the campground at the property. The County has planned a new regional park at the site and the trail will link other trail segments in the area for the growing regional system. It will also complete a fuels reduction project to prevent catastrophic wildfire damage to the property and surrounding habitat.

- Bucks Lake Trail System – Sierra Buttes Trail Stewardship used $253,000 to build a new 6 miles multiuse trail system that connects resorts to the Pacific Crest Trail and other trails while providing a safer alternative to using the busy roadway.
- Pines to Mines Trail – Bear Yuba Land Trust used $300,000 to build a new bridge over a spillway and reroute the trail under a penstock, removing a major barrier for trail users on the 80-mile route.

SKY MOUNTAIN CAMP

In June 2019, the Stewardship Council awarded $5 million to San Joaquin County Office of Education (SJCOE) to acquire and improve Sky Mountain Camp at Lake Valley Reservoir to enhance the camp beyond its typical use as a Christian camp. It now functions as a youth environmental and science camp that serves school children, teachers for professional development, and other groups' team building and outdoor recreation activities. SJCOE is an innovative organization that already has now transferred its outdoor education program from Jones Gulch in the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Sky Mountain Outdoor Education Center. SJCOE is using the facility at Sky Mountain to serve more students from public and private schools, enhance its programs, and offer new specialized programs exposing thousands of students to the Watershed Lands in a learning environment that uniquely supports the preservation and appreciation of the BPVs.

MCARTHUR SWAMP

The Stewardship Council awarded $1.76 million in enhancement project funds to Fall River Resource Conservation District (RCD) to demonstrate the synergy of grazing and habitat protection at McArthur Swamp. McArthur Swamp is a 4,491-acre property located north of the town of McArthur in eastern Shasta County which was transferred from PG&E to the RCD in 2017, with the conservation easement held by Ducks Unlimited. Historically, McArthur Swamp was a large wetland and wet meadow complex and the RCD used funds to re-wet portions of the property for spring migrating waterfowl, waterbirds and shorebirds. The ability to irrigate specific wet meadow pastures throughout the spring and early summer will also increase the availability and quality of nesting habitat for wet meadow associated species like the nesting mallard, cinnamon teal, gadwall, and Canada goose among others. This additional water supply will also benefit cattle grazing. When the migratory bird seasonal use ceases, grazing will be utilized to control vegetation and noxious weeds. Guiding these efforts is a Management Plan that the RCD recently completed with enhancement project funds

from the Stewardship Council. The plan informs appropriate public access for bird watching, nature study, and limited hunting opportunities, some of which are coordinated through the California Department of Fish and Wildlife's SHARE Program. By implementing the approved tools in the Management Plan like grazing, active management conducted by a staff Range Manager, and development of additional water resources, the RCD has begun stewarding a crown jewel of wildlife habitat while supporting the local agricultural community.

2020 COMPETITIVE GRANT CYCLE

At the beginning of 2020, budget projections indicated that an additional approximately $3 million would be available to add to the Enhancement Program from remaining funds and the potential future release of contingencies for the Land Conservation Program. As a result, staff solicited a new round of concept applications in January 2020 and received 13 applications requesting a total of $7 million.

As of May 2023, the following additional awards have been made from this cycle:

- Student Conservation Association was granted $100,000 to restore the Benmore Trail in the Eel River planning unit that was damaged during salvage logging from the Ranch Fire.
- Spring Rivers Conservancy was granted $102,000 to work at Sucker Springs on vegetation management and restoration, a macroinvertebrate education station, and equipment and storage that supports field trips to the site with local schools.
- Fall River Valley Community Services District (CSD) was awarded $450,000 to add improvements to Two Rivers Park that include a park pavilion and tables, landscaping and weed management, a drinking fountain, kiosk, water and power to the site, and access control boulders and fencing. The project is on property PG&E donated to the Fall River Valley CSD.
- Cal Trout was awarded $200,000 for the Hat Creek Wild Trout Area Riparian Restoration Project, which will control invasive weeds, add signage, and add riparian plantings on 12 acres along Hat Creek, which is on PG&E retained lands.
- UC Berkeley Forests was awarded $300,000 for the Building on Sustainable Forestry Beneficial Public Values to Reduce Risks to Other Beneficial Public Values project.

NATIVE AMERICAN SUPPORT THROUGH ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM

Involving Native American entities has been a priority of the Stewardship Council. Thirty nine percent of the projects funded to date provide support for the historic and cultural BPV through activities such as tribal monitoring and consultation, tribal workforce development, tribal organization capacity building, cultural interpretation, and cultural resource protection. In addition, the Stewardship Council contracted for a Cultural Resources Survey in the Burney Gardens planning unit. The intensive field study included consultation with the Pit River Tribe and was completed in October 2019.

PG&E RETAINED LAND ENHANCEMENT PROJECT CONSIDERATIONS


Working with PG&E on planning and implementation of enhancement projects presents its own unique challenges and considerations, due to CPUC and FERC regulatory oversight. PG&E's Third-Party Use process requires several months of pre-planning and approvals, many times requiring an 851 filing with the CPUC for projects that include permanent structures like trails, parks, etc. PG&E takes into account several factors when considering the feasibility of proposed enhancement projects on retained land. These factors include:

- Compatibility of the proposed use with operational needs and activities;
- Compatibility of the proposed use with current FERC license and other regulatory requirements;
- Compatibility of the proposed use with PG&E business policies, practices, and guidelines (financial, employee and public safety, environmental, public relations, etc.);
- Compatibility of the proposed use with other existing uses on the property and with uses on surrounding lands; and
- Qualifications (capability and capacity) of the applicant

Enhancements that involve the installation of permanent structures on lands within FERC project boundaries come with greater complexity and oversight authority. The Stewardship Council has been mindful of not funding projects, particularly recreation or public access improvements, which may be separately required and funded as part of a FERC relicensing effort. Additionally, PG&E has indicated that they are not currently funded to own or manage enhancements implemented via the LCC, so operations and maintenance are the responsibility of the grantee, generally a non-profit or governmental entity, as part of the grant agreement.

FIGURE 4 CONSERVATION EASEMENT HOLDER MAP

Exhibit 2



9. ECONOMIC AND PHYSICAL IMPACTS

The recommendations of the Stewardship Council in Volume I and II were "based on the understanding that certain economic benefits can be gained by enhancing the environmental values of a place. The maintenance and conservation of environmental resources (e.g., watershed protection), timber production, raising and selling livestock, the enhancement of recreation and tourism, the protection of cultural and historical sites and objects, and the protection of open space all have the potential to yield economic benefits. The preservation and enhancement of these types of benefits via recommendations in the LCP have the potential to yield positive long-term results, both from an environmental and economic perspective.[58]"

The Stipulation required that the Stewardship Council have a plan to monitor the economic and physical impacts of dispositions and implementation of enhancement measures.[59] There was desire by the parties to ensure that there were no negative environmental impacts from enhancement projects and a desire to understand the economic impacts of conservation easements on the Watershed Lands.

The Stewardship Council executed a plan to monitor economic and physical impacts by preparing the following documents:

1. This Final Report which includes:
    a. An assessment of any economic and physical impacts resulting from the LCC to date in this chapter.
    b. Details on satisfaction of the property tax neutrality requirement with regard to each parcel donated to a tax-exempt organization displayed in Exhibit 4.
    c. Details of the enhancements that were funded by the Stewardship Council to date are shown in Chapter 7.
2. SNC Final Report Update - The Stewardship Council has entered into an agreement with the SNC whereby SNC has agreed to prepare a report which will include:
    a. Impact of the LCC on agreements for economic uses

58 LCP Volume 1 Section 2.2

59 Stipulation p.8

b. Changes in entities holding conservation easements or fee title

c. Performance of duties by conservation easement holders

The SNC Final Report Update will only report on significant changes in leases, licenses, and permits that occur post fee title transfer **on donated** lands since any changes occurring on PG&E retained lands are not likely to be attributable to the establishment of the conservation easement. An exception to this would be if the easement holder reports that an existing third party use on PG&E retained lands was curtailed or terminated due to significant impacts on the BPVs.

The SNC Final Report Update will include any **new or expanded** third party uses that resulted in an enhancement or degradation of the BPVs for the subject property or a significant increase or decrease in economic activity.

The full scope of work of the SNC Final Report Update is included as Exhibit 13.

ECONOMIC IMPACTS

The Settlement Agreement and Stipulation required that the conservation easements honor existing agreements for economic uses, including consumptive water deliveries.[60] According to Stewardship Council Board policy, "the requirement that conservation easements 'honor existing agreements' pertains not only to honoring the current agreements, but also to honoring the renewal or replacement of such agreements, or new agreements, either with the existing user or a new user, for the same type and intensity of use that was the subject of the "existing agreement for economic use.[61]" The Stewardship Council evaluated existing economic uses on the Watershed Lands in Volume I to gain a baseline understanding of land uses that include leases to third parties, timber production, and recreation. Additionally, information on existing economic uses was obtained from PG&E staff, site visits, community meetings, public agency meetings, GIS data, and other documents. Economic uses were further described in each LCCP found in Volume III.

The board adopted a Third-Party Use Request Guidelines and Procedure Policy in 2004, which was amended multiple times. The policy is a general

60 Settlement Appendix E LCC, Stipulation

61 Conservation Easement Guidance for Donated Lands p.3

process under which PG&E agreed to seek input from the Stewardship Council Board on third party requests to use portions of the Watershed Lands in advance of creating conservation easements or transferring fee title under the LCP. The Stewardship Council and PG&E developed Express Third-Party Use language for the Conservation Easement Guidance document that the parties used to begin conservation easement negotiations and ensured standard terms were included in conservation easements where existing economic uses were present. The standard terms provided that the owner retained the right to maintain, renew, and replace all agreements memorializing the Express Third Party Uses subject to conditions allowing the conservation easement holder written consent for an increase in intensity, expansion or location or size or change in use; that renewal or replacement of the agreement would include reasonably practicable provisions to align the use with preservation of the conservation values of the property; and the owner would use reasonable efforts to enforce or remedy significant impairment of the conservation values caused by a default under an agreement.[62]

Short term economic impacts from the LCC are not expected to be substantial. Some lands that were managed to support hydro uses and minimize liability should now be more actively managed to enhance the BPVs by fee title donees selected for their land management qualifications. As Native American tribes return to stewarding the land, agencies like CAL FIRE and Madera County develop new recreational amenities, and Fall River RCD invests in increasing agricultural productivity at McArthur Swamp, there will likely be some marginal increase in economic activity due to enhancement projects either funded by the Stewardship Council or generated by the new owners on the Watershed Lands. These projects generated economic activity in the planning and implementation stages and created new uses that will generate revenue such as increased tourism spending by trail users or fishermen. There may be some changes in timber harvesting by new owners working to increase the fire resiliency of their lands, such as the Grouse Ridge Research Forest that was harvested by Berkeley Forests shortly after transfer, but there will likely be a delay in harvesting for most fee title donees while they complete management planning and permitting following their acquisitions. The short-term economic impacts from the LCC are varied and challenging to quantify and, aside from the Enhancement Program, the Stewardship Council has not tracked economic indicators on the Watershed Lands. LCC impacts are also dwarfed by the catastrophic impacts of wildfire on the Watershed Lands.

62 Ibid p. 15

The long-term economic impacts of the LCC are more challenging to measure and beyond the scope of what the Stewardship Council will be able to report. SNC will provide an update on changes in owners and leases in 2025, but the scope of that update will be narrow and insufficient time will have passed to evaluate long term impacts. In the future, research projects that can look back at trends in timber harvests, lease rates, agricultural production, and spending by recreational users may be able to determine the positive long-term results envisioned by the Settlement and Stipulation for environmental and economic benefits, though they should also account for the effects of climate change on timber and agricultural uses.

Leases

There are a variety of leases on the Watershed Lands that have been managed by PG&E, as the landowner, in some cases for many decades. When Volume I was developed in 2005 and 2006, there were 238 leases on the Watershed Lands that covered approximately 9,120 acres. The leases encompassed a wide range of uses, including grazing, commercial facilities, recreational camps, infrastructure, mining, marinas, fish hatcheries, and weather reporting sites. The lease category with the greatest number of leases (over half of all leases) was the homesite category. These are primarily leases for private homes/cabins, mainly at Doyle Springs, Bucks Lake, and Philbrook Reservoir, with scattered additional homesite leases throughout the rest of the Watershed Lands. There were also 27 leases for agriculture, consisting primarily of leases for cattle grazing with some horse grazing leases. The general commercial lease category contained 42 leases for a variety of uses; many of these leases are for resorts at Lake Almanor, in addition to leases for natural resource-related endeavors such as beehives, quarries, and a Christmas tree farm. There were 19 general recreation leases, primarily consisting of leases for private camps. The amount of annual revenue paid to PG&E by each lessee varies significantly, with annual revenue ranging from less than $1,000 to almost $87,000.[63]

Leases on properties that PG&E retained were generally extended for 10 years prior to the conservation easement being placed on the property and allowed to continue. This includes the recreational homesites at Doyle Springs, Bucks Lake and Philbrook Reservoir as well as fish hatcheries, resorts, commercial facilities, camps, and other areas PG&E retained. Following the completion of the transactions, PG&E has 1,237 leases and

63 LCP Volume 1 Appendix 6 – Economic Uses of the Watershed Lands

licenses under management on the Watershed Lands which include 1,102 boat docks, 15 agriculture and grazing agreements, 5 marinas, and 26 park and recreation use agreements. During the timeframe from Volume I to the present, some leases expired, were terminated by lessees due to changing conditions. For example, a grazing license at Oroville was terminated by the lessee due to personal health reasons.

Where fee title donation of a leased property occurred, typically the new fee title owner assumed the lease and will continue to manage it in accordance with the lease and conservation easement terms. For example:

- Kennedy Meadows – Tuolumne County assumed the lease for the Pack Station and Resort
- Lake Almanor Maidu Cemetery – Maidu Summit Consortium assumed the lease for the portion of the Lake Cover Resort on the donated land
- Cow Creek – Grazing and beekeeping leases were assumed by CALFIRE
- McArthur Swamp – McArthur Company lease was assumed by Fall River RCD and extended 20 years with a minimum AMU
- Tunnel Reservoir – CAL FIRE will assume the license for the Big Bend Volunteer Fire Company Fire Station

In three cases, fee title donation of the property eliminated the need for a lease as the new owner took on property it would have otherwise leased:

- Sky Mountain Camp – PG&E terminated the lease upon donation of the underlying fee title to SJCOE and the Stewardship Council funded SJCOE to purchase the assets from the camp operator, who had expressed interest in selling the camp assets.
- McArthur-Burney Falls Memorial State Park – The state lease of PG&E lands was no longer needed for the donated property when PG&E transferred fee title to State Parks, but State Parks continues to lease portions of the PG&E retained lands at Lake Britton.
- Placer County Pinecroft Reserve -Placer County leased a campground caretaker's mobile home, campground water treatment facility, and public hiking trails which was extinguished when Placer County took fee title to the area.

Timber Production

Of the more than 140,000 acres of Watershed Lands, over 52,000 acres are forested. These lands are covered predominately by the following forest types: mixed conifer forest, lodgepole pine/fir forest, and central oak woodlands. An estimated 948 million board feet of timber is growing on these forested lands with timber growth estimated to be about 25 MBF per year. From 1990 to 2008 PG&E harvested an average of 14 million board feet (MBF) per year on the forested lands, significantly less than the estimated annual timber growth rate of 25 MBF.[64] Over this 10-year period, PG&E harvested and sold an annual average of 11.8 million board feet in green sales, 3.7 million board feet in salvage sales, and 3,000 tons of thinning material. These sales led to an annual average of $5.6 million in gross timber sale revenue between 1994 and 2004. Timber sales vary from year to year, according to management practices which follow a multi-year cycle of harvesting.[65]

The LCC will have little effect on timber harvesting on PG&E retained lands. The conservation easements placed on these properties do not require PG&E to change the way it conducts timber harvesting activities, so any changes in timber production are not related to implementation of the LCC.

Approximately 20,000 acres of forested Watershed Lands have been transferred to new owners. Any changes in timber production after these forested Watershed Lands were donated are not necessarily related with the change in landowner. Changes could be due to a host of factors that could include revisions to the Board of Forestry's regulations, changes in economics affecting timber prices, closure of sawmills in area, or policies encouraging landowners to reduce fuel loads to prevent the spread of wildfires. For these reasons, and because forested lands have just recently been transferred, the Stewardship Council is not proposing to attempt to evaluate changes in timber harvesting or include an update in the SNC Final Report Update to CPUC. A future research project focused on changes to timber production could access information on timber harvest plans through the Board of Forestry.

Recreation

Recreation is a popular and growing use of the Watershed Lands. An inventory of recreational facilities completed for Volume I found 41

64 BPV Sustainable Forestry on the Watershed Lands Briefing Paper May 2011

65 LCP Volume I Appendix 6-3

campgrounds with a total of 1,130 individual sites, and 37 day use and picnic facilities which contain 311 day use and picnic sites. In combination, these facilities receive an estimated total of 175,000 visitors annually and are generally open late spring through early fall in the upper elevations. Some low elevation day use areas are open year around. Campgrounds provide tent space, tables and benches, fire pits and grills, refuse containers, water, restrooms and parking. Most campsites require a fee and have an occupancy time limit of 14 days. While most sites are available on a first-come, first-served basis, group sites require reservations.[66]

Expansions of recreational facilities will primarily be driven by FERC license requirements for PG&E to complete future facilities. Some new facilities have been developed by PG&E during the Stewardship Council tenure such as a new trail at Chili Bar and trail, signage, parking improvements, and toilet facilities along the North Fork Feather River.

Stewardship Council investments in enhancement projects resulted in new recreational facilities at the Hat Creek, Eel River, Manzanita Lake, Bucks Lake, Lake Spaulding, Mountain Meadows, and Fall River Mills planning units. Enhancement projects were primarily focused on constructing new trails for public access and park amenities. On the Watershed Lands, new trails can attract recreational visitors which have potential to increase commerce, and support and create jobs in nearby communities.

PHYSICAL IMPACTS

The existing physical conditions of the properties were exhaustively documented to provide guidance on PG&E Stewardship Council decisions for what properties could be donated and for baselines for future monitoring by conservation easement and covenant holders, and PG&E included Environmental Agreements in transaction packages that held donees harmless and assigned responsibility for any existing issues identified on the properties.

As detailed in the CPUC Regulatory Approvals section of Exhibit 2, the donations of fee title and encumbrance with conservation easements and covenants were not considered projects under CEQA and did not result in any physical impacts to the properties. In some cases, the Stewardship Council funded enhancement projects with physical impacts and required the grantees in the grant agreements to obtain all necessary permits and conduct any necessary CEQA review prior to implementing the enhancement project.

66 LCP Volume 1 Appendix 6 – Economic Uses of the Watershed Lands

Documentation of Existing Conditions

The Stewardship Council and PG&E invested in substantial due diligence and environmental research to document existing conditions of the Watershed Lands and provide background for potential fee title donees and conservation easement holders. The Stipulation states that in the transfer of fee title and conveyance of a conservation easement, PG&E will disclose all known hazardous waste, substance contamination, or other such environmental liabilities associated with each parcel and hold the donee harmless. [67]

Following the adoption of Volume I and II, the Stewardship Council and PG&E developed Background Information Packets for most planning units that had land available for donation to provide information to potential donees. The packets included site-specific information with detailed information on specific parcels, including legal parcel descriptions, parcel maps, preliminary title report, existing leases and licenses, existing encumbrances, timber inventories, relevant background information from LCP Volume II, and other pertinent information. PG&E engaged third party environmental consultants to complete Environmental Site Assessment Reports for each planning unit to identify potential hazardous materials concerns and also made available supplemental information such as revenue sources from existing economic uses and unauthorized uses of the property.

PG&E conducted an initial environmental review including Environmental Site Assessments (ESAs) in 2010 as part of its diligence in preparation for donation of the Property and a follow up ESA or "refresh" in 2017. The ESAs assessed the past and present uses, ownership, and environmental conditions in order to identify potential issues that present known or possible environmental areas of concern. The ESAs included, but were not limited to, site reconnaissance, interviews, historical and regulatory document review, and limited sampling.[68]

Once fee title donees and conservation easement holders were recommended by the Stewardship Council and had signed a non-disclosure agreement, PG&E provided a full due diligence package to each transaction party that typically included:

- Preliminary Title Report
- ESA Report

67 Stipulation p. 11

68 Advice letters PG&E's Assumption of Liability

- Any relevant historic or cultural resource surveys to a qualified recipient
- Copies of leases and licenses

As a part of the transaction process, the conservation easement holder or a qualified contractor prepared a Baseline Documentation Report (BDR) for each transaction focusing on the site-specific ecological setting, biological resources and conservation values of the subject property. The purpose of the BDR was to provide an accurate record of the existing biological and physical conditions of the property at the time of the conservation easement closing date. The information in the BDRs was used as a baseline for long-term monitoring, evaluation, and enhancement of the conservation values identified for the property, in accordance with the terms of the conservation easement. Extensive surveys of the property (e.g., detailed vegetation mapping, focused surveys of special-status plants or animals or sensitive natural communities such as wetlands) were generally not conducted; however, existing conditions were documented at sufficient detail to permit long-term monitoring and enforcement of the conservation easement terms and conditions relative to the conservation easement's stated conservation values. In some cases, more detailed studies were conducted as a part of management plan or enhancement grant, with which the subject BDR made reference. Preparation of the report involved an appropriate number of site visits to document the primary features of the property with photographs and detailed notes.

The Stewardship Council developed minimum requirements for the BDRs to document existing conditions of the BPVs and ensure consistency across the portfolio. While the BDR documents are kept confidential between the transaction parties, the required portions of the BDR documents included the following primary elements:

1. **Date**. Date of completion of BDR.
2. **Preparer Information**. Description of the qualifications of preparer(s) of the BDR, including experience, education, and expertise relevant to the resources, features, and conditions documented.
3. **Fee Title Ownership Information**. Name(s) and contact information of current and anticipated new landowner, if applicable.
4. **Conservation Easement and BDR Purpose**. Statement of the purpose of the conservation easement and the BDR.

5. **Property Description**. Property location, existing setting and land use. Description of existing natural conditions, including general location and setting, topography, hydrology, geology, soils, vegetation, notable habitat types and/or species occurrences (e.g., special status plants or animals) current land uses, and a brief description of surrounding properties.

6. **Existing Improvements**. Description of any man-made improvements and features, both formal and informal, present on the property relevant to monitoring the terms of the conservation easement (e.g., structures, roads, trails, fences, utility pole/lines, and other infrastructure). Where applicable and appropriate, descriptions of the identified improvements and features include information detailing how such improvements and features relate to public access to the property.

7. **Beneficial Public Values**. Detailed description of the conservation values identified in the conservation easement for protection including all relevant features and conditions of the conservation values necessary to establish a baseline from which to monitor and enforce the conservation easement.

8. **Special-status species**. An inventory of potentially occurring special-status plant and animal species, invasive plant and animal species, vegetation and bio-communities that were found while conducting the background research and site visit. The list of special-status species was typically obtained through a California Natural Diversity Database ("CNDDB") query using a 5-mile radius filter to determine the plant and wildlife species that have potential to occur on or near the property.

9. **Invasive species and noxious weeds**. A list of known and potential invasive plant and animal species typically created based on the field surveys and review of multiple sources, including the California Invasive Plant Council ("Cal-IPC") plant inventory.

10. **Maps**. Appropriate maps identify the property location and boundaries (e.g., latitude and longitude coordinates and/or section, township and range information, towns, major public roads, as applicable). The maps also depict the features of the property that are relevant to the administration, monitoring and enforcement of the conservation easement. Relevant features include improvements and other man-made attributes (e.g., roads, fences, structures), topography, vegetative cover, soil types, etc., as well as the site(s) of specific resources and conservation values

protected by the conservation easement (e.g., vegetation, wildlife habitats, natural or scenic features, and historic resources). If applicable, the approximate boundary of any third party use agreements as well as the PG&E "Hydro Operating Zone" (for lands retained by PG&E) was also be depicted on the maps.

11. **Permanent Photo Points and Baseline Photographs**. Permanent photo points were established (e.g., with GPS device) as needed to enable views to all parts of the property to serve as a visual reference to monitor changes relative to baseline conditions. Photographs were taken from these photo points to document the baseline condition of the property, the conservation values and resources protected by the conservation easement, and other features of the property that are relevant to the administration, monitoring and enforcement of the conservation easement. Additional photos, such as current aerial photographs, details of existing improvements, or sensitive resources cited among the conservation values, were also be included, with captions describing what is depicted in the photograph. The BDR included a permanent photo point location map.

12. **Additional Information**. Any additional information deemed necessary by the easement holder to administer, monitor and enforce the conservation easement. (e.g., copies of third party use agreements).

13. **Certifications**. Signed acknowledgment from the anticipated new landowner, if applicable, PG&E and the conservation easement holder that the BDR is a complete and accurate representation of the condition of the property at the time the conservation easement is granted.

14. **Conservation Easement**. A copy of the final executed conservation easement.

15. **PG&E Reserved Rights, Permitted Uses, and Prohibited Uses**. For lands retained by PG&E, a table showing how PG&E's reserved rights will be exercised may be included. [69]

PG&E ENVIRONMENTAL AGREEMENT

As required by the Stipulation, PG&E held each donee (a fee title donee, conservation easement holder, or conservation covenant holder)

69 Exhibit B BDR Content 6.11.13

harmless for environmental contamination by signing an environmental agreement. The basic terms of the agreement were that PG&E would retain responsibility for the cost of remediation of hazardous substance releases in soil and groundwater present on the property prior to the closing of the conservation easement, provided the donee did not cause the contamination and provided that remediation is not the result of the transaction party's negligence. Further, if PG&E releases hazardous substances to soil or groundwater on the property on or after the closing date, PG&E will be responsible for the cost of remediation. Exclusions included naturally occurring substances, substances at background or ambient levels, releases by a lessee or tenant after the closing date, and liability to any third party.

CEQA Analysis

Because LCCPs and Stewardship Council board recommendations were submitted by PG&E to the CPUC for approval under the 851 advice letter process, the actions required California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) analysis. In general, CEQA requires public agencies to identify the potential environmental impacts of a project, as well as mitigation measures and project alternatives, before approving it. A "Project" is defined as "an activity which [1] may cause either a direct physical change in the environment or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment" and [2] is either undertaken by a public agency or requires agency approval. [70] If a project could have significant, adverse impacts, the agency must prepare an "environmental impact report" or "EIR." If a project will have no significant, unmitigable impacts, the agency may prepare an initial study and negative declaration. The purpose of conducting this environmental review is to provide the public and decision-makers with information about the project's environmental effects and ways to minimize them. Some projects, however, are exempt from CEQA review, including activities which could not possibly have a significant effect on the environment.[71]


The Stewardship Council and PG&E determined that the fee title donations, conservation easements and conservation covenants described in the LCCPs and approved by the CPUC did not result in a direct physical change or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment and were therefore not considered a project under CEQA. In addition, the transfer of land to preserve open space, habitat, or historical resources is

70 CEQA Guidelines § 15378(a)

71 Ibid § 15061(b)(3)

categorically exempt under Section 15325 of the CEQA Guidelines (CFR Title 14, Chapter 3) and Public Resources Code 21080.28 clarifies that CEQA review is not required before a public agency transfers an interest in property, provided the purpose of the transfer is to conserve the land for habitat, open space, agricultural, or historic preservation, among other purposes. Also, the establishment of a conservation easement is categorically exempt under Section 15325 of the CEQA Guidelines (CFR Title 14, Chapter 3).

In some cases, the Stewardship Council funded enhancement projects with the potential for environmental impacts and required the grantees in the grant agreements to obtain all necessary permits and conduct any necessary CEQA review prior to implementing the enhancement project. Projects where physical impacts occurred include: Fall River Two Rivers Park, Fall River Lake Trial, Bucks Lake Trail System, Sky Mountain Outdoor Education Center, Madera County Bass Lake-North Fork Regional Trail, Indian Ole Dam Improvements, Pines to Mines Trail, and McArthur Swamp. Details on each of these projects is included in Chapter 7.



Kidd/Cascade Dedication, courtesy of Placer Land Trust

10. CONSERVATION EASEMENT MONITORING

When transactions closed escrow and conservation easements were conveyed, the conservation easement holders entered into Conservation Easement Funding Agreements with the Stewardship Council wherein the easement holders agreed to conduct regular monitoring of the property to ensure compliance with the terms of the conservation easement. The easement holders agreed to monitoring of the property not less than annually to assess compliance with the terms and conditions of the conservation easement and note any material changes to the property compared to the baseline documentation report and prior monitoring reports. Easement holders were required to submit initial status reports that included:

1. The first monitoring report
2. A statement as to whether any violations of the conservation easement were observed during the reporting period and the outcome of any action taken to correct such violation
3. A statement as to whether any amendments to the conservation easement were approved during the reporting period, with copies of any such amendments included in the status reports
4. A statement as to whether fee title of the property was conveyed, the date of such conveyance, and the identity of the transferee
5. A report providing an accounting of how the grant funds have been invested or expended in furtherance of the purposes of the agreement.

The Stewardship Council has received initial status reports up through DATE, and to date one violation has been reported by the Shasta Land Trust and is detailed below. No legal actions, amendments of conservation easements, or transfers of fee title conveyances have been reported to the Stewardship Council. Notes of issues that have been observed and resolved from the initial monitoring reports are included in Exhibit 14.

Conservation easement holders are also required to submit a final status report to the SNC on or before December 31, 2025. Final status reports will be used to update the SNC Final Report Update with information up through 2025.

Conservation Covenant Monitoring

For properties that were donated to the US Forest Service with conservation covenants, the covenant holder, the Sierra Nevada Conservancy, will

monitor Forest Service management of donated Watershed Lands and has the right to enforce the Forest Service obligations under the conservation covenant. The Stewardship Council provided funding to the Sierra Nevada Conservancy for the following activities:

a. Monitor and participate as needed, including review documents, provide comments, and meet with Forest Service representatives, to facilitate Forest Service completion of an appropriate revision of Land and Resource Management Plans to absorb donated Watershed Lands into the National Forest consistent with the provisions of each conservation covenant.

b. Monitor and participate in Forest Service planning efforts relating to management and use of Donated Watershed Lands.

c. Monitor and participate in any future periodic revisions of Forest Service Land and Resource Management Plans to ensure that the terms of each conservation covenant are complied with and that the beneficial public values are protected.

d. Monitor activities on and uses of donated Watershed Lands for consistency with the terms of the applicable conservation covenant; prepare reports reflecting SNC monitoring efforts regarding donated Watershed Lands and both post such reports on the SNC website and submit such reports to the appropriate Forest Service office.

e. If necessary, take actions to enforce Forest Service obligations in accordance with the dispute resolution provisions of the applicable conservation covenant.

To date, Shasta Land Trust has reported an administrative violation where the Fall River RCD did not notify them before completing clearing that was allowed by the easement, and a violation where trees are being removed by trespassers on the Hat Creek Pit River Tribe donation, which is in the process of being cured. No other violations, legal actions, or amendments of conservation covenants have been reported to the Stewardship Council. Highlights from the initial monitoring reports and progress on revisions to Land and Resource Management Plans are included in Exhibit 14.

As discussed in Chapter 12, the SNC Final Report Update will include the following: (1) report on the impact of the Land Conservation Commitment on agreements for economic uses; (2) report on changes in entities holding conservation easements or fee title; and (3) report on the performance of duties by conservation easement/covenant holders.



Upper Mokelumne River

11. SIERRA NEVADA CONSERVANCY FINAL REPORT UPDATE

This report documents the Stewardship Council's fulfillment of the requirements of the Settlement and Stipulation up to the dissolution of the organization. Because some of the requirements are still outstanding at Stewardship Council dissolution, SNC will prepare a SNC Final Report Update approximately 2 -3 years after the dissolution, but in any event no earlier than the closing of the last transaction and the completion of all enhancement projects funded with Stewardship Council funding. This SNC Final Report Update will: (1) update the section of the SC Final Report regarding the funding and implementation of enhancement projects; (2) report on the impact of the Land Conservation Commitment on agreements for economic uses; (3) report on changes in entities holding conservation easements or fee title; and (4) report on the performance of duties by conservation easement/covenant holders.

The full scope of work of the SNC Final Report Update and form of the final status report from conservation easement holders is included as Exhibit 13.



Lake Valley Demonstration Forest, 6 Mile Meadow

12. YOUTH INVESTMENT PROGRAM OVERVIEW

The Youth Investment Program has created far reaching and enduring benefits for underserved youth, fostering a love of nature and interest in environmental stewardship, and creating opportunities in the outdoors for personal growth and leadership development. The Council has also fulfilled its mandate to establish a permanent program for young people who are least likely to enjoy the wonder of California's natural beauty.

The Stewardship Council has accomplished the youth investment element of its mission through the following actions and activities:

- Award of 270 grants to youth serving organizations connecting over 260,000 youth living in the PG&E service territory to the outdoors, including targeted grants to connect youth to PG&E Watershed Lands
- Creation and funding of the Outdoor Educators Institute, which supports young adults interested in pursuing a career in outdoor education, facilitation, and leadership through an immersive training program
- Creation and funding of the Rising Leaders Fellowship, which supports the continued career development of individuals in entry- to mid-level positions within the outdoor/environmental/ environmental justice sector
- Funded the $5 million acquisition and improvements to Sky Mountain Outdoor Education Center, which San Joaquin County Office of Education uses for science camp and other youth gatherings
- Convening of youth program providers to assist them to make their programs and operations more culturally relevant and inclusive
- Creation of a youth apprenticeship program at land trusts holding conservation easements over PG&E Watershed Lands
- Provided seed funding of a non-profit (Justice Outside) that has established effective programs to provide grants to programs to connect underserved youth to the outdoors and to advance racial justice and equity in the outdoor and environmental movement
- Capacity building of youth serving organizations through general operating grants

BACKGROUND AND COMMISSION DECISIONS GUIDING THE YOUTH INVESTMENT PROGRAM

2003 Settlement Agreement/CPUC Decision 03-12-035

When the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC" or "Commission") was considering adoption of a proposed decision to approve the 2003 Settlement Agreement, the Greenlining Institute requested that the Commission create a $30 million ratepayer-funded initiative to provide underserved, urban youth with greater opportunities to experience California's rich natural environment. In response, when the Commission approved Decision 03-12-035 ("Decision"), it ordered PG&E to provide $30 million in ratepayer funding to be expended for the following purposes:

"to provide a wilderness experience for urban youth, especially disadvantaged urban youth, and to acquire and maintain urban parks and recreation areas."1

The Decision directed that the acquisition of parks and recreation areas be:

"focused on creating an environment that will particularly serve the needs of urban low-income youth"

The Decision stated the Commission's expectation that:

"[o]f the $30 million … approximately 1/3 would be used to provide seed money that would establish a permanent program for young people who are least likely to enjoy the wonder of California's natural beauty" and that "[t]he 2/3 balance of the $30 million would be used to acquire urban parks and recreation areas for inner city youth."2

2012 CPUC Modification of Decision 03-12-035

After administering its Youth Investment Program for several years, the Stewardship Council decided to ask the Commission to modify the language of Decision 03-12-035 to better reflect the Council's grant making experience and best practices. In November 2011, the Greenlining Institute and the Stewardship Council filed a Joint Petition with the CPUC requesting modification of language in Decision 03-12-035 pertaining to the permissible uses of the $30 million that was allocated for the youth program. The joint petition was approved by the CPUC on August 2, 2012 ("2012 CPUC Decision").3

The 2012 CPUC Decision ordered pages 66-67 of Decision 03-12-035 be modified by replacing the paragraph that starts with "In order to ensure" and inserting the following:

"In order to ensure that environmental benefits of a substantial nature are

realized by PG&E's urban ratepayers, our modified Settlement Agreement will augment the $70 million devoted to environment activities by $30 million. These additional funds shall be expended to provide greater resources to connect children, youth, and young adults in the PG&E service territory to nature, parks, open spaces, and the outdoors, and will particularly serve the needs of underserved youth. Of the $30 million, to be expended in installments over 10 years or more, we will expect that a reasonable portion of the funds, as approved by the Stewardship Council board, would be used to provide seed money that would establish a permanent program for young people who are least likely to enjoy the wonder of California's natural beauty."

YOUTH INVESTMENT PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

The Stewardship Council Youth Program evolved significantly over time, transforming from an in-house grantmaking program to a sustainable standalone non-profit named Justice Outside, which has become a national leader advancing racial justice and equity in the outdoor and environmental movement. In 2005, the Stewardship Council established a Youth Investment Program to support outdoor and environmental programming for youth. Five years later, the Stewardship Council created the Foundation for Youth Investment (FYI), a separate IRC §501(c)(3) organization, to provide greater resources to connect children, youth, and young adults to nature, parks, open spaces, and the outdoors and to sustain this important work beyond the life of the Stewardship Council. In 2013, the Stewardship Council awarded a $10,725,000 grant to FYI to connect underserved youth in the PG&E service area to the outdoors. FYI later changed its name to Youth Outside to reflect the heart of their work: connecting youth to nature by eliminating barriers, providing resources, and promoting outdoor programming to build healthy lives and inspire future stewards of our planet. Beginning in 2020, then Youth Outside underwent a strategic refresh process culminating in the identification of the organization's new name, Justice Outside, and the following organizational priorities to steer their work into the future:

1. Field Building: Strengthen the capacity of individuals and institutions to achieve a racially just and culturally representative outdoor and environmental field.

2. Thought Leadership: Lead and influence the outdoor and environmental field to achieve racial justice through research, policy, and narrative change.

3. Network Building and Mobilization: Mobilize existing organizations led by Black, Indigenous, and people of color as well as allies

in the public, private, philanthropic, non-profit, and academic sectors around a racial justice agenda and leverage resources, relationships, programs, and strategies to maximize influence and impact.

4. Financial Health and Resilience: Strengthen the financial health and resilience of Justice Outside to achieve its strategic goals and long-term sustainability.

Justice Outside (formerly Youth Outside) continues to focus on developing sustainable funding beyond the life of the Stewardship Council.

Initial Stewardship Council Grant Making

In 2006, the Stewardship Council began making grants through a Pilot Program, which invested $2.6 million with more than 50 California youth-serving organizations to connect underserved youth to the outdoors. The grant making program targeted programs serving underserved Middle School and High School students in the PG&E service area, not just in urban areas. External Advisory Panels (EAPs) comprised of experts in the field of outdoor education convened to review and make recommendations on grant funding. Additional funds were devoted to a variety of research and direct investment efforts to address some of the challenges facing park and outdoor education programs.

From 2007 through 2008, the Stewardship Council conducted additional listening sessions with a youth component to hear directly from children impacted by outdoor education programming. The Council's giving strategy was modified to better serve the field by including Catalyst, Impact, Collaboration (renamed Initiative Fund in 2009), and Infrastructure grant funds in the giving plan. Annual giving continued according to board-adopted annual Grant making Plans.

In 2009, a Youth-Land Synergies board work group began looking for opportunities to connect youth to PG&E watershed lands, including opportunities for new youth camps or repurposed camps. The work of this committee culminated in the Board's decision to award a major grant to the San Joaquin County Office of Education for the purchase and upgrade of the Sky Mountain Camp and to the creation of the PG&E Watershed Lands grantmaking program.

Through 2011, the Stewardship Council continued to award approximately $2 million in grants annually in accordance with its Grant making Plans. Details of these grants are provided in Exhibit 11.

TABLE 2 YOUTH INVESTMENT EXPENDITURES
2004 TO PRESENT
SC Amounts Taken from audit reports

	← STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL →			
Year	**Youth Investment Administration**	**Youth Investment Assigned M&G**	**Grants Awarded**	**Youth Investment Expenditure Total**
2004	-	-	n/a	140,452
2005	217,515	-	n/a	620,908
2006	226,286	202,499	309,377	2,906,368
2007	254,131	207,231	2,110,900	2,959,876
2008	386,253	187,657	1,981,500	3,033,380
2009	445,604	145,300	1,993,870	2,929,838
2010	479,139	168,263	1,893,286	2,804,123
2011	532,070	174,203	2,117,500	3,218,029
2012	715,897	155,441	1,253,413	2,399,830
2013	421,999	158,578	10,458,180	11,197,139
2014	6,534	-	(6,995)	4,121
2015	8,726	-	-	11,381
2016	3,803	14,551	75,000	96,183
2017	13,734	2,339	5,000	23,712
2018	14,179	-	50,000	64,179
2019	22,874	-	-	22,874
2020	11,144	-	-	11,144
2021	9,581	-	-	9,581
2022	12,062	-	-	12,062
TOTALS	**$ 3,781,531**	**$ 1,416,062**	**$ 22,241,031**	**$ 32,465,180**

Creation and Funding of the Foundation for Youth Investment (Now "Justice Outside")

In 2010, after five years of administering the Youth Investment Program, the Stewardship Council created the Foundation for Youth Investment (FYI), a separate IRC §501(c)(3) organization. The Council's purpose in creating FYI was to generate greater resources to connect children, youth, and young adults to nature and the outdoors and to sustain the Council's important youth investment work beyond the life of the Stewardship Council. In 2010 and 2011, the Stewardship Council provided funding, staffing, and office space for FYI to manage the Council's grant program, but the Council continued to award and fund the youth program grants.

During 2011, the Stewardship Council awarded three grants to FYI to support programs and operations. The Stewardship Council awarded a $550,000 grant to FYI to develop and implement a Strategic Initiative Fund to address barriers that prevent underserved and disadvantaged youth from connecting with the outdoors. FYI used a portion of the $550,000 grant to create and administer the Outdoor Educators Institute. The Stewardship Council also awarded a $100,000 grant to FYI to develop and implement an Outdoor Trips Fund to help programs pay for transportation and other costs to connect their youth with the outdoors. In 2012, the Stewardship Council turned over its 2012 grantmaking program to FYI and provided funding to FYI for both program administration and the 2012 grant awards. In 2013, the Stewardship Council decided it was an appropriate time to grant the majority of its remaining youth program funds to FYI. In August 2013, the Council and FYI entered into a Major Grant Agreement with FYI whereby the Council agreed to transfer all but $250,000 of its remaining youth investment program funds to FYI. Among other things, the Council's transfer of $10.76 million (the "Grant Funds") to FYI was conditioned on FYI's agreement to:

- Award no less than $1 million of the Grant Funds for program grants in 2013
- Award no less than $500,000 of the Grant Funds annually for program grants during the period 2014-2022
- Award a total of $500,000 for infrastructure projects to enhance or develop outdoor spaces for youth
- Award $425,000 for grants that would connect youth to the PG&E service area

- When using Grant Funds, to award grants only to programs and projects benefiting underserved youth in the PG&E service area
- To permit the Stewardship Council to fill one seat on FYI's board of directors
- To allow the CPUC to assume the role of third-party beneficiary with the right to enforce FYI's obligations under the Major Grant Agreement after the Stewardship Council's dissolution.
- To submit semi-annual reports to the Stewardship Council. The reports were to include: a narrative describing major accomplishments, fundraising activities, contributed income, board development, and operation; a list of grants awarded with the Grant Funds during the reporting period; and financial reports.
- To obtain an annual independent financial audit and to provide a copy of each audit to the Stewardship Council

The Stewardship Council Board's Youth Investment Committee remained in existence through 2021 to review FYI's reports and to oversee FYI's compliance with the Major Grant Agreement. In addition, a member of the Stewardship Council Board served on FYI/Justice Outside's board through 2022.

During the period January 2012 through December 2022, FYI/Justice Outside used Stewardship Council funding to award 179 grants to 101 organizations that connect youth to the outdoors, which are further detailed in Exhibit 11.

FYI (renamed first "Youth Outside and then later "Justice Outside") has fulfilled its obligations under the Major Grant Agreement and developed into a sustainable organization. It has received funding from major grant making organizations including Kaiser Permanente, the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, and the San Francisco Foundation. Justice Outside is also managing the San Francisco Foundation's Youth Access to Nature Fund. Justice Outside has become a national leader in advancing racial justice and equity in the outdoor and environmental movement.

Justice Outside

Mission:

"Justice Outside advances racial justice and equity in the outdoor and environmental movement. We shift resources to, build power with, and center the voices and leadership of Black, Indigenous, and People of Color because the health of current and future generations demands it."

Major Programs:

Justice Outside builds organizational capacity and develops future leaders through the following three programs: the Outdoor Educators Institute, a three month-long professional and workforce development program for young adults from communities historically underrepresented in the outdoors narrative; the Rising Leaders Fellowship, which supports the professional development of individuals enthusiastic about connecting communities to the outdoors in culturally relevant and inclusive ways by effecting culture change within their organizations; and the Cultural Relevancy Series, a set of comprehensive workshops, paired with coaching, for organizations to strengthen their understanding and practice of cultural relevancy as it pertains to the outdoor field. And, in 2022, Justice Outside was able to expand their grantmaking capacity by taking on the Youth Access to Nature Fund, formerly held at the San Francisco Foundation, into the Liberated Paths Grantmaking Program.

Justice Outside invests in organizations and programs working to create a more just and sustainable outdoor and environmental movement. Its Liberated Paths grant making program aims to shift resources to and build power with Black, Indigenous, and Communities of Color.

The following is a quote from Justice Outside that offers an explanation for the name change. “Justice Outside” elevates our ultimate mission of advancing racial justice and equity in the outdoor and environmental movement. Bold, clear, and forthright, our new name tells the world exactly who we are, highlighting the work we’ve been doing for years to center the voices and leadership of Black, Indigenous, and People of Color who are building a more inclusive future for the movement.

We’ve long prioritized youth access to nature and meaningful engagement in the outdoors, all the while working to honor that youth don’t exist in a vacuum, and that to support them we must support their larger communities. Because we work with people of all ages towards increased access and engagement, the Youth Outside name has proven increasingly confusing for grantees, partners, potential funders, and participants in our programs.

We chose to put the word “justice” front and center because promoting racial justice guides all aspects of our work. Everything we do — career pathway development, grantmaking, capacity building, and organizational training and support — forms one part of our larger goal: By providing individuals, institutions, and communities with resources, training, and space to lead, we are intentionally working to dismantle systemic

injustices and build a stronger environmental movement for current and future generations."

Justice Outside's final report for the Major Grant Agreement is attached as Exhibit 12 and contains details on the grant distributed through the program.

YOUTH INVESTMENT PROGRAM GRANTS AND ACTIVITIES BENEFITTING TRIBAL YOUTH


With a portion of the $30 million that the Stewardship Council was provided to implement a youth investment program, the Stewardship Council followed by Justice Outside (formerly the Foundation for Youth Investment), awarded multiple grants to Native American entities to support their efforts to connect tribal youth to the outdoors and involve them in conservation projects.

Furthermore, the Foundation for Youth Investment hosted two workshops for Native American entities where Native Americans shared their best practices to engage Native youth in outdoor programs. The Foundation for Youth Investment and the UC Davis Native American Studies Department sponsored the first of these two workshops in April 2010. In 2011, the Foundation for Youth Investment partnered with the Native American Health Center INC. Youth Services Program, the Stewardship Council, and Sierra Health Foundation to help support a gathering for Native American youth providers in Sacramento.

YOUTH INVESTMENT PROGRAM FUNDING RECAP

The Stewardship Council received ten annual installment payments from PG&E totaling $30 million for the Youth Investment Program. Unspent funds were invested within the guidelines of the Stewardship Council's Investment Policy. This investment income plus the unspent portion of the $30 million received from PG&E was transferred to FYI, a total of $10.76 million, after the Major Grant Agreement was executed in August 2013. In total, the Stewardship Council has invested $32.47 million in youth serving entities.

EXHIBITS



Burney Gardens in Shasta County

EXHIBIT 1: LCCP TRANSACTIONS REPORT

Transaction Status Report

LCCP	Fee/CE	Acres	Board Approval	TA/DL/PAA Signed	FERC Filing	FERC Approval	CPUC Filing	CPUC Approval	Close of Escrow
Kennedy Meadows	Tuolumne County/MLLT	240	5/8/13	11/15/13			8/20/13	10/2/13	11/13/13
Deer Creek	USFS/SNC	151	11/14/13	9/15/14			12/27/13	9/15/14	10/9/14
Doyle Springs	PG&E/SRT	43	9/11/13	11/15/13	1/27/14	4/25/14	5/22/14	10/20/14	12/10/14
Narrows	UC/BYLT	41	11/14/13	7/9/14			3/19/14	12/4/14	1/29/15
Kern River	PG&E/SRT	700	11/14/13	2/12/14	7/1/14	11/6/14	1/14/15	4/20/15	6/23/15
Middle Fork Stanislaus	PG&E/MLLT	515	6/25/14	12/5/14	12/15/14	3/12/15	1/30/15	5/7/15	8/28/15
Lower Bear (Upper Bear)	PG&E/MLLT	899	9/10/14	12/5/14	1/26/15	5/12/15	1/30/15	6/18/15	8/28/15
Narrows	PG&E/BYLT	23	3/12/14	2/12/14	7/1/14	12/16/14	1/14/15	5/7/15	9/4/15
Lower Bear (Cole Creek)	USFS/SNC	920	9/10/14	8/4/14			5/22/15	7/15/15	12/10/15
Iron Canyon Reservoir	PG&E/WSRCD	386	3/18/15	8/13/14	8/25/15	12/22/15	9/23/15	11/5/15	3/17/16
Lower Drum (Christian Valley)	ARD/PLT	16	6/25/14	6/6/14			9/11/15	2/26/16	6/10/16
Wishon Reservoir	USFS/SNC	167	9/10/14	10/22/14			9/2/15	11/10/15	7/12/16
Fordyce (Sterling)	PG&E/BYLT	1,741	11/19/14	1/8/15	6/17/15	9/30/15	8/10/15	4/21/16	8/19/16
Fordyce (Meadow Lake)	PG&E/BYLT	196	11/19/14	1/8/15	6/17/15	9/30/15	8/10/15	4/21/16	8/19/16
Lake Spaulding (Camp 19)	UC/BYLT	1,459	11/19/14	6/4/15			6/20/16	9/27/16	12/14/16
NF Mokelumne	USFS/SNC	80	3/16/16	5/2/16			8/25/16	9/28/16	12/21/16
Merced River (asset sale)	PG&E/MID/SFC	21	4/27/16	2/27/15	4/1/15	7/6/15	6/8/16	11/3/16	2/16/17
Lower Drum (Pinecroft Reserve)	Placer County/PLT	10	9/10/14	7/23/20			10/29/15	1/12/16	3/23/17
Fordyce (Kidd/Cascade)	PG&E/PLT	248	1/21/16	6/14/16	9/6/16	12/14/16	10/5/16	1/12/16	5/24/17
Blue Lakes	USFS/SNC	410	4/29/15	12/5/16			5/19/17	6/20/17	9/28/17
Lower Drum (Old Airport)	PG&E/PLT	200	6/15/16	10/17/16	12/2/16	6/27/16	12/2/16	6/15/17	10/11/17
Lower Drum (Upper Pinecroft)	PG&E/PLT	50	9/21/16	12/5/16	4/27/17	6/27/17	4/26/17	6/9/17	10/11/17
McArthur Swamp	FRRCD/DU	4,491	1/22/15	6/6/16			6/20/17	7/21/17	10/18/17
Kilarc	PG&E/WSRCD	112	3/18/15	11/3/15	4/13/16	7/27/16	2/25/16	7/13/17	10/26/17
Lower Drum (Rock Creek)	PG&E/PLT	191	6/15/16	12/13/16	4/27/17	6/27/16	6/16/17	7/21/17	11/14/17
Lower Drum (Halsey)	PG&E/PLT	546	9/21/16	1/25/17	4/27/17	6/27/16	6/21/17	7/23/17	11/14/17
Wishon Reservoir	PG&E/SFC	1,190	6/15/16	10/17/16	11/7/16	2/22/17	6/22/17	7/25/17	11/15/17
Lake Spaulding (Lindsey Lakes)	PG&E/BYLT	857	9/21/16	3/6/17	8/10/17	9/26/17	8/23/17	10/3/17	12/19/17
Blue Lakes	PG&E/MLLT	1,447	1/25/17	4/13/17	8/17/16	12/15/17	8/30/17	10/3/17	3/7/18
Fordyce (White Rock) Lake	USFS/SNC	77	5/3/17	7/12/17			11/15/17	1/4/18	3/7/18
McArthur Swamp	PG&E/DU	3,168	1/22/15	6/6/16	5/3/17	11/17/17	6/20/17	7/21/17	3/20/18
Chili Bar	PG&E/MLLT	205	9/10/14	1/18/17	1/20/15	4/16/15	11/5/15	2/6/18	5/14/18
Mountain Meadows Reservoir	PG&E/FRLT	7,058	9/21/16	11/9/17			4/6/18	5/1/18	8/20/18
Lake McCloud	PG&E/Western Shasta	833	9/21/16	5/19/17	1/24/18	6/4/18	9/13/17	10/25/17	8/28/18
Willow Creek	PG&E/SFC	241	1/25/17	5/19/17	8/10/17	6/4/18	8/15/17	5/26/18	9/7/18
Kerckhoff Lake	PG&E/SFC	325	1/25/17	4/13/17	3/27/18	6/27/18	9/6/17	11/16/17	10/16/18
Fall River Mills	Fall River Valley CSD/SI	34	9/21/16	3/14/18			3/22/18	4/30/18	11/27/18
Lyons Reservoir	USFS/SNC	628	1/21/16	7/21/17			4/6/18	10/29/18	12/14/18
Lyons Reservoir	PG&E/MLLT	460	11/15/17	1/31/18	8/29/18	12/4/18	7/27/18	8/29/18	4/16/19
Battle Creek	PG&E/NCRLT	2,335	6/21/17	6/29/18	10/12/18	2/20/19	10/18/18	1/23/19	5/30/19

LCCP	Fee/CE	Acres	Board Approval	TA/DL/PAA Signed	FERC Filing	FERC Approval	CPUC Filing	CPUC Approval	Close of Escrow
Cow Creek	PG&E/SLT	66	1/25/17	7/12/17	4/6/18	9/18/18	1/24/18	3/26/19	6/13/19
Eel River (Lower Trout Creek/Alder)	PVT/MLT	201	3/16/16	1/25/17			3/9/18	3/27/19	7/24/19
Eel River (Trout Creek)	PVT/MLT	678	3/16/16	7/16/18			8/8/18	3/27/19	7/24/19
Humbug Valley	MSC/FRLT & CDFW	2,325	5/2/18	4/5/19			4/29/19	6/4/19	9/18/19
Fordyce (White Rock) Lake	PG&E/BYLT	40	6/21/17	1/31/18	8/13/18	1/10/19	5/31/18	6/22/18	10/23/19
Lower Drum/Bell Road	PG&E/PLT	28	9/19/18	12/18/18	2/27/19	6/10/19	3/29/19	5/7/19	11/14/19
Auberry Service Center	PG&E/SFC	29	3/13/18	7/28/18			10/5/18	1/15/19	11/22/19
NF Mokelumne River	CALFIRE/MLLT	1,052	3/13/18	7/2/19			9/13/19	10/9/19	12/20/19
Lake Almanor (Maidu Interpretive Trail)	MSC/FRLT	8	1/23/19	6/28/19			8/15/19	9/18/19	12/30/19
Kings River	PG&E/SRT	100	9/19/18	12/18/18			3/27/19	5/22/19	2/5/20
Manzanita Lake	Madera County/SFC	146	5/2/18	12/5/18			4/4/19	5/6/19	2/21/20
Lake Almanor - Maidu Forest	MSC/FRLT	164	3/13/18	7/10/19			8/16/19	9/13/19	3/5/20
Battle Creek	USFS/SNC	934	1/24/18	5/24/19			7/26/19	8/29/19	4/2/20
Butte Creek	PG&E/NCRLT	2,192	5/2/18	4/12/19	6/13/19	11/4/19	6/21/19	7/19/19	5/6/20
Philbrook Reservoir	PG&E/NCRLT	277	1/24/18	10/18/19	10/11/19	11/6/19	10/30/19	11/27/19	5/6/20
Manzanita Lake	PG&E/SFC	330	11/15/18	5/3/19	5/20/19	9/12/19	6/13/19	7/10/19	5/13/20
Butt Valley	PG&E/FRLT	2,472	5/2/18	5/15/19	7/29/19	11/25/19	7/11/19	8/9/19	9/16/20
North Fork Feather River	PG&E/NCRLT	5,668	5/1/19	2/7/20	5/6/20	7/13/20	6/8/20	8/28/20	12/17/20
Lake Spaulding	SJCOE/WHF	63	6/26/19	7/17/20			9/8/20	9/28/20	12/22/20
Fall River Mills - RCD	Fall River RCD/SLT	463	6/21/17	4/12/19			8/22/19	9/13/19	12/29/20
Fall River Mills - Hat Creek Rifle & Pistol Club	Fall River RCD/SLT	434	11/13/19	8/25/20			10/7/20	11/7/20	12/29/20
Lake Almanor - Maidu Wetlands	MSC/FRLT	296	1/23/19	11/7/19			11/15/19	1/14/20	3/24/21
Lake Britton	USFS/SNC	250	1/24/18	6/5/20			8/27/20	9/28/20	4/16/21
Fall River Valley	PG&E/DU	236	6/15/16	10/17/16	12/20/16	4/21/17	1/27/17	8/11/17	8/27/21
Eel River	USFS/SNC	907	1/25/17	4/17/20			6/17/20	8/11/20	10/1/21
Hat Creek	PRT/SLT	789	1/23/19	3/10/21			4/16/21	5/8/21	10/15/21
Lower Drum-Folsom	PG&E/WHF	12	5/1/19	1/21/21	8/26/21	9/28/21	3/26/21	4/18/21	11/23/21
Bucks Lake	PG&E/FRLT	2,164	1/23/19	8/20/20	10/22/20	12/31/20	10/16/20	12/31/20	11/23/21
Lake Britton	DPR/SLT	135	5/1/19	8/13/21			8/20/21	9/10/21	12/22/21
Lake Almanor - Maidu Cemetery	MSC/FRLT	142	3/13/18	6/18/21			8/6/21	8/31/21	4/15/22
Bass Lake	PG&E/MLLT	1,085	9/23/20	8/20/21	9/20/21	1/21/22	9/3/21	9/28/21	4/19/22
Fall River Mills	PRT/SLT	1,826	12/9/20	10/15/21			10/25/21	11/25/21	6/24/22
Cow Creek	CAL FIRE/SLT	2,246	4/29/20	12/29/21			1/11/22	2/23/22	6/24/22
Eel River	PG&E/MLT	5,660	3/15/17	5/20/21	8/23/21	3/22/22	7/23/21	8/16/21	6/28/22
NF Mokelumne River	PG&E/MLLT	2,351	11/19/14	9/2/21	11/18/21	3/14/2022	10/11/21	11/2/21	6/30/22
Bear River BYLT	CAL FIRE/BYLT	267	3/3/20	12/29/21			1/28/22	2/28/22	7/1/22
Lake Britton/Hat Creek	PRT/SLT	1,728	9/23/20	12/17/21			1/27/22	2/28/22	8/10/22

LCCP	Fee/CE	Acres	Board Approval	TA/DL/PAA Signed	FERC Filing	FERC Approval	CPUC Filing	CPUC Approval	Close of Escrow
Pit River	UC/SLT	**3,244**	5/2/18	2/10/22			3/11/22	4/7/22	8/31/22
Lake Spaulding Lake Valley	CAL FIRE/PLT	**1,151**	1/15/20	3/18/22			4/28/22	6/1/22	9/21/22
Battle Creek	CAL FIRE/WSRCD	**2,050**	6/24/20	4/5/22			5/6/22	6/10/22	12/7/22
Bear River PLT	CAL FIRE/PLT	**1,200**	3/3/20	4/29/22			5/10/22	6/10/22	12/8/22
Lake Spaulding - Rucker	PG&E/BYLT	**3,155**	3/18/15	4/12/22	6/10/22	10/26/22	5/10/22	6/10/22	12/13/22
Pit River/Tunnel Res	CAL FIRE/SLT	**6,982**	9/19/18	8/1/22			8/19/22	9/18/22	2/28/23
Oroville	PG&E/NCRLT	**1,176**	9/20/17	12/20/22			1/27/23	2/17/23	5/3/23
Hat Creek	PG&E/SLT	**1,820**	9/23/20	8/3/22	10/12/22	2/7/23	9/2/22	10/4/22	5/19/23
Lower Drum - Wise Forebay	PG&E/PLT	**17**	9/20/17	1/7/23	3/30/23	4/6/23	2/23/23	3/23/23	6/6/23
Bear River BYLT	PG&E/BYLT	**2,315**	9/23/20	12/19/22	3/14/23	4/6/23	1/27/23	2/27/23	7/19/23
Lake Spaulding Lake Valley Preserve	PGE/PLT	**836**	4/29/20	1/7/23	3/30/23	4/6/23	2/10/23	3/2/23	8/15/23
Bear River PLT	PG&E/PLT	**2,457**	9/23/20	2/14/23	3/28/23	4/6/23	3/7/23	3/27/23	7/19/23
Battle Creek	PG&E/WSRCD	**1,727**	12/9/20	9/28/22	1/20/23	6/12/23	11/3/22	12/9/22	8/25/23
Fall River Mills	PG&E/SLT	**2,446**	6/24/20	11/17/22	1/20/23	6/5/23	12/12/22	1/18/23	9/29/23
Pit River	PGE/SLT	**3,978**	4/29/20	12/2/22	3/15/23	8/7/23	12/28/22	1/18/23	11/17/23
Tunnel Reservoir	PG&E/SLT	**901**	3/3/20	12/28/22	3/14/23	7/27/23	2/7/23	2/27/23	11/7/23
Deer Creek - NID	PG&E/BYLT	**782**	9/20/17	11/30/18	1/22/19	11/18/21	1/24/19	10/10/19	11/8/23
Lake Britton	PG&E/SLT	**4,224**	9/23/20	12/2/22	3/15/23	7/27/23	12/28/22	1/18/23	12/19/23
Lake Almanor	PG&E/FRLT	**29,057**	12/9/20	3/14/23	3/31/23	9/26/23	4/11/23	5/1/23	12/19/23
Burney Gardens	*PG&E-PRT/SLT*	***1,612***	*n/a*						*est Q1 2024*
without Burney Gardens:	Transactions completed	106,715	96	96	52	52	96	96	96
	Transactions pending	***33,281***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***6***	***0***	***1***	***11***
	Total acres	**139,996**							
KEY:	ESCROW CLOSED								

EXHIBIT 2: LCCP PROCESS

Land Conservation and Conveyance Plan Process

Following inventory and assessment of the parcels in the LCC, the Stewardship Council divided the Watershed Lands into 11 watersheds and 47 planning units, presented in Volumes I and II, with associated objectives and recommended concepts for each planning unit. The Stewardship Council then began selecting and recommending conservation easement holders and organizations to accept fee title donations, followed by the development of transaction documents. Out of this process, described in more detail below, 95 Land Conservation Conveyance Plans (LCCPs) for specific clusters of properties within the 47 planning units were approved by the board from 2013 through 2020. Specific information for each individual transaction donee selection process and recommendations is included in Chapter 3 of the related LCCP document contained in LCP Volume III.

Donee Selection Process

The Stewardship Council created a process to select the most qualified donees, taking into consideration the information provided by the donees, the management objectives for the planning unit that were adopted by the board as reflected in LCP Volume II, public input received, and other relevant information. The purpose of this process was to establish a consistent, fair, logical, and well-documented process for selecting the donees.

Pilot Process

The Stewardship Council elected to begin the first phase of work on four "pilot" planning units due to the large scope and unique nature of the overall land conservation and conveyance effort. The pilot approach was designed to allow the Stewardship Council an opportunity to test and refine a process that could be applied to the remaining 43 planning units. The four planning units selected as pilot projects were:

- Bucks Lake, Plumas County
- Doyle Springs, Tulare County
- Kennedy Meadows, Tuolumne County
- McArthur Swamp, Shasta County


These four planning units were chosen because they each exhibit a unique set of land use and conservation challenges, as well as being well distributed geographically across the Watershed Lands.[1]

As the Council began work on the four pilot planning units, it encouraged potential donees and other stakeholders to collaborate. Adversarial relationships of the past were broken down and public entities, tribes, agricultural and forestry interest groups, environmental organizations,

[1] Ibid p. 2-3

youth groups, and other stakeholders came together to present very thoughtful and inclusive land conservation and management proposals for the pilot project lands. A number of partnerships emerged through the process at planning units such as Humbug Valley, McArthur Swamp, Kennedy Meadows, and Manzanita Lake where enduring agreements between agencies, tribes, and other interest groups continue.

Figure 2 Pilot Process Overview



In 2007 and 2008 the Stewardship Council hosted public orientation and planning workshops for each of the pilot projects. The meetings were advertised via an e-mail sent to contacts in a database, an announcement posted on the Stewardship Council's web site, a press release in the local newspaper, and a postcard sent to all landowners on record that reside within one mile of any PG&E parcel associated with the six planning units that were the focus of the meeting.

Selection Process Evaluation and Refinement

Following the receipt of Land Stewardship Proposals for the pilot planning units, the Stewardship Council reviewed the pilot process up to that point to consider refining the land conservation and conveyance process for the remaining 43 planning units. Between February and April 2009, staff met with a total of 46 stakeholder organizations, including each of the eligible donee organizations. In June 2009, the board endorsed a more targeted approach to soliciting and selecting organizations interested in receiving fee title or holding conservation

easements rather than utilizing the competitive RFP approach of the pilot process on all the planning units. This targeted approach involved selecting and inviting the more qualified organizations (based on experience and capacity) to submit a land stewardship proposal. If known, specific land and enhancement interests were also considered in selecting the targeted organization.

This targeted approach refined the process before proceeding to solicit eligible donees for the remaining 43 planning units. The Stewardship Council also determined that for lands that will be donated in fee, separate donees would be sought to accept the conservation easement interest.

Conservation Easement Solicitation

For conservation easement holders, the Stewardship Council developed an approach designed to attract organizations that were both eligible and qualified to hold conservation easements, to streamline the process of establishing conservation easements, and to ensure that conservation easements are maintained in the future in an effective and efficient manner. Accordingly, the Stewardship Council solicited organizations interested in becoming the primary conservation easement holder for most or all of the planning units within a watershed area. The intent of this approach was to ensure that all of the planning units within a watershed area are protected by a conservation easement, and that the process of establishing conservation easements was as efficient, effective, and consistent as possible. Therefore, the Stewardship Council considered requests for a conservation easement on a single planning unit only under special circumstances.[2] At this point the Stewardship Council had proceeded enough in planning process that the roles, responsibilities, and opportunities of the conservation easement holder could be laid out and funding was proposed to cover both transaction negotiations and a monitoring and stewardship endowment.

In April 2009 the Stewardship Council issued a Round 1 Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for Conservation Easement Holders for the Pit-McCloud, Feather River, and Upper Mokelumne River watersheds, and in September 2009 it issued a Round 2 RFQ for Conservation Easement Holders for the Cow-Battle Creek, Yuba-Bear River, Eel River, Willow Creek, and Stanislaus River watersheds. For Round 1, the Stewardship Council received SOQs from 19 organizations and for Round 2 it received SOQs from 11 organizations interested in holding conservation easements on the watershed areas.

Review of SOQs was conducted by staff and, where appropriate, external experts with a possible interview/meeting with the evaluation team as part of the review. Based on Stewardship Council staff review and evaluation of the submitted SOQs, eligible donees were determined by the Stewardship Council. Following this process, selected organizations were asked to enter into a

[2] Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for Conservation Easement Holders at Select Watershed Lands p.2

letter of intent with the Stewardship Council, outlining the process and understanding going forward.[3]

Round 1 Fee Title Solicitation

Given the large number of remaining planning units, in order to implement the process of identifying interest in fee title, the remaining lands identified as available for donation were broken into Round 1 and Round 2 watershed solicitations. Round 1 was comprised 11 of the planning units containing lands considered for fee title donation.

Round 1 planning units focused on three watershed areas and included:

- Pit-McCloud Watershed: Fall River Mills, Hat Creek, Lake Britton, Fall River Valley
- Feather River Watershed: Lake Almanor, Humbug Valley, Butt Valley Reservoir, Mountain Meadows Reservoir
- Upper Mokelumne River Watershed: North Fork Mokelumne River, Blue Lakes, Lower Bear

In October 2009, public meetings were held in communities near the Round 1 watershed areas, which provided an excellent opportunity to listen to stakeholders' ideas about future uses of lands and desired qualifications of donees.

All registered organizations were invited by the Stewardship Council to prepare and submit a statement of qualifications (SOQ) by planning unit. For Round 1, the Stewardship Council received SOQs from 24 organizations interested in holding fee title of the watershed areas.[4]

The Stewardship Council reviewed the SOQs submitted and organizations that: (a) were determined to be a qualified nonprofit conservation organization; a federal, state or local governmental entity; or a recognized tribe; (b) appeared to potentially have sufficient financial and organizational capacity relative to the lands sought within the planning unit; and, (c) appeared to potentially be capable of satisfying the requirements of the PG&E Settlement Agreement and Stipulation for receiving donation of fee title, were invited to submit a LSP describing their proposed management of the watershed lands for the preservation and enhancement of the BPVs.[5]

All LSPs submitted were reviewed by an evaluation team composed of Stewardship Council staff and external advisors, as necessary. The evaluation team evaluated the merits of each proposal to determine if its approval and implementation would likely contribute to the permanent protection of the watershed lands and the preservation and enhancement of the BPVs associated with the watershed lands. This evaluation took into consideration:

---

[3] Ibid p. 3
[4] CPUC Biannual Report 11-20-09 p. 14-16
[5] Invitation to Submit a Land Stewardship Proposal Round 1 Planning Units p. 2

- The financial and organizational capacity of the organization
- The amount and purpose of funding requested from the Stewardship Council
- The requirements of the PG&E Settlement Agreement and Stipulation
- The key considerations listed below in this section
- The management objectives for the watershed lands
- The effect of the proposed ownership and land management activities on the preservation and enhancement of the BPVs on a collective basis and in balance
- Public input
- Other criteria including the organization's ability to work collaboratively with public and private entities as well as demonstrated ability and interest in being inclusive of the broader cultural perspectives and values of the region[6]

Recommendations by the Stewardship Council concerning the donation of watershed lands to eligible and qualified organizations were based on the following key considerations:

- The organization is primarily interested in preserving and enhancing the BPVs
- The organization has a history of responsible financial management and demonstrates the financial ability to carry out activities necessary to preserve and enhance the BPVs
- The organization brings funding to achieve PG&E's current baseline land management activities
- Land conveyance will result in improved management of the land for the BPVs
- Ownership of the land by the recipient enhances the organization's ability to secure additional funding to support management as well as the preservation and enhancement of the BPVs
- The organization has experience managing lands with similar resources and uses, as well as preserving and enhancing values similar to the BPVs[7]

Presumptive Transfer and PG&E Retention

Following Round 1, to achieve a more efficient and effective implementation of the land conservation program, and at the request of the Stewardship Council Board of Directors, staff performed an evaluation to determine if certain land ownership recommendations should be made without the need to complete a multi-step RFP process. This evaluation included further analysis by staff and PG&E of the land management and financial implications of separation and transfer of lands, resulting in the identification of certain lands previously identified as likely to be made available for donation that should be retained by PG&E rather than be made available to organizations through the multi-step RFP process.

[6] Ibid p.4
[7] Ibid p.1

Appendix 5 of Volume I of the LCP described which lands were to be made available for donation in fee, and which lands were to be retained by PG&E subject to a conservation easement (or other satisfactory form of assurance) to ensure that the beneficial public values would be preserved and enhanced. Staff performed an evaluation of certain lands previously identified as available for donation that were: (1) relatively small, isolated parcels or portions of parcels; (2) parcels or portions of parcels where transfer would likely result in significant costs for surveys and subdivision, with no or little likelihood that the Beneficial Public Values of the lands would be more protected by a land transfer; or (3) parcels or portions of parcels where the introduction of a new landowner would complicate land management with little or no apparent significant increased preservation or enhancement of the Beneficial Public Values.

Based on this analysis, staff recommended that certain parcels previously designated as available for donation be retained by PG&E. On September 16, 2010, following a public comment period, the Stewardship Council Board of Directors recommended that PG&E retain fee title to the 715 acres in nine planning units that were previously identified as available for donation (Auberry Service Center, Butt Valley, Chili Bar, Iron Canyon Reservoir, Lake McCloud, Merced River, Middle Fork Stanislaus River, Kilarc, and Philbrook Reservoir).

In addition, the board recommended that the US Forest Service be the donee of fee title for 867 acres in seven parcels available for donation in six planning units (Bass Lake, Deer Creek, Kern River, Kings River, Wishon Reservoir, and the North Fork Feather River) and that the Bureau of Land Management be the prospective donee of fee title for 42 acres in one parcel located in the Oroville planning unit.[8]

Round 2 Fee Title Solicitation

Staff continued to use the targeted approach and LSP evaluations detailed above for the remaining planning units that were included in Round 2:

- Pit-McCloud River Watershed Area: Pit River, Tunnel Reservoir
- Cow-Battle Creek Watershed Area: Battle Creek, Burney Gardens, Cow Creek
- Feather River Watershed Area: Butte Creek, North Fork Feather River, Oroville
- Yuba-Bear River Watershed Area: Bear River, Fordyce Lake, Lake Spaulding, Lower Drum, Narrows
- Eel River
- Stanislaus River Watershed Area: Lyons Reservoir
- Willow Creek Watershed Area: Kerckhoff Lake, Manzanita Lake, Willow Creek

In Round 2, the Stewardship Council received SOQs from 41 organizations interested in holding fee title to the select watershed lands.

[8] Closed Session Minutes September 16, 2010 p. 2-4

In August 2010 meetings for interested donees regarding the RFQ were held in communities near the Round 2 watershed areas, and public information meetings with updates on plans and opportunities for comment were held March and April 2011.

As in Round 1, the Stewardship Council reviewed the SOQs submitted and invited targeted organizations to submit a LSP. LSPs were reviewed by an evaluation team and donees were selected according to the criteria above in Round 1.

Fee Title and CE Holder Recommendations

Once donees were selected, Stewardship Council staff prepared recommendations of donees for consideration by the Watershed Planning Committee. Based on their review of the recommendation, the Watershed Planning Committee then proposed an action at the next scheduled board meeting. The Watershed Planning Committee's proposed action was publicly noticed and forwarded to the full board for consideration and action at a public board meeting. The recommendations were posted on the Stewardship Council's website for public review and comment, the proposed board action was noticed via an e-mail sent to contacts in the Stewardship Council's database, and public board meetings were advertised via an e-mail sent to contacts in the Stewardship Council's database, an announcement posted on the Stewardship Council's web site, a press release issued to local papers, and an advertisement placed in local newspapers in the area where a board or public meeting is taking place or in communities that may have an interest in a particular topic on an upcoming meeting agenda. At the board meeting, the board provided an opportunity for public comments on the proposed action. Once the board adopted a recommendation, it was publicly posted on the Stewardship Council website and negotiations for the fee title and/or conservation easement transaction began with selected donees.

Transaction Process

With recommendations complete, donees entered into real estate negotiations with PG&E regarding the terms and conditions of the fee title and conservation easement/covenant transactions, as facilitated by the Stewardship Council.

Conservation Easement and Legal Document Development

Prior to submitting LSPs, potential donees were provided a general description of the legal terms that were expected to be part of the land transactions. In some cases, the documentation consisted of a land transfer agreement conveying fee title from PG&E to a donee, accompanied by restrictions (a conservation easement or other satisfactory form of assurance) to ensure that the BPVs would be preserved. In other cases where PG&E retained title to the land, it proposed PG&E and the donee would enter into a conservation easement that recognized the rights and obligations of both PG&E and the donee, as well as one or more separate access, land

management, and improvement agreements. The following terms were identified by Stewardship Council staff and PG&E for inclusion in such a conservation easement:

- **Interests Conveyed.** The purpose of the conservation easement is to preserve or enhance the BPVs identified in the LCP. Rights conveyed to the donee included the right to prevent activities prohibited by the conservation easement, the right to require restoration of the property in the event of damage, and the right to enter and inspect the property periodically.
- **Prohibited Uses**. Subject to certain rights reserved to PG&E, the conservation easement prevented PG&E from engaging in or voluntarily permitting others to engage in uses that would significantly impair or interfere with the beneficial public values identified in the conservation easement.
- **Permitted Uses**. PG&E retained all rights accruing from the ownership of the property not expressly prohibited by the conservation easement. At least annually, PG&E and donee are to report to each other regarding any anticipated construction or development activities on the property.
- **Reserved Rights**. Consistent with the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement, the rights reserved by PG&E included rights to operate and maintain its existing and future hydroelectric facilities and associated water delivery facilities, and to comply with Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and other regulatory requirements, including project replacements and improvements to meet existing and future water delivery and other requirements for power generation and consumptive water use by existing and future users.
- **Third Party Rights**. The conservation easement reserved PG&E's existing right to maintain, renew and replace existing third-party uses, such as leases for vacation home sites, resorts, campsites, and grazing, in a manner consistent with the beneficial public values identified in the conservation easement.
- **Enforcement and Disputes**. The conservation easement provided remedies for default, following appropriate notice and cure periods. The conservation easement will contain a mediation process to address disputes.
- **Hazardous Materials**. PG&E held the donee harmless for hazardous waste or substance liability not caused by the donee. (The Stipulation contemplated that PG&E could seek Public Utilities Commission authorization to recover the costs of any mitigation or remediation measures in rates.)
- Additional terms, such as the role, if any, played by the Stewardship Council in connection with the long-term monitoring, enforcement, and assignment of the conservation easement.[9]

[9] LCP Volume III Pilot Process p. 10-11

The Stewardship Council developed conservation easement guidance with standard terms and required elements of the conservation easements to ensure the protection of the BPVs. In 2012, Sequoia Riverlands Trust and PG&E negotiated a conservation easement for Doyle Springs planning unit, which formed the basis for PG&E retained conservation easements throughout the portfolio of projects. On March 21, 2013, the Doyle Springs conservation easement was discussed with selected conservation easement holders that were recommended for retained transactions and questions about the terms were answered.[10] The Doyle Springs conservation easement was used as a template for retained land conservation easements with minor updates included over time.

For fee title donation properties, initially a draft conservation easement for Kennedy Meadows was developed that deferred permitted and prohibited uses until land management plans were developed. When the draft was shared with potential easement holders, the land trusts expressed concern at the level of participation and oversight required by them in the anticipated land management planning process. The staff of potential CE holders also expressed concerns over not having the expertise on staff to provide input into land management techniques and the lack of "teeth" in the CE itself. They expressed the need for much larger stewardship endowments in order to cover their own time and to hire expert consultants to be actively engaged in the ongoing process of creating and amending land management plans. Following the meeting the easement template was changed to a form which did not tie the conservation easement to another document such as a land management plan, but instead clearly stated what uses are permitted and prohibited. Conservation easements protect beneficial public values by restricting certain activities of the landowner and by specifying what rights the landowner is giving up. A conservation easement should be written as simply and clearly as possible in order to provide a bright line standard for what the landowner can and cannot do in order to avoid violating the terms of the easement. There were also practical timing, resource, and cost limitations of Kennedy Meadows draft with the quantity of easements with associated land management plans required. Finally, tying the conservation easement level of protection to a future land management plan that may or may not be prepared in a timely and quality manner severely limited the conservation easement's effectiveness.[11]

Following this attempt for a donated land conservation easement template, the transaction parties generally negotiated conservation easements based on conservation easement holders' form utilizing the guidance document to ensure compliance with the Settlement and Stipulation. Therefore, there is some variation in the structure and terms in donated property conservation easements. Stewardship Council staff and outside counsel reviewed conservation easement to

[10] Doyle Springs CE Meeting Q&A
[11] Conservation Easement Work Group Agenda and Materials 5-13-10

ensure compliance prior to recommendation of conditional approval by the Watershed Planning Committee and public review of the LCCPs.

For donations to the State of California, negotiations were complicated by the need to gain acceptance by both agency staff and the Department of General Services (DGS). In 2014, Mother Lode Land Trust (MLLT) submitted an initial draft conservation easement document to CAL FIRE. While the initial draft conservation easement was consistent with MLLT's template form of conservation easement, MLLT and the Stewardship Council understood that various provisions required revision to reflect that CAL FIRE is a state agency and that CAL FIRE intends to use the property as a Demonstration State Forest. CAL FIRE offered a revised draft conservation easement that addressed these issues to MLLT and the Stewardship Council for review and comment and participated in a series of in-person meetings with MLLT and the Stewardship Council to negotiate mutually acceptable terms of the conservation easement. A draft conservation easement acceptable to MLLT was sent to the Department of General Services (DGS) for review. While DGS was reviewing the conservation easement, MLLT and the Stewardship Council continued to negotiate the terms of the conservation easement with CAL FIRE and made refinements to those provisions relating to pavement of roads, introduction of non-native species, public access, recreation and forest resources. DGS requested changes that were inconsistent with language central to the Stewardship Council governing documents and would make enforcement of the easement virtually impossible. While certain terms of the state surrounding indemnification and appropriation were not negotiable, the parties engaged in further negotiations in late 2017 that resulted in an acceptable conservation easement that was used as a template for the following transactions.[12]

For donations to Native American entities, additional requirements were included to ensure compliance with the Stewardship Council governing documents. For donations to recognized tribes, to ensure that the conservation easements and other agreements which protect PG&E's reserved rights and other interests are enforceable, a limited or partial waiver of sovereign immunity was required to ensure that a federally recognized tribe that receives fee title, Stewardship Council funding, or has other rights to use the Watershed Lands can be held accountable for compliance with the agreements to which it is a party. All the conservation easements on lands donated to Native American tribes, as well as the transaction agreement and grant deed between PG&E and the fee title donee contain limited waiver of sovereign immunity provisions. Tribes who receive fee title donations through the LCC are also precluded from petitioning the federal government to take the donated lands into trust because of a provision of the Stipulation pertaining to mandatory conditioning authority of federal agencies under the Federal Power Act. Since the Maidu Summit Consortium (MSC) is not a federally recognized Tribe, the limited waiver of sovereign immunity and the requirement that a tribe not petition to place the lands into trust were not a condition of the transfer of properties. To ensure all

[12] NFMR CALFIRE CE Transmittal Memo 9-22-17

agreements will remain fully enforceable and achieve the requirements of the Settlement Agreement and Stipulation, the MSC, as a nonprofit organization, accepted the fee title donations subject to certain restrictions on transfer of property and has agreed to execute and record a power of termination. These additional restrictions were deemed prudent because the MSC might one day desire to transfer ownership of the lands it acquired under the LCC to one of the members of the consortium who is a federally recognized Tribe. [13]

In addition, PG&E developed legal agreements for the conveyance of fee title and conservation easements. The Transaction Agreement (Property Acquisition Agreement for state transactions) laid out the terms for conveyance, title insurance, property condition, conservation easement funding, and tax neutrality. The Environmental Agreement allocated responsibilities for hazardous substances and ensured PG&E retained responsibility for required remediation of hazardous substance releases prior to closing of the transaction. For donations to the US Forest Service, a Donation Letter and conservation covenant was used in place of these agreements.

Building Envelopes

While the principal effect of the conservation easements placed on the Watershed Lands was to significantly restrict development in perpetuity, in some cases on donated lands the conservation easement reserved to the owner the right to develop a small portion of the property within a designated building envelope. 388 acres of the Watershed Lands were included in building envelopes and are detailed in Exhibit 7. The Stewardship Council convened a Conservation Easement Work Group of board members to guide negotiations for building envelopes proposed by prospective fee title donees. The Stewardship Council restricted the approval of building envelopes to uses that would improve land management and preserve or enhance BPVs of the properties such as recreation, cultural resource interpretation, research, agricultural, and forest management. Where existing structures such as Sky Mountain Camp, Hat Creek Rifle and Pistol Club, and Kennedy Meadows Resort and Pack Station were donated, they were included in the building envelope. In some cases, building envelopes also included features like multi use trails, campsites, picnic areas, and recreation fields that are not structures.

While building envelopes may exclude those areas from the development prohibitions in the conservation easements, if the property owners decide to pursue new development or uses that are allowed by the conservation easement, they must first obtain all necessary permits and conduct any necessary CEQA review at that time. In some cases, conservation easement holders were given approval authority over location and design of structures allowed in the building envelopes. Details vary by building envelope but can be found in the conservation easements for each transaction included in Volume III.

[13] LCC Native American Entity Transaction Requirements_9.29.20 p.3-4

Funding Agreements

The Stewardship Council provided significant funding to transaction parties through contract and grant agreements to ensure the realization of the fee title and conservation easement recommendations. Significant legal, due diligence, and staff work was required by fee title donees and conservation easement holders to arrive at the negotiated conservation easement terms, develop Baseline Documentation Reports, secure internal approvals, and agree to PG&E documents that typically included a grant deed for donations, a Transaction Agreement, and Environmental Agreement, and acknowledged PG&Es reserved rights. The Stewardship Council spent a total of $3.16 million on these transaction related expenses. For a full listing of grants provided for transaction costs see Exhibit 9.

LCCP Development and Approval

With legal agreement terms, property conditions and constraints identified, and funding negotiated, Stewardship Council staff worked with consultants and PG&E to develop a draft LCCP for each transaction based on: existing conditions, management objectives, and other elements of the framework and concepts contained in Volumes I and II of the LCP; public input received; continued collaboration with stakeholders on select issues as they arise; and information generated from the transaction process.[14]

The LCCP described the specific land conservation and conveyance measures proposed for each parcel or cluster of parcels and will document compliance with the requirements of Section 12 (a) of the Stipulation. LCCPs included the following elements:

- Description of the land parcel(s) to be protected via a conservation easement or conveyed via a fee simple title transfer [Sections 12(a)(1) and (3)]
- The identity of the parties that have been selected and recommended as holders of conservation easements or recipients of lands being conveyed via a fee title transfer, and a finding concerning the funding and capacity of the parties [12(a)(4)]
- An analysis of tax and other economic and physical impacts resulting from the proposed recommendations, and a recommendation for addressing changes to property tax revenue resulting from implementation of the LCCP [12(a)(5)]
- Disclosure of all known hazardous waste or substance liabilities [12(a)(6)]
- Description of any parcel splitting necessary for implementation of the LCCP [12(a)(7)]
- Description of any recommended physical measures to enhance the beneficial public values [12(a)(8)]
- A monitoring plan [12(a)(9)]
- A schedule for implementation of the LCCP [12(a)(10)]


[14] Land Conservation and Conveyance Plans: Review & Approval Process p. 1

The management objectives and conceptual plans in Volume II of the LCP guided the development of each LCCP and are referenced in Chapter 2 of each LCCP.[15] Draft LCCPs were presented to the Planning Committee for conditional approval prior to a public review process.

The planning process involved extensive public input leading to the completion of a LCCP for each transaction. The public was provided a 30-day review and comment period on the draft LCCP and the comments received are shared with board members prior to the Watershed Planning Committee forwarding the proposed LCCP to the board for its review and approval. The 30-day public review and comment periods were announced via an e-mail sent to contacts in the Stewardship Council's database, a posting on the Stewardship Council's web site, and an advertisement placed in local newspapers. A notice inviting review and comment on the proposed LCCP was also sent to all landowners on record located within one mile of the subject PG&E parcel. In addition, a notice was mailed to the board of supervisors of the affected county; each affected city, town, and water supply entity; and each affected tribe and/or co-licensee. After receiving public comment, the Planning Committee reviewed comments and made any necessary revisions to a proposed LCCP prior to forwarding a recommendation to the board.

Proposed LCCPs endorsed by the Planning Committee were posted on the Stewardship Council's website for additional public review and comment approximately 30 days prior to being considered by the board at a public board meeting. The posting of proposed LCCPs was advertised via an e-mail sent to contacts in the Stewardship Council's database. In addition, public board meetings were advertised via an e-mail sent to contacts in the Stewardship Council's database, an announcement posted on the Stewardship Council's web site, a press release issued to local papers, and an advertisement placed in local newspapers in the area where a board or public meeting is taking place or in communities that may have an interest in a particular topic on an upcoming meeting agenda. The board action taken is noted in the meeting minutes that are posted on the Stewardship Council's website following the board meeting. All public comments received will be provided to the board. There is also an additional opportunity for public comment at the public board meeting when the board considers approval of the proposed LCCP. Adoption of an LCCP by the board would be the final step in the Stewardship Council's process for selecting donees. The prospective donees are responsible for securing their own internal approvals prior to the transaction being completed. Transactions will be finalized upon LCCP review and transaction approval by the California Public Utilities Commission. [16]

[15] LCP Volume III Pilot Process p. 4
[16] LCCP Appendix 1 Public Outreach Summary



The board approved each LCCP by consensus at a publicly noticed meeting along with proposed funding agreement for conservation easement stewardship and legal defense for the conservation easement holder. The board ensured that the LCCP conformed to and fulfilled the requirements of the 2003 Settlement Agreement and Stipulation and its approval constituted the final Stewardship Council recommendation for the preservation and enhancement of the BPVs on the subject property. Following a 30-day dispute period without disputes being filed by board members, the approved LCCPs were transmitted to PG&E.

Updated Final LCCPs

In many cases there were significant delays between the time that the board approved the LCCP and when PG&E submitted an advice letter for the transaction. As a result, the Stewardship Council needed to update the LCCP document to an Updated Final version for CPUC submission so that the information would be consistent with the draft conservation easement and PG&E Transaction Agreement. The new versions typically updated:

- Transaction acreage to reflect surveyed acreage, additional needs for PG&E to retain operating areas or buffers, discoveries of facilities that could not be donated, or property boundaries changed due to lot line adjustments
- New regulatory statues and guidance involving environmental permitting, building envelopes, tax neutrality policy, and donations to tribal entities
- Additional rationale regarding donee selection and rationale
- Timelines

In most cases, the updates were not considered significant to the terms of the transaction and Updated Final LCCPs were completed at the staff level. When significant changes to transaction acreages occurred, staff informed the board with an update at a board meeting. Examples of these staff level updates to the board included changes to examples in the Outdoor Recreation BPV, Eel River Retained where an exhibit was added to the conservation easement detailing impacts of dam removal and FERC license surrender, and Lake Britton USFS, where a transmission corridor was removed from donation.

Staff updated LCCPs early in the process as Revised Final LCCPs. The McArthur Swamp Final LCCP was revised to reflect board amendments to the Property Tax Neutrality Methodology, and the grazing license negotiated by the Fall River Resource Conservation District and the McArthur Resource Management Association. The Lake Spaulding UC Grouse Ridge Final LCCP was revised due to nearby property owner concerns about the building envelope in the proposed conservation easement to be established on the lands recommended for donation to UC. Subsequently, UC and the Bear Yuba Land Trust agreed to revise the conservation easement to omit the building envelope and the LCCP was updated with the new conservation easement.

If the changes were significant, staff requested adoption of a Revised Final LCCP by the board. This occurred when a BPV was added or removed from the LCCP (Chili Bar), a boundary line adjustment was made that transferred acreage from the LCC to private parties (Eel River Trout Creek), or significant conservation easement terms were adjusted (North Fork Mokelumne River where form was adjusted to be acceptable to State), (Lower Drum Rock Creek where a helipad was permitted, NID eminent domain acknowledged, HOZ adjusted, and additional Sheriffs training third party use added[17]), (Fordyce Lake where camping was added as a recreational BPV[18]). Prior to board approval, most Revised Final LCCPs were made available for public review and comment at least 30 days in advance of the board meeting (Chili Bar and Pit Tunnel CAL FIRE were not).

CPUC Regulatory Approvals

As a regulated utility, PG&E is required to obtain approval of the CPUC for utility land transactions to ensure that the transaction (or land encumbrance) is in the best interests of the

[17] Closed Session Minutes 9-21-16
[18] Public Session Minutes November 19, 2014

public and PG&E's customers. In 2008, PG&E filed Application 08-04-020 to request that the Commission authorize a streamlined procedure to efficiently process what was anticipated to be hundreds of filings to consider the disposition of approximately 1,000 parcels of land to implement the Land Conservation Commitment (LCC). This streamlining application was approved by decision 08-11-043 and modified by decision 10-08-004, enabling PG&E to submit § 851 advice letters for the Director of the Commission's Energy Division to approve following a public noticing and protest period.

D.08-11-043 approved the settlement's proposal to categorize watershed lands transactions into three groups.

•**Category 1** – transactions that do not trigger review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), either because they involve actions that are categorically or statutorily exempt from CEQA or because the transactions are not defined as a project under CEQA;

•**Category 2** – transactions that may be subject to CEQA but do not require environmental review by this Commission because the actions either are too speculative or too unspecific to allow meaningful environmental review by this Commission, and because subsequent local discretionary review will ensure CEQA compliance at a more appropriate time when the actions have been adequately defined and proposed by the applicant; and

•**Category 3** – any transactions that do not qualify for the streamlined procedures for Category 1 or Category 2.

The Commission agreed that Category 1 transactions and most Category 2 transactions should be filed and reviewed under a streamlined advice letter process, while Category 3 transactions should continue to be filed and reviewed under the Commission's standard § 851 application process.[19] Category 1 transactions required a 20-day public protest period while other Categories required 30 days.[20]

If a protest was filed, the Commission, by majority vote of the Commissioners at a public meeting, had to issue a resolution to dispose of such advice letters, consistent with the requirements of § 851.

As part of its Section 851 applications, PG&E was expected to present land disposition and/or conservation easement requests that were consistent with the recommendations of the Stewardship Council as provided in the LCP Volumes I, II, and III.

[19] D. 10-08-004 p.3-4
[20] Ibid Modified APPENDIX C to D.08-11-043

Upon PG&E's acceptance of the Final LCCP for each planning unit, PG&E submitted an advice letter to the Commission that included the following five items of information about the proposed transaction. All advice letter filings were Category 1 transactions.

(1) identification of Conservation Property parcel(s)

(2) type of property interest disposition(s)

(3) legal name and location of receiving party or parties

(4) proposed use(s) and conservation management objectives with reference to applicable section(s) of the LCP

(5) applicable CEQA exemption(s) (where no exception to the exemption applies) with explanation, if necessary, or reason why transaction is not a "project" under CEQA.

Advice letters are available on the PG&E website at https://www.pge.com/tariffs/advice-letters.page

Advice Letter Protests

The majority of the Section 851 advice letters submitted by PG&E and publicly noticed did not receive protests from the public. In the following cases, members of the public did file protests to the advice letters, all of which were ultimately approved by the CPUC.

Eel River (AL 5194-E)– McMahon Law and Marcia Saldin filed protest letters related to the donation of the Eel River Trout Creek property to the Potter Valley Tribe. Once the McMahon Law protesters were supplied with attachments to the advice letter and informed parcel 740 was not related to the property being transferred, they indicated they had no issue with the transaction. Ms. Saldin's concerns were very general and did not take issue with any specific language in the advice letter. PG&E reached out to her with a request for clarification and additional information about the conservation easement purpose but did not receive a reply. PG&E asserted Ms. Saldin's protest did not meet any of the grounds for valid protest. The CPUC approved the advice letter March 26, 2019.

Eel River (AL 5246-E) - McMahon Law filed a protest letter related to the donation of the Eel River Alder Creek property to the Potter Valley Tribe. The protest raised issues with the recipient of the donation, development that could occur, and the rights of existing easements. After PG&E engaged with the McMahons, explained how the conservation easement would limit development, and explained existing easements were protected, the CPUC found the protest erroneous in both fact and law. The CPUC concluded the protested issues were resolved and approved the advice letter March 26, 2019.

Mountain Meadows Reservoir (AL 5267-E) - W.M. Beatty filed a protest letter regarding errors on the maps and legal descriptions regarding the Mountain Meadows Reservoir

transaction. PG&E revised the filing to address the errors and the CPUC approved the advice letter May 1, 2018.

Fordyce Lake (AL 4687-E) - Numerous protest letters were filed regarding the OHV "Committee Trail" route and primitive camping near Fordyce and Sterling Lakes. Following PG&E and BYLT adding the Committee Trail as a road in the BDR and the Stewardship Council adopting a Revised Final LCCP where camping was added to the recreational BPV, the CPUC dismissed the protests by resolution E-4765 and approved the advice letter April 21, 2016.

Lake Spaulding (AL 4736-E) - Numerous protest letters were filed regarding the transfer of property at Grouse Ridge to UC Berkeley Forests. Protesters expressed concern with the location of the "Outdoor Education Center Building Envelope General Area," generally asserting that the development of such a facility would increase trespass, vandalism, and arson on private property in the area. PG&E asserted that such assertions were speculative and premature. Nevertheless, PG&E withdrew AL 4736-E and UC removed the "Outdoor Education Center Building Envelope General Area" from the conservation easement. The Stewardship Council revised the LCCP with the new conservation easement and PG&E resubmitted the transaction as AL 4857-E, which was not protested and was approved September 27, 2016.

Cow Creek (AL 5221-E) - David W. Albrect filed a protest letter alleging the donation described in the Cow Creek LCCP contained inaccuracies pertaining to language in the conservation easement in reference to informal uses and public access of the property. The protest alleged access to PG&E property is through a prescriptive easement across Mr. Albrecht's private property that does not extend to the public. It also alleged inaccuracies regarding the legal recording of water rights. PG&E responded to Mr. Albrecht. The CPUC agreed that the public cannot access the PG&E property from Mr. Albrecht's property but determined it may be possible for the public to access from other locations, so the public access language is appropriate. The CPUC found PG&E's responses on clarifications of water rights language and Exhibits adequate to address Mr. Albrecht's concerns. The CPUC determined the protest was erroneous and approved the advice letter on March 26, 2019.

Humbug Valley (AL 5534-E) - Plumas County provided a comment letter stating that the tax neutrality agreement between the County and Stewardship Council was not yet finalized. The CPUC Energy Division determined the comment did not meet the criteria of a valid protest and declared it invalid. The CPUC approved the advice letter June 3, 2019.

FERC Regulatory Review

PG&E Hydro projects generate electricity and operate within a designated boundary licensed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) FERC operating licenses for PG&E's hydro facilities ensure availability and use of lands and waters for the benefit of public. The FERC licenses require PG&E to construct and maintain (or in some cases, fund) public recreational facilities, and to monitor and adjust public recreational opportunities over the life of the license.[21] Property within FERC boundaries was not eligible for fee title donation and the conservation easement agreement needed to demonstrate sufficient provisions to confirm FERC's jurisdiction over the property and PG&E's rights for continued project operation and compliance with the project license, any future FERC order, or other regulatory requirements. To facilitate placing a conservation easement over these retained properties, PG&E was required to apply to FERC for approval to convey to a conservation any easement over FERC project lands. FERC approval required conveyance instruments to contain recorded covenants providing that that the non-FERC project use will not interfere with FERC project purposes and required PG&E to enforce such covenants and protect the FERC project values. FERC publishes public notices in the Federal Register announcing the filing deadline for all comments, notions to intervene, and protests pertaining to the applications. FERC approved the change of land rights for all 52 PG&E retained land transactions.

Escrow Closing Process

Once PG&E received regulatory approval from CPUC and FERC (if applicable), PG&E would launch an escrow closing process with the project stakeholders, including the Stewardship Council. Each transaction transferred real property rights to a new fee title owner (if property was donated), and a conservation easement holder for the conservation easements (or conservation covenant holder in the case of US Forest Service donations). Changes to the property title became official when escrow closed. To facilitate the closing process, PG&E staff facilitated meetings with the parties involved in the transaction at least twice a month, including the Stewardship Council. The Stewardship Council was responsible for the following during the closing process:

1. Review and approve of the final conservation easement and BDR
2. Title Insurance payment to the title company for the new landowner, and/or the CE holder, which insures the inclusions on the title itself
3. Endowment agreement payment to the conservation easement holder for legal defense, managing the conservation easement, and monitoring the property in perpetuity

[21] Public Access Overview 9-4-20 p. 4

The closing process typically took 2-4 months, but in some cases took much longer when there were issues like access agreement negotiations, changes to the land that required significant updates to the BDR, or the renewing required documents that had expired. Most of the project issues were worked out before the closing process because the transaction had gone through all the other project milestones prior to this phase.

Documents that are finalized and signed at closing include the following:

- For PG&E Retained transactions:
    - Conservation Easement
    - Baseline Documentation Report
    - Environmental Agreement
    - Title insurance policies for the conservation easement holder and fee title donee (if donated)
    - Escrow instructions
    - Certificate of Compliance for subdivided parcels that meet the county requirements (often signed before closing)

- For donated transactions the following additional documents are included:
    - Grant Deed and Reservation of Rights to transfer fee title (for donated transactions)
    - Assignment of leases and licenses from PG&E to new owner (if applicable)
    - Bill of Sale (if personal property exists on the property donated)
    - Easements for access and maintenance (if applicable)
    - In the case of Maidu Summit Consortium donations, a restriction on transfer of the property

Following closing of escrow, if the property was donated, the Stewardship Council sent the required tax neutrality payment to the county where the donation property was located as described in Chapter 1.

EXHIBIT 3: PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING LCCP PROCESS

LCCP documents were circulated for public comment prior to board approval and selected transactions attracted substantial interest and comments that staff responded to. The date following the LCCP is the LCCP board approval date and comments are arranged alphabetically by planning unit.

Bass Lake PG&E Retained (September 2020)

- Supervisor Tom Wheeler requested the Stewardship Council reconsider the recommendation of Mother Lode Land Trust (MLLT) as conservation easement holder. He suggested that Sierra Foothill Conservancy or Coarsegold Resource Conservation District hold the conservation easement. Prior to recommending MLLT as the conservation easement holder, staff explored these two entities as conservation easement holders, and both declined to hold the easement. Staff responded to Supervisor Wheeler with this information and did not receive further communication.
- Kelby Hargrave had concerns about the parcel numbers and several items on the map, including recreational homesite leases. Since PG&E has sold the leased properties, the map was updated to remove the bubble identifying recreational homesite leases. A phone call with Mr. Hargrave addressed his concerns about the parcel numbers.

Battle Creek PG&E Retained (December 2020)

- American Whitewater submitted a comment letter similar to the Lake Almanor letter discussed above that staff responded to with the same information.

Bear River (Bear Yuba Land Trust) CAL FIRE Donation (March 2020)

- Heidi Tschudin expressed concerns about her access across the property and the potential for increased public access. Staff responded that existing access rights are included as Express Third Party Uses and are honored in the documents and conservation easement. We encouraged her to work directly with PG&E if she has issues with access. We informed her that while existing public access to the property will be protected, CAL FIRE has no current plans to increase public access to the area and would need to complete a management plan with public comment before opening the area for new public access.
- Nevada Irrigation District (NID) – NID expressed concerns that NID facilities and operations for water delivery and hydroelectric systems are not properly referenced in the LCCP and requested attributions to NID's rights, facilities, and agreements in the documents. NID also requested confirmation of the fee title donation and conservation easement areas. Staff reviewed the facilities list NID provided, and it appears that only the Bowman Powerhouse 60kV power transmission line affects this property. Staff

responded that NID facilities and interests are existing third party uses covered in the Grant Deed under Section 2-G and will be included in Exhibit E of the conservation easement, which is currently being developed. We encouraged NID to provide documents that can be included in this Exhibit E for property attribution. Staff also updated the LCCP map to property depict the Deer Creek asset sale area and responded that the LCCP shows the land to be donated and encumbered with a conservation easement in grey.

Bear River (Placer Land Trust) CAL FIRE Donation (March 2020)

- Nevada Irrigation District – NID expressed concerns that NID facilities and operations for water delivery and hydroelectric systems are not properly referenced in the LCCP and requested attributions to NID's rights, facilities, and agreements in the documents. NID also requested confirmation of the fee title donation and conservation easement areas. Staff reviewed the facilities list NID provided, and it appears that none of the facilities affect this property. Staff responded that NID facilities and interests are existing third party uses covered in the Grant Deed under Section 2-G and will be included in Exhibit E of the conservation easement. We encouraged NID to provide documents that can be included in this Exhibit E for property attribution. Staff also updated the LCCP map to property depict the Deer Creek asset sale area and responded that the LCCP shows the land to be donated and encumbered with a conservation easement in grey.

Bucks Lake PG&E Retained (January 2019)

- Dave Norton expressed concern that the LCCP does not prevent cattle from trespassing onto PG&E property and damaging watercourses. Staff responded with a letter explaining that the Settlement and Stipulation do not require PG&E to take action to prevent cattle from trespassing or compel PG&E to change the condition of the property in advance of the easement being placed on the property.
- Bill Nicholau & Rick Frey raised numerous concerns including vegetation clearing from power lines, habitat for yellow legged frogs, and authority of the conservation easement holder over leaseholders at the Lake. They detailed specific sections of the Conservation Easement and offered suggestions that would limit the conservation easement holder's rights to access and approval over discretionary actions, and create new noticing and public review requirements. Staff responded with a letter explaining the following broad points:
    - Loss of scenic values due to power line clearing – PG&E is obligated to comply with CPUC directives for clearance, and current work will be completed prior to the conservation easement encumbering the property. Referred to the local land agent to discuss.
    - Yellow Legged Frog habitat – The Bucks Lake area is designated critical habitat for this listed species and regardless of the conservation easement, the frog is protected by the Endangered Species Act.

- Concern that FRLT will be additional layer of authority for lessees – The conservation easement is an agreement between FRLT and PG&E and FRLT will have no direct authority over lessees. The Settlement and Stipulation require that the conservation easement honors existing agreements for economic uses as laid out in Section 9 of the conservation easement.

PG&E, Mr. Frey, and Mr. Nicholau, and staff had a phone call June 12, 2019 to discuss the issues and the Stewardship Council sent a response letter September 26, 2019.

Butte Creek PG&E Retained (May 2018)

- Stephen Sayre requested continued access to the Lower Centerville Canal trail, which includes catwalks and flumes. He is concerned PG&E is limiting public access to these facilities and requests they be protected by the LCCP and easement. He also has concerns about the fire danger on the property and made suggestions of areas for fuels treatment. Since flumes and catwalks are hydro facilities subject to PG&E safety, operational, and regulatory requirements, the access to these facilities is at the discretion of PG&E. Similarly, prioritization of fuel treatment is managed by the property owner within the context of permitted uses in the conservation easement. Staff worked with PG&E to craft a response to this comment.
- Gordon Wolfe submitted a comment about the rich wildlife in the area and importance of the flume and powerhouse for ecological and historic values. Staff explained how the ecological and historic values are included in the BPVs and will be protected by the conservation easement.
- Roger and Helen Ekins expressed concern over signage PG&E has installed to discourage use of the flume catwalks along canals. Staff explained these are hydro facilities outside of our purview and encouraged them to contact PG&E, as the landowner, to discuss signage.
- Rick Stemple expressed concern over new public access that would lead to trespass, and illegal activities. Staff responded the conservation easement would protect public access, but not necessarily increase it and recommended he contact the PG&E Local Land Agent to discuss any illegal activities occurring in the area related to PG&E lands.
- Chris Wemmer expressed concern over new trails that could increase OHV use, homeless camps, prospecting, hunting, shooting, illegal fishing, wood poaching, drug activity, and dumping. He also expressed concern over threat of wildfire in the area. Staff responded that we would share the information with PG&E, the Land Trust, and the Stewardship Council board to raise awareness of the issues. We also suggested he contact the PG&E local land agent to address these issues and provided contact information.
- Robert Edwards and Beatrix Heiloway expressed concern over threat of wildfire and wildlife disturbance by new trail access. Staff responded that the plan does not specify any new trails or require new public access in the area.

- Kris Nikolauson called and emailed his concerns that the water flow from the Upper Centerville Canal into Middle Butte Creek that supplies his Loch Lomond Glen park has dried up since PG&E completed canal upgrades. He asserts historic water rights and staff worked with PG&E on a response.
- Tom Ryder expressed concern that there will be increased public use on his property and does not think public recreation should be a BPV. Staff responded that continued public access and is required by the Settlement agreement and will not likely increase as a result of the conservation easement.
- Len Lancy called to state he appreciates outdoor recreation as a BPV and likes the ability to hike without motorized vehicles on the trail.
- Coral Love asked that we preserve watershed lands in Butte Creek Canyon, and staff responded that the lands would have a conservation easement to protect the BPVs.
- Michael White had concern that water in the canal would be used to develop the property and wants it maintained in the canal for recreation areas, wildlife and flora on the canyon rim. Staff responded that the conservation easement would prevent development and PG&E will retain water rights as regulated by the CPUC and FERC.

Cow Creek CAL FIRE Donation (April 2020)

- Dave Albrecht and Robert and Sherry Robinson commented stating that the LCCP had numerous errors, was missing exhibits required for review of the document, and requesting an extension to the public comment period. Staff responded that there are some supporting details in the transaction documents that are not finalized until after the Stewardship Council's recommendation is transmitted to PG&E. We detailed the status of each exhibit and provided a Third Party Uses exhibit and a sample of the Utility Facility Access, Operation and Maintenance Easement used for the North Fork Mokelumne River CAL FIRE donation. We agreed to extend the deadline to allow for additional comment submission until March 1, 2020.
- Staff received additional public comment letters from Dave Albrecht dated February 24, 2020 and Robert and Sherry Robinson dated February 28, 2020. Staff reviewed the comment letters and made clarifying edits to the LCCP prior to board approval

  1. Adjusted the locations of Whitmore, the Mill Creek Bridge, and German Ditch on the LCCP map.

  2. Acreage and Property Description section on p. 11

  a. Changed "The remaining 20 acres within Parcels 292-299 will be retained by PG&E and will be subject to a conservation easement." to "20 acres within Parcel 293are being retained by PG&E and are subject to a conservation easement."

  b. Changed distance to Redding from 16 miles to 30 miles.

c. Changed “The Cow Creek planning unit provides riparian and aquatic habitat along Mill Creek and South Cow Creek, which run through the center and eastern portions of the planning unit, respectively.” to “The Cow Creek planning unit provides riparian and aquatic habitat along Mill Creek and South Cow Creek. Mill Creek runs east to west through the planning unit from Parcel 297 to Parcel 293. South Cow Creek flows through Parcel 299.”

Added a paragraph that states :“PG&E High Voltage Transmission Lines cross the property. The Round Mountain –Table Mountain #1 and #2 500 kV transmission lines run parallel, north-south through the center of the property and the Kilarc –Deschutes 60 kV transmission line runs southwest to northeast though the property.”

3. Adjacent and Nearby Landowners section on p. 12 – Changed “The parcels are accessible via South Cow Creek Road.” to, "CAL FIRE is securing access to parcel 299 from an adjacent landowner. Parcel 295 is accessible from South Cow Creek Road, Parcel 296 is accessible from Whitmore Road, and all other parcels are contiguous and accessible from those two parcels.“

4.Appendix 3, Exhibit E –The current Third-Party Uses have been added to the exhibit.5.The following text has been added to exhibits that are not yet included -“PG&E to develop this exhibit after Stewardship Council board approval.”

As a result of the comments, the LCCP was updated to include the Third Party Uses exhibit and the following text has been added to exhibits that are not yet included - “PG&E to develop this exhibit after Stewardship Council board approval.” A new lease that PG&E has entered into for beekeeping is also included in the Third Party Uses exhibit and the Economic Uses and Agreements narrative in the LCCP.

The edits do not change the terms, including donation of the property to CAL FIRE and a conservation easement to be held by Shasta Land Trust on the property.

Cow Creek PG&E Retained (January 2017)

- Three comments expressed concern about statements in the LCCP pertaining to public access, and the belief by the commenters that the subject lands are land locked, and as a result, public access is restricted.
- Several stakeholders expressed questions around how the LCCP could affect the adjudicated water rights of private landowners.
- Dave Albrecht, an adjacent landowner, provided 13 pages of written comments, and provided a redlined version of the Draft LCCP with suggested edits. He, along with several other commenters, have requested the issuance of a revised Draft LCCP and an additional opportunity for the public to review and comment on the revised Draft LCCP.

In response to the public comments received, staff, in cooperation with PG&E and Shasta Land Trust, developed a red-lined version of the LCCP, including proposed changes to the conservation easement. These changes are summarized below.

- Total acreage changed from 61 to 66 acres.
- The term "higher elevation" was removed from the forestry BPV.
- The open space (scenic viewshed) BPV was edited to be more general.
- The outdoor recreation BPV was removed.
- The map has been updated to show several gates and other features.
- In the property description a statement was added to reflect the limited access to the property.
- Language around the current status of grazing licenses was updated.
- The term "recreational facilities" has been removed from the recitals in the CE.
- The description of third party uses in the CE has been revised.
- Several other minor edits were also made to the document.

A number of public comments received did not result in proposed changes to the LCCP or associated documents. These public comments included requests to address the Cow Creek / Kilarc decommissioning process, an existing water adjudication associated with the watershed, landowner notification of the conservation easement holder accessing the property, and several additional map edits.

Staff is recommending that the Planning Committee conditionally approve the revised Draft LCCP and authorize staff to make the revised document available for a second 30-day public comment.

Deer Creek US Forest Service Donation (November 2013)

Two comments Brian Oneto and Roger Marsh assert that the USFS will not be able to protect the land from wildfire and question the USFS's ability to manage the lands for the multiple beneficial public values (BPVs).

Doyle Springs PG&E Retained (September 2013)

Comments were received from the following four individuals who possess cabins as part of the Doyle Springs Association (DSA): Richard W. Lasater II, David A. Burr and family , Tom Peltzer, and James B. Weins. All four comments requested that the 1.8 acres of land that are currently under license to the DSA not be encumbered by the conservation easement that will be recorded on the rest of the planning unit because the 1.8 acres are already developed and do not provide any significant public interest value. They also stated that the DSA would like to purchase the property from PG&E, and as owners of land with a history of managing land for beneficial public values (BPVs), the purchased land should not be encumbered by a conservation easement. Staff responded PG&E was retaining the land and could accept a conservation easement as required by the Settlement. In addition, staff believes that the 1.8 acres have significant public value with

respect to open space, outdoor recreation, and habitat. In response to the concerns expressed by DSA representatives early on, a provision was included in the proposed conservation easement that would allow PG&E in the future to undertake a parcel split and sell the 1.8 acres to the DSA, subject to other terms of the conservation easement.

Fordyce Kidd Cascade PG&E Retained (January 2016)

An email was received from Elliot Sevier, an adjacent landowner at the planning unit. Mr. Sevier encouraged the use of the property by Boy and Girl Scouts, as well as snowmobile use. He expressed concern that the conservation easement would prevent snowmobile use and that the body of the LCCP (Objective 3, Enhance Recreational Facilities) did not include reference to the existing Girl Scouts camp.

Staff received phone calls from three individuals during the public comment period. Return phone calls from staff to two of the individuals, Rich Phillips and Mike Anderson, were not answered. Staff talked with Charles Jones, who had questions about continued access through the PG&E parcels to get to his adjacent property and about the potential for him to purchase some of the PG&E lands. Mr. Jones owns approximately 3,000 acres in the vicinity of the subject parcels.

Hat Creek PG&E Retained (September 2020)

PCTA provided a similar letter to the Lake Britton letter discussed above that contains the same issues and requests. The comment was addressed together with the Lake Britton comment as discussed above.

Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation (January 2019)

- California Department of Fish and Game (CDFW) expressed that the donated land will maintain its requirements as a designated Wild Trout water. The Department requested a condition for vehicle access across the donated parcels to the angler survey boxes at the Carbon Bridge and for public access. Staff provided CDFW with a memo that explains how the conservation easement protects public access. Staff connected CDFW staff and Pit River Tribe staff on a call January 15, 2019 to discuss access to the boxes and CDFW provided the Tribe with a request that documents the existing access and proposes a future agreement. The participants informally agreed to the existing access and also proposed new communication of when CDFW will visit the site on the call.
- US Forest Service and the Pacific Crest Trail Association both requested additional visual disturbance protections for the Pacific Crest Trail be included in the conservation easement, including low impact design for developments and road crossings, and naturally appearing vegetation management. Staff responded that given the restrictions of conservation easement these additional items were not needed. PCTA provided public comment at the board meeting and the board approved the LCCP with the condition that

the conservation easement be changed to require consultation between the USFS and Tribe, which the parties agreed to prior to the LCCP transmittal to PG&E on February 25, 2019.

- Todd Basinger owns 10 acres bisected by Hat Creek and that he is planning to develop and sell. He offered to sell it to the Stewardship Council at fair market value. Staff explained that our program only allows us to work on the PG&E Watershed Lands.

Humbug Valley (Tàsmam Kojòm) Maidu Summit Consortium Donation (May 2018)

- Edwin Wilson submitted a letter with concern over PG&E retaining water rights on the property that would limit MSCs ability to restore and utilize the property. He also requested clarification on whether all timber rights are being conveyed to MSC. He followed up with an additional request for all the attachments to the conservation easement. Staff has provided him with the road and timber easement and the additional attachments to the conservation easement. Staff worked with PG&E on a response to his comment letter.
- Bob Rynearson asked when the transaction was expected to close since he works with nearby landowners. Staff emailed information on MSC and provided the projected property transfer date of May 2019.

Kennedy Meadows Tuolumne County Donation (November 2013)

Four comments in support of the draft LCCP identified local control of the site as being beneficial, mentioned the excellence of the pack station and the importance of keeping the site as it is today and expressed support for the county receiving the revenue from the pack station lease.

Eight comments that raised concerns with the draft LCCP came from both individual stakeholders as wells as individuals representing organizations (Central Sierra Environmental Resource Center (CSERC), Central Sierra Audubon Society, and the Sierra Club – Mother Lode Chapter). The concerns ranged from a lack of support for Tuolumne County as the fee title holder to issues with the conservation easement, to the language used to describe the pack station. A summary of the issues and how they were dealt with includes:

Pack Station Lease Revenue and Tax Neutrality - In order to achieve tax neutrality for Tuolumne County, the LCCP should be modified to require that Tuolumne County must apply (to ecological restoration and resource enhancement) all revenue dollars taken in each year above and beyond the amount PG&E paid in taxes. Staff worked with Tuolumne County to revise the Property Tax Neutrality Funding Agreement to allow revenue to be used for “costs associated with property ownership, land management, and future enhancements of the BPVs” prior to approval.

Access for Adjacent Cabin Owners - Wording should be added or modified in the LCCP to clearly indicate that no restrictions on access or economic fees shall be allowed to be placed on the adjacent cabin owner permittees by Tuolumne County for use of the road and the bridge that access their cabins. PG&E conveyed an access road easement to the U.S. Forest Service to formalize legal access by the permittees over the property.

Grazing - Any text in the Conservation Easement or in the LCCP that alleges that pack stock or grazing cattle shall be used to "control grass height or to control invasive weeds" should be struck from the documents due to the inaccuracy or at least the doubt pertaining to there being any public benefit to those claims. Language in the Conservation Easement that requires the exclusion of pack stock from grazing in the meadows would increase the public values and benefits for wildlife habitat, stream bank stability, and native plants, and would also reduce the risk of introducing new invasive weeds from the pack stock. Staff worked with the parties to revise agreements and the LCCP prior to approval.

Recreation - Conservation Easement recitals fail to provide balanced, objective information related to recreational use at the property. The language in the Conservation Easement fails to acknowledge the negative impacts to outdoor recreation that the pack station and resort facility create for a wide range of recreating members of the public. No revisions were made based on these comments.

Motorized Vehicle Access - Restrictions on the use of motorized vehicles off of authorized routes are vague and so broad as to be unenforceable. Easement language should be tightened up and a defined map of authorized roadways should be agreed-upon and provided for public dissemination, showing where motorized use will be allowed in a manner that will not impact riparian areas or meadow resources or other sensitive soil areas. In response to the comment staff worked with Tuolumne County to modify the draft Management and Funding Agreement to address the future motorized vehicle uses associated with management plans, including the possible development and inclusion of a map of the authorized motor vehicle routes on the site.

Funding of Studies - The Council should require Tuolumne County as the entity receiving this property to fund independent, neutral consultants to perform the needed work (cultural resource study, employee housing study, manure management study and plan, and the enhanced recreational use plan) in order for the Council to move forward with the completion of the disposition process. In response to the comment staff worked with Tuolumne County to require the Cultural Resource Study, the Enhanced Recreational Use Plan, and the Grazing Plan were conducted by independent neutral consultants.

Kern River PG&E Retained (November 2013)

Fifteen comments expressed concern that the draft LCCP did not include the terms “whitewater” or “kayaking” as recreational uses and supported their inclusion. Stewardship Council staff, in consultation with PG&E and Sequoia Riverlands Trust, considered the comments, and did not recommend revisions to the draft LCCP or conservation easement. PG&E and Sequoia

Riverlands Trust, based on site visits and discussions with PG&E operations staff, do not believe that white-water boating is a significant use for this portion of the Kern River, and that 'white water boating' does not merit emphasis in the description of BPVs. Furthermore, it should be noted that the conservation easement will ensure that current recreational uses, whether included in the BPV description or not, may continue consistent with any safety and regulatory restrictions related to PG&E's operations

Lake Almanor PG&E Retained (December 2020)

In response to a number of comments, staff developed a Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) document that was posted on the Lake Almanor LCCP webpage. Commenters with general opposition were directed to the FAQ document to help them understand the transaction.

Three commenters were concerned that the LCCP would limit hunting, in particular waterfowl hunting. After consulting with PG&E and Feather River Land Trust, hunting was added as an example of recreation in the outdoor recreation beneficial public value (BPV). Commenters were informed that hunting will be added to the outdoor recreation BPV prior to the LCCP being posted for board approval.

Denise Rist had a concern that she owns a narrow strip of land between 3rd Avenue and PG&E lands in Chester. 3rd Avenue was listed as an access point in the LCCP, but there is no agreement for access across Denise Rist's land. The LCCP was edited to remove the reference to an access at 3rd Avenue. There is a nearby access at 2nd Avenue.

Carol Broderick raised a concern that grebe nesting is being impacted by fluctuating water levels at Lake Almanor and expressed hope that the project would help to protect the grebes. Staff worked with PG&E on a response that PG&E is developing a monitoring program and explained that Lake Almanor is part of a complex system that must operate to balance a variety of beneficial uses throughout the Feather River system. These uses include meeting contracted downstream consumptive water demands; meeting license-mandated recreational flow requirements; providing stream flows to enhance aquatic and riparian habitat in the Feather River; and providing least cost, carbon free power to PG&E's customers while meeting the state's power demand.

Rachel Long submitted a comment requesting education and ranching be considered in the BPVs. Staff responded that the BPVs were designated as part of the Settlement and that no grazing occurs on the property, so agriculture is not a BPV for this property. We informed her of the youth activities and funding the Stewardship Council has provided to support environmental education.

A number of commenters were concerned with any changes to access and limiting recreational use at the lake. Staff responded with information included in the FAQ:

The Stewardship Council recognizes that Lake Almanor is an important regional recreation destination. The Settlement and Stipulation require that the conservation easements preserve or enhance reasonable public access to the Watershed Lands. The conservation easement for Lake Almanor includes outdoor recreation as a beneficial public value to be protected. Furthermore, the conservation easement recognizes that the PG&E lands at Lake Almanor have been used by third parties for recreation, cultural, and other non-commercial or informal purposes without formal written agreements to conduct such activities. The conservation easement requires that the landowner shall allow public access to the property that is substantially consistent with the public access existing at the time the conservation easement is recorded, subject to certain limitations including the landowner's right to make reasonable rules and regulations to control, limit, or, as necessary, exclude informal uses or public access.

American Whitewater submitted a comment letter expressing concern regarding public access protections in Stewardship Council LCCPs. Staff responded that the Stewardship Council has applied a thoughtful approach for developing conservation easement provisions designed to preserve or enhance reasonable public access to the Watershed Lands and protect each property's specific BPVs, including outdoor recreation by the general public. Resulting conservation easements have been approved by the 13 recommended conservation easement holders and 15 fee title holders, the Stewardship Council board, as well as the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), for consistency with the requirements of the Settlement Agreement and Stipulation.

Lake Britton PG&E Retained (September 2020)

Comments were received from the Pacific Crest Trail Association (PCTA), Senator Brian Dahle, and Assemblywoman Megan Dahle requesting additional protections for the Pacific Crest Trail corridor. The comments were provided to PG&E and PG&E has provided a response email along with the Stewardship Council response. A phone call with the PCTA, PG&E, Shasta Land Trust, staff, and the US Forest Service was held on August 26, 2020 but did not result in significant new information. PCTA requested additional protection at the board meeting where the LCCP was approved. The Board, seeking to add protections for the PCT above and beyond what will be provided in PG&E's conservation easements added language related to scenic viewsheds from trails to the Open Space BPV and requested that PG&E and the USFS continue communications regarding the management of the PCT and to consider establishing formal communication protocols.

A letter from the Great Shasta Rail Trail was received requesting consideration of an enhancement project for repairs of the highway 89 overpass that would allow for extension of the trail. The Planning Committee determined that new enhancement proposals would not be accepted at this time.

Lake Britton State Parks Donation (May 2019)

The Pacific Crest Trail Association (PCTA) submitted a letter requesting additional protections for the Pacific Crest Trail (PCT) corridor that passes through the donated property. A similar request was made at the Hat Creek Pit River Tribe donated property. As a result, the recreation beneficial public value (BPV)was edited and a new provision for consultation with the PCTA was added to the Hat Creek conservation easement. The PCTA requested that a similar edit be made to the conservation easement for Lake Britton. Staff informed State Parks of the request, but State Parks is unwilling to place additional protections on a single property because the PCT passes through many parks that they manage. Staff presented the letter and State Parks response to the Watershed Planning Committee at the April 3, 2019 meeting. Several Watershed Planning Committee members requested that edits be made to the conservation easement to add the protections to the PCT. Staff informed State Parks that the conservation easement needed to add these protections to the PCT, but State Parks responded that they are unwilling to consider the additional protections. After further negotiations, PCTA and State Parks agreed to include language referencing the comprehensive management plan for the PCT in the conservation easement, which was approved by the board.

Lower Drum (Christian Valley) Auburn Park and Recreation District Donation (June 2014)

An individual expressed concern about a lack of assurance that public access at the subject watershed lands will continue into the future, and to request that BLM become the future land manager of the subject watershed lands.

A letter submitted on behalf of Placer Nature Center expressed support for ARD as the proposed landowner and Placer Land Trust as the conservation easement holder. The letter also suggested that the undisturbed wooded area on the property, adjacent to the ball field, be made available for nature hikes and/or interpretive trails to facilitate additional opportunities for the public to access the property and requested that this item be addressed in the LCCP.

Lower Drum (Old Airport) PG&E Retained (June 2016)

Two e-mails and five phone calls were received during the public comment period. Requests from the stakeholders included paper copies of the LCCP, maps with more detail, impact on PG&E rates, and continued fishing access. Stewardship Council staff communicated via email and phone with the stakeholders and provided information where requested.

Lower Drum (Upper Pinecroft) PG&E Retained (September 2016)

Two commenters expressed strong support for the LCCP.

A letter submitted by the Shingle Springs Rancheria expressed an interest in being consulted as the project moves forward, and receiving existing environmental, archaeological, and cultural record searches and surveys in or around the project area.

Staff also received a phone call from and spoke with representatives of the Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California regarding general questions around the overall project.

Stewardship Council staff communicated via email and phone with the stakeholders and provided information where requested.

Lyons Reservoir PG&E Retained (November 2017)

Three comments requested non-motorized boating be permitted on the reservoir. Lyons Reservoir is a drinking water source and no body contact is allowed.

Two comments requested better access around the lake and that current trails be maintained and enhanced.

TUD supported PG&E continued ownership and requested the reservoir continue to be designated "non-body contact" and maintain storage capacity. TUD encourages sound forest management practices to reduce erosion and sediment.

Central Sierra Environmental Resource Center requested a number of edits to the language regarding forest management, livestock, predatory and problem animals, and limits on building construction. The Watershed Planning Committee discussed these comments and staff responded to the comments with a letter explaining the rationale behind the language. The predatory and problem animal language was updated in the conservation easement following the comment period.

Manzanita Lake Madera County Donation (May 2018)

The North Fork Rancheria of Mono Indians of California submitted clarifying information to distinguish the North Fork Mono Tribe from the North Fork Rancheria of Mono Indians of California in the LCCP. As a result of the new information, staff updated the references on pages 15 and 16 of the Proposed LCCP to reflect that the group partnering with the County is the North Fork Rancheria of Mono Indians of California.

Michael Olwyler submitted concerns about how the BPVs are determined for a property, allowances for motorized recreation, how appropriate recreation uses are determined, impacts of the Developed Recreation Envelope, and locating trails that would connect to regional trails. Staff responded with an email to clarify these items:

The BPVs are designated in the Settlement agreement and determined for specific properties by the Stewardship Council, PG&E, Land Trust, and Donee for each property.

Motorized off road recreation is prohibited in the easement.

Appropriate recreation levels were determined by the Land Trust and County in their negotiation of the easement.

Facilities in the Developed Recreation Envelope are allowed with approval from the Land Trust, but Madera County is not required to develop them.

Trails are planned to connect to a regional North Fork-Bass Lake trails system.

Kathleen and Claude Campbell were concerned about impacts to their property and ability to fish. Staff clarified the location of the property, that the property will be encumbered with a conservation easement that will not affect other properties, and explained fishing is protected in the recreation BPV.

Don Banti submitted a vague comment and staff provided a standard response.

McArthur Swamp Fall River RCD Donation (January 2015)

One comment suggested improving public access by paving roads to the existing boat launches.

One comment expressed interest in the type of youth and youth programs that would be associated with the proposed youth facility.

One comment identified species that were not listed in the LCCP (snipe and Morning Doves), identified the area as being hunted much more than "occasionally", as it was stated in the LCCP, identified the site as an excellent opportunity for waterfowl habitat enhancement, and expressed concern over the potential loss of public hunting in favor of "multiple use".

The letter from Craig, George, and John McArthur requested that an existing recorded easement be recorded as an encumbrance in the proposed transaction and that certain water rights be disclosed and recorded as part of the transfer of fee title. PG&E reviewed the request and said they would advise staff if any documents associated with the transaction need to be amended.

A letter was received from Larry Forero, Livestock Farm Advisor, UC Cooperative Extension, stating that it is critical that the lands recommended for donation to the RCD and the lands to be retained by PG&E are managed in a coordinated fashion. Additionally, the letter states that offering first right of refusal to the existing grazers for a grazing license could help to achieve this goal. The letter also stated that the grazers could be provided with an opportunity to purchase these lands, should the RCD ever sell the property.

A letter was received from the California Waterfowl Association, Jeffrey Volberg, Mark Hennelly, and Jake Messerli, requesting that the LCCP be modified to include a plan for providing and maintaining public access.

Staff responded to the comments that the Fall River RCD and Ducks Unlimited will be actively involved in the future management of lands at McArthur Swamp. Each of these organizations will play an important role in the future, combined with the continued management by PG&E of the recreation facilities associated with the planning unit. Ducks Unlimited has already begun work on a project proposal to improve habitat and grazing within the property. The RCD remains committed to working with PG&E on complementary management plans that will consider how management on donated lands can positively affect use of the retained lands, specifically public use of existing recreational facilities. The open, public process which the RCD

will utilize to shape future management decisions at the planning unit will help to ensure that stakeholder views are always considered in management decisions.

Middle Fork Stanislaus PG&E Retained (June 2014)

Tony Gheno, a local landowner, submitted an email message expressing concern that the LCCP proposed allowing grazing on PG&E lands in the Middle Fork Stanislaus planning unit, potentially leading to trespass of cattle onto his property as well as the property of other landowners. The Middle Fork Stanislaus planning unit has not been actively grazed historically, and PG&E has not indicated an intention to introduce grazing. Volume II of the Land Conservation Plan does not identify any proposed management objectives related to agriculture at the planning unit. Staff contacted Mr. Gheno by telephone to clarify that the LCCP does not recommend grazing on the subject planning unit, and does not propose any change inland ownership or existing management practices.

Mountain Meadows Reservoir PG&E Retained (September 2016)

Eight public comments were received including a letter from the Hamilton Branch Property Owners Association and a petition from a local resident, signed by approximately 200 citizens of Westwood and surrounding areas. The focus of several of the comments and the petition is opposition to restricting future public access, including OHV use, to Mountain Meadows.

The Mountain Meadows Conservancy submitted a letter expressing interest in a multi-use trail, controlled OHV use, and fencing to protect important habitat.

Stewardship Council staff communicated via email and phone with the stakeholders and provided information where requested. Staff also conducted additional field visits, and discussions with stakeholders, PG&E, and the Feather River Land Trust.

Narrows UC Donation (November 2013)

A letter from the Yuba County Water Agency (YCWA) identified that they have a variety of activities on or near the property, which they requested be recognized, allowed, and protected as part of the LCCP. These activities include:

Access over existing roads and trails to YCWA's Narrows 2 Powerhouse and other operational facilities

Access to the Yuba River below the powerhouse via an existing foot trail

Maintenance and operations of communications lines between the Powerhouse and a Flow Gage located below Englebright Dam, near Smartsville

Operation of various water temperature recording devices in proximity to the communications lines corridor along the river

Furthermore, YCWA identified that these facilities and activities require the use and maintenance of an existing foot trail along the northern bank of the Yuba River. In response to YCWA's letter, and in consultation with BYLT and UC, PG&E developed a trail easement to be granted to YCWA for use of the foot trail identified. PG&E confirmed that YCWA has a recorded easement for the road access on the property.

Additionally, YCWA's letter requests the following:

That its rights to maintain and operate existing and future project utility facilities related to hydroelectric operations, water delivery and related activities on the parcel to be conveyed in fee to UC -SFREC be reserved in the grand deed and conservation easement, as PG&E's specific reserved rights are, and that the relevant language in the LCCP reflect this. Response: PG&E and the Stewardship Council staff believe the requirements of Appendix E in the Settlement Agreement apply to all entities with hydroelectric generation and water delivery facilities on the watershed lands – not just PG&E. Accordingly, PG&E is working with YCWA to clarify its current and future needs and establish the necessary reservations in the grant to UC. PG&E will coordinate with UC and BYLT on this matter.

That YCWA's rights to power generation and consumptive water use be protected. Response: PG&E and Stewardship Council staff believe that it is the intention of the Settlement and Stipulation to ensure that these rights are protected. Accordingly, PG&E is working with YCWA to clarify its current and future needs and establish the necessary reservations in the grant to UC. PG&E will coordinate with UC and BYLT on this matter.

YCWA notes that page 12 of the Narrows LCCP identifies "Conservation easements on the Watershed Lands will include an express reservation of a right for continued operation and maintenance of hydroelectric facilities and associated water delivery facilities, including project replacements and improvements required to meet existing and future water delivery requirements for power generation and consumptive water use by existing users, compliance with an FERC license, FERC license renewal or other regulatory requirements. In addition, conservation easements will honor existing agreements for economic uses, including consumptive water deliveries." YCWA is concerned that "by exiting users" as underlined above could be interpreted to prevent water deliveries to new water users or legitimate right owners or purchasers simply because they were not exiting users at the time of the execution of the easement, and requests this phrase be stricken. Response: The language cited is reflected in the Settlement and therefore cannot be amended. However, PG&E is working with YCWA to better understand their concerns and, as appropriate, to develop any transaction terms or reservations necessary to protect YCWA's operational and contractual rights.

YCWA notes concerns related to security and public safety in areas immediately adjacent to the hydroelectric powerhouses and identifies that additional trails and day use areas are not

advisable for the particular parcel provided the rugged terrain and safety concerns. Specifically, YCWA notes that the label "Englebright Dam Whitewater Run" should be removed from the Stewardship Council's maps. YCWA does not encourage whitewater boating next to the uncontrolled spillway of the 260 foot Englebright Dam due to the significant volume of spills and potential for rapid flow change. Response: The white water run from Englebright Dam to Parks Bar is identified on the American Whitewater website and California Creeks website. Both of which informed the Stewardship Council's maps, and served to identify the whitewater put-in at Englebright Dam. PG&E concurs with YCWA's assessment of the public safety risks/concerns and indicates that, although certain groups may declare they support or are accessing the Yuba River below Engelbright Dam, the identified white-water run is not a formally authorized recreational activity at this site. Subject to confirmation from US Army Corps of Engineers that access to the property is restricted, staff will modify the map included in the LCCP to indicate this is an informal or unsanctioned use. CONCLUSION: PG&E anticipates that the easement for the foot trail will be negotiated and finalized within the next several weeks. The agreement would be included with the LCCP documents, and recorded prior to the grant deed or CE. Regarding concerns over public safety and recreation, the Narrows Planning Unit LCCP does not make any recommendations to increase public access or recreational activities adjacent to the river. Map features were developed to reflect existing information pertaining to current recreational activities. The issues regarding the protection of YCWA's power generation and consumptive water uses as well as incorporating reserved rights related to YCWA's hydroelectric and water delivery operations are under discussion between PG&E and YCWA.

North Fork Feather River PG&E Retained (May 2019)

Edwin Wilson submitted a comment letter requesting that parcels within the Belden area be designated as a separate planning unit, and that the parcels be offered for donation. Mr. Wilson asserted that it is the Stewardship Council's duty to convey the Belden area parcels to a new fee title owner because he contends that PG&E actions have been detrimental or destructive to the BPVs. Mr. Wilson has the opinion that the Settlement requires PG&E to divest itself of parcels not necessary for PG&E power operations. Staff provided Mr. Wilson's letter to the Watershed Planning Committee and discussed it at the April 3, 2019 meeting. Subsequently, staff prepared a response letter in coordination with PG&E and Julie Turrini. No changes to the LCCP were proposed as a result of Mr. Wilson's comment letter.

North Fork Mokelumne River US Forest Service Donation (March 2016)

One letter was received from Gene Mancebo, General Manager at the Amador Water Agency (AWA). On behalf of the agency, Mr. Mancebo asked for clarification on how the fee title donation to the United State Forest Service(USFS) would impact AWA's surface water entitlement on the Mokelumne River. Mr. Mancebo asked for clarification on the section of the Grant Deed that suggests USFS may use surface water on the property for future environmental projects. PG&E responded to the letter indicating that the recommended donation of the property to the USFS does not include conveyance of any interest in PG&E's appropriative water

rights, as such are not appurtenant to the property. Moreover, this conveyance does not, and legally cannot, create any new appropriative or other water right. Because PG&E is not proposing to convey any of its interests in appropriative water rights to the USFS, and is imposing the water restrictions contained in the grant deed, PG&E believes that, if anything, the conveyance of the property to the USFS will decrease the risk of actions being taken on the property that could be detrimental to the rights of other legal users of Mokelumne River water.

EXHIBIT 4: TAX NEUTRALITY TABLE

Transaction	Fee Title Donee	Tax Neutrality Payment
Alpine County		
Blue Lakes	US Forest Service	$ 76,691
Amador County		
Lower Bear-Cole Creek	US Forest Service	$ 198,453
North Fork Mokelumne	CAL FIRE	$ 87,691
	Amador County Total	$ 286,144
Calaveras County		
North Fork Mokelumne USFS		$ 1,320
Fresno County		
Wishon Reservoir	US Forest Service	$ 16,482
Lake County		
Eel River - Alder Creek	Potter Valley Tribe	$ 59,428
Eel River	US Forest Service	$ 824,752
	Lake County Total	$ 884,180
Mendocino County		
Eel River - Trout Creek	Potter Valley Tribe[1]	$ 500,188
Madera County		
Manzanita Lake	Madera County	$ 78,851
Nevada County		
Lake Spaulding	UC Berkeley Forests	$ 28,925
Fordyce White Rock Lake	US Forest Service	$ 562
Bear River Bear Yuba Land Trust	CAL FIRE	$ 68,275
Lake Spaulding Lake Valley	CAL FIRE	$ 69,997
	Nevada County Total	$ 167,759
Placer County		
Lower Drum Christian Valley	Auburn Recreation and Parks District	$ 50,770
Lower Drum Pinecroft Preserve	Placer County	$ 13,825
Lake Spaulding Sky Mountain	San Joaquin County Office of Education	$ 24,993
Bear River Bear Yuba Land Trust	CAL FIRE	$ 49,709
Lake Spaulding Lake Valley	CAL FIRE	$ 254,192

Bear River Placer Land Trust	CAL FIRE	$	307,996
	Placer County Total	$	701,485
Plumas County			
Humbug Valley- Tasman Koyom	Maidu Summit Consortium	$	464,521
Lake Almanor- Maidu Interpretive Trail	Maidu Summit Consortium	$	10,903
Lake Almanor- Maidu Forest	Maidu Summit Consortium	$	34,901
Lake Almanor- Maidu Wetlands	Maidu Summit Consortium	$	67,805
Lake Almanor- Maidu Cemetery	Maidu Summit Consortium	$	269,790
	Plumas County Total	$	847,920
Shasta County			
Fall River Mills CSD	Fall River Valley Community Services District	$	37,448
McArthur Swamp	Fall River Resource Conservation District	$	1,371,604
Battle Creek	US Forest Service	$	80,337
Hat Creek	Pit River Tribe	$	113,820
Fall River Mills	Fall River Resource Conservation District	$	135,458
Fall River Mills Rifle and Pistol Club	Fall River Resource Conservation District	$	5,264
Lake Britton	California State Parks	$	16,513
Battle Creek	CAL FIRE	$	29,384
Pit River and Tunnel Reservoir	CAL FIRE	$	54,366
Lake Britton	US Forest Service	$	68,782
Lake Britton/Hat Creek	Pit River Tribe	$	64,744
Pit River	UC Berkeley Forests	$	172,269
Fall River Mills	Pit River Tribe	$	318,359
Cow Creek	CAL FIRE	$	388,717
	Shasta County Total	$	2,857,065
Tehama County			
Deer Creek	US Forest Service	$	1,518
Tuolumne County			
Lyons Reservoir	US Forest Service	$	12,522
Yuba County			
Narrows	UC Berkeley Forests	$	16,269
	Total Tax Neutrality Payments	**$**	**6,448,394**

[1] Funding was provided to the Potter Valley Tribe to pay their ongoing property tax obligations on the donated property

EXHIBIT 5: FEE TITLE DONATION RECOMMENDATIONS

Planning Unit	Fee Title Donee	Acres Recommended for Donation	Acres Donated	Reason for Acreage Change
Lower Drum	Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)	64	16	Following donation of 16 acres at Christian Valley, ARD withdrew interest in the remaining donations
Fall River Mills	Bureau of Land Management	2,514	-	Federal Power Act issue
Hat Creek		2,265	-	Federal Power Act issue
Lake Britton		1,405	-	Federal Power Act issue
North Fork Mokelumne River		837	-	Federal Power Act issue
Oroville		42	-	Federal Power Act issue
Battle Creek	CAL FIRE	2,326	2,050	Reduced to carve out shooting areas and trout farm license areas
Bear River (BYLT)		1,581	267	Acreage reduced for PG&E operational needs. Combined recommendation with PLT
Bear River (PLT)			1,200	Acreage reduced by access issues, ground instability, PG&E operational needs. Combined recommendation with BYLT
Cow Creek		2,251	2,246	Adjusted by survey
Lake Spaulding- Lake Valley Reservoir		1,311	1,151	Reduced for future FERC features and PGE operational needs
North Fork Mokelumne River		1,071	1,052	Additional acreage retained by PG&E for operational needs
Pit River		5,220	6,982	Additional acreage retained by PG&E for operational needs. Combined transaction
Tunnel Reservoir		1,796		
Butte Creek	California Department of Fish and Wildlife	1,305	-	CDFW withdrew interest as a result of a PG&E asset sale of the hydroelectric project affecting the Butte Creek Planning unit which no longer made the donation desirable to the CDFW.
Lake Britton	California State Parks	119	135	Adjusted by survey
Oroville	Department of Water Resources	23	-	Department withdrew interest
McArthur Swamp	Fall River Resource Conservation District	4,724	4,491	Adjusted by survey
Fall River Mills		541	463	Adjusted by survey and PG&E operational needs
Fall River Mills Gun Club		450	434	Adjusted by survey
Fall River Valley		129	-	RCD withdrew interest after PG&E determined some of the lands recommended for donation could not be transferred due to operational concerns. Acres will be retained.

Fall River Mills	Fall River Valley Community Services District	34	34	
Burney Gardens	Humboldt State University/ Fall River Resource Conservation District	1,612	1,612	Humboldt State university withdrew interest in receiving the donation at Burney Gardens. Subsequently Fall River RCD was recommended as the donee but the board could not reach consensus on the LCCP. PG&E is donating the property to the Pit River Tribe. The acreage is not included in the Stewardship Council total because the board could not recommend donation.
Manzanita Lake	Madera County	220	146	Acreage reduced for PG&E operational needs.
Humbug Valley	Maidu Summit Consortium	2,325	2,325	Full parcel donation
Lake Almanor		641	610	Includes Maidu Trail, Forest, Cemetery, and Wetlands donations. Adjusted by survey and PG&E operational needs
Fall River Mills	Pit River Tribe	1,506	1,826	Portion of Parcel 211 that was counted in Lake Britton is actually in Fall River Mills planning unit
Hat Creek		850	789	Reduced for buffer along Hat Creek and through survey
Hat Creek		541		
Lake Britton		1,095	1,728	Combined transaction
Lower Drum - Pinecroft	Placer County	15	10	Placer County indicated a portion of the recommended acreage was not suitable for recreational development and withdrew interest in that portion
Lake Almanor	Plumas County	57	-	County withdrew interest
Eel River - Trout Creek	Potter Valley Tribe	723	678	Survey line issue and additional acreage retained by PG&E for operational needs
Eel River - Alder Creek		219	201	Acreage reduced for PG&E operational needs.
Lake Spaulding Sky Mountain	San Joaquin County Office of Education	40	63	Acreage expanded to sensible boundaries
Lake Britton	Shasta County	5	-	County withdrew interest
Kennedy Meadows	Tuolumne County	240	240	Full parcel donation
Blue Lakes	U.S. Forest Service	1,034	410	Reduced due to Federal Power Act issue
Bass Lake		73	-	Federal Power Act issue
Battle Creek		929	934	Full parcel donation
Deer Creek		151	151	Full parcel donation
Eel River		891	907	Additional piece of Parcel 743 added
Fordyce White Rock Lake		75	77	Adjusted by survey
Kern River		279	-	The USFS withdrew interest
Kings River		100	-	The USFS withdrew interest due to concerns over past contamination
Lake Britton		308	250	Power line that USFS could not accept was removed from donation
Lake Spaulding- Lake Valley Reservoir		469	-	USFS withdrew because parcel is fragmented by road and utility line corridors USFS could not accept. Acreage was donated to CAL FIRE.
Lower Bear		907	907	Full parcel donation
Lyons Reservoir		628	628	Full parcel donation

North Fork Mokelumne River		364	98	Federal Power Act issue
Wishon Reservoir		167	167	Full parcel donation
Bear River	UC Berkeley Forests	1,650	-	UC withdrew interest due to the fragmented nature of the donation, CAL FIRE was subsequently recommended as donee for the acreage
Lake Spaulding Grouse Ridge		1,484	1,459	Portion of recommended area encumbered by canals and other infrastructure owned by another utility district.
Pit River		3,100	3,244	Adjusted by survey
Narrows	UC Sierra Research Institute	41	41	Full parcel donation
	Total acres	52,747	38,410	

EXHIBIT 6: BPV TABLE BY TRANSACTION

			Beneficial Public Values Protected by Conservation Easement/Covenant					
Transaction Name/LCCP	**Fee Title Donee or PG&E Retained/ Conservation Easment Holder**	**Acres**	**Habitat for Fish, Plants, and Wildlife**	**Sustainable Forestry**	**Open Space**	**Outdoor Recreation**	**Agriculture**	**Historic Values**
Kennedy Meadows	Tuolumne County/Mother Lode Land Trust	240	x	x	x	x	x	x
Deer Creek	US Forest Service / Sierra Nevada Conservancy	151	x	x	x	x	x	x
Doyle Springs	PG&E/Sequoia Riverlands Trust	43	x	x	x	x		x
Narrows	University of CA/Bear Yuba Land Trust	41	x		x			x
Kern River	PG&E/Sequoia Riverlands Trust	700	x		x	x		x
Middle Fork Stanislaus	PG&E/Mother Lode Land Trust	515	x	x	x	x		x
Lower Bear (Upper Bear)	PG&E/Mother Lode Land Trust	899	x	x	x	x		x
Narrows	PG&E/Bear Yuba Land Trust	23	x		x			x
Lower Bear (Cole Creek)	US Forest Service/Sierra Nevada Conservancy	907	x	x	x	x	x	x
Iron Canyon Reservoir	PG&E/Western Shasta RCD	386	x	x	x	x		x
Lower Drum (Christian Valley)	Auburn Recreation and Park District/Placer Land Trust	16	x		x	x		x
Wishon Reservoir	US Forest Service/Sierra Nevada Conservancy	167	x	x	x	x	x	x
Fordyce (Sterling)	PG&E/Bear Yuba Land Trust	1,741	x	x	x	x		x
Fordyce (Meadow Lake)	PG&E/Bear Yuba Land Trust	196	x	x	x	x		x
Lake Spaulding (Camp 19)	University of CA/Bear Yuba Land Trust	1,459	x	x	x		x	x
NF Mokelumne	US Forest Service/Sierra Nevada Conservancy	98	x	x	x	x	x	x
Merced River (asset sale)	Merced Irrigation District/Sierra Foothill Conservancy	21	x		x	x		x
Lower Drum (Pinecroft Reserve)	Placer County/Placer Land Trust	10	x		x	x		
Fordyce (Kidd/Cascade)	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	248	x	x	x	x		x
Blue Lakes	US Forest Service/Sierra Nevada Conservancy	410	x	x	x	x	x	x
Lower Drum (Old Airport)	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	200	x	x	x		x	x
Lower Drum (Upper Pinecroft)	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	50	x	x	x	x		x
McArthur Swamp	Fall River RCD/Ducks Unlimited	4,491	x		x	x	x	x
Kilarc	PG&E/Western Shasta RCD	112	x	x	x	x		x
Lower Drum (Rock Creek)	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	191	x	x	x	x	x	x
Lower Drum (Halsey)	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	546	x	x	x	x	x	x
Wishon Reservoir	PG&E/Sierra Foothill Conservancy	1,190	x	x	x	x		x
Lake Spaulding (Lindsey Lakes)	PG&E/Bear Yuba Land Trust	857	x	x	x	x		x
Blue Lakes	PG&E/Mother Lode Land Trust	1,447	x	x	x	x		x
Fordyce (White Rock) Lake	US Forest Service/Sierra Nevada Conservancy	77	x	x	x	x	x	x
McArthur Swamp	PG&E/Ducks Unlimited	3,168	x		x	x	x	x
Chili Bar	PG&E/Mother Lode Land Trust	205	x		x	x		x
Mountain Meadows Reservoir	PG&E/Feather River Land Trust	7,058	x	x	x	x	x	x
Lake McCloud	PG&E/Western Shasta RCD	833	x	x	x	x		x
Willow Creek	PG&E/Sierra Foothill Conservancy	241	x	x	x	x		x
Kerckhoff Lake	PG&E/Sierra Foothill Conservancy	325	x	x	x	x	x	x
Fall River Mills	Fall River Valley CSD/Shasta Land Trust	34	x	x	x	x		x
Lyons Reservoir	US Forest Service/Sierra Nevada Conservancy	628	x	x	x	x	x	x
Lyons Reservoir	PG&E/Mother Lode Land Trust	460	x	x	x	x		x
Battle Creek	PG&E/Northern California Regional Land Trust	2,335	x	x	x	x	x	x
Cow Creek	PG&E/Shasta Land Trust	66	x	x	x			x
Eel River (Lower Trout Creek/Alder)	Potter Valley Tribe/Mendocino Land Trust	201	x	x	x	x		x
Eel River (Trout Creek)	Potter Valley Tribe/Mendocino Land Trust	678	x	x	x			x
Humbug Valley	Maidu Summit Consortium/Feather River Land Trust & CA Dept of Fish and Wildlife	2,325	x	x	x	x	x	x
Fordyce (White Rock) Lake	PG&E/Bear Yuba Land Trust	40	x	x	x	x		x
Lower Drum/Bell Road	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	28	x	x	x			x
Auberry Service Center	PG&E/Sierra Foothill Conservancy	29	x	x	x		x	x
North Fork Mokelumne River	CAL FIRE/Mother Lode Land Trust	1,052	x	x	x	x		x
Lake Almanor - Maidu Interpretive Trail	Maidu Summit Consortium/Feather River Land Trust	8	x	x	x	x	x	x
Kings River	PG&E/Sequoia Riverlands Trust	100	x	x	x	x		x
Manzanita Lake	Madera County/Sierra Foothill Conservancy	146	x	x	x	x		x

Lake Almanor - Maidu Forest	Maidu Summit Consortium/Feather River Land Trust	164	x	x	x	x	x	x
Battle Creek	US Forest Service/Sierra Nevada Conservancy	934	x	x	x	x	x	x
Butte Creek	PG&E/Northern California Regional Land Trust	2,192	x	x	x	x		x
Philbrook Reservoir	PG&E/Northern California Regional Land Trust	277	x	x	x	x		x
Manzanita Lake	PG&E/Sierra Foothill Conservancy	330	x	x	x	x		x
Butt Valley	PG&E/Feather River Land Trust	2,472	x	x	x	x		x
North Fork Feather River	PG&E/Northern California Regional Land Trust	5,668	x	x	x	x	x	x
Lake Spaulding - Sky Mountain Camp	San Joaquin County Office of Education/Wildlife Heritage Foundation	63	x	x	x	x		x
Fall River Mills - Fall River RCD	Fall River RCD/Shasta Land Trust	463	x		x	x	x	x
Fall River Mills - Hat Creek Rifle & Pistol Club	Fall River RCD/Shasta Land Trust	434	x	x	x	x		x
Lake Almanor -Maidu Wetlands	Maidu Summit Consortium/Feather River Land Trust	296	x	x	x	x	x	x
Lake Britton	US Forest Service/Sierra Nevada Conservancy	250	x	x	x	x	x	x
Eel River	US Forest Service/Sierra Nevada Conservancy	907	x	x	x	x	x	x
Fall River Valley	PG&E/Ducks Unlimited	236	x	x	x	x	x	x
Deer Creek - Nevada Irrigation District	PG&E/Bear Yuba Land Trust	782	x	x	x	x		x
Bucks Lake	PG&E/Feather River Land Trust	2,164	x	x	x	x		x
Lower Drum-Folsom	PG&E/Wildlife Heritage Foundation	12	x	x	x			x
Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation	Pit River Tribe/Shasta Land Trust	789	x	x	x	x		x
Eel River	PG&E/Mendocino Land Trust	5,660	x	x	x	x	x	x
Oroville	PG&E/Northern California Regional Land Trust	1,176	x	x	x	x	x	x
Lower Drum - Wise Forebay	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	16	x	x	x	x		x
Lake Britton	CA Dept of Parks and Rec/Shasta Land Trust	135	x	x	x	x		x
Lake Almanor - Maidu Cemetery	Maidu Summit Consortium/Feather River Land Trust	142	x	x	x	x	x	x
Pit River	University of CA/Shasta Land Trust	3,244	x	x	x	x		x
Lake Spaulding - Rucker	PG&E/Bear Yuba Land Trust	3,155	x	x	x	x		x
Bass Lake	PG&E/Mother Lode Land Trust	1,085	x	x	x	x		x
Fall River Mills - Pit River Tribe Donation	Pit River Tribe/Shasta Land Trust	1,826	x	x	x	x		x
NF Mokelumne River	PG&E/Mother Lode Land Trust	2,351	x	x	x	x	x	x
Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation	Pit River Tribe/Shasta Land Trust	1,728	x	x	x	x		x
Fall River Mills	PG&E/Shasta Land Trust	2,446	x	x	x	x	x	x
Pit River/Tunnel Reservoir	CAL FIRE/Shasta Land Trust	6,982	x	x	x	x		x
Bear River	CAL FIRE/Bear Yuba Land Trust	267	x	x	x	x		x
Bear River	CAL FIRE/Placer Land Trust	1,200	x	x	x	x		x
Cow Creek	CAL FIRE/Shasta Land Trust	2,246	x	x	x	x	x	x
Lake Spaulding	CAL FIRE/Placer Land Trust	1,151	x	x	x	x		x
Battle Creek	CAL FIRE/Western Shasta RCD	2,050	x	x	x	x		x
Hat Creek	PG&E/Shasta Land Trust	1,820	x	x	x	x		x
Lake Britton	PG&E/Shasta Land Trust	4,224	x	x	x	x		x
Lake Spaulding Lake Valley Preserve	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	836	x	x	x	x		x
Lake Almanor	PG&E/Feather River Land Trust	29,057	x	x	x	x		x
Bear River BYLT	PG&E/Bear Yuba Land Trust	2,315	x	x	x	x		x
Tunnel Reservoir	PG&E/Shasta Land Trust	901	x	x	x	x		x
Bear River PLT	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	2,457	x	x	x	x		x
Pit River	PG&E/Shasta Land Trust	3,978	x	x	x	x		x
Battle Creek	PG&E/Western Shasta RCD	1,727	x	x	x	x		x
	# Transactions Protecting BPV		96	86	96	87	34	95
	CE Acreage Protecting BPV		140,000	130,862	140,000	137,464	47,752	139,990

EXHIBIT 7: BUILDING ENVELOPE MATRIX

Transaction	Fee Title Donee	Property size (acres)	Building Envelope (acres)	% of property	Included uses
Pit and Tunnel	CAL FIRE	6,982	10	0.1%	Recreation, research or forest management activities
Pit and Tunnel	UC Forestry	3,244	5	0.2%	Forestry center, including office and meeting space, housing for researchers, employees and visitors, and a structure for storing equipment and machines
McArthur Swamp	Fall River RCD	4,491	15	0.3%	Construct , replace, repair structures in Visitor Center and Youth Camp zones
Lake Spaulding Camp 19	UC Forestry	1,459	5	0.3%	Forestry center, including office and meeting space, housing for researchers, employees and visitors, and a structure for storing equipment and machines
Battle Creek	CAL FIRE	2,050	10	0.5%	Recreation, research or forest management activities
Cow Creek	CAL FIRE	2,246	10	0.4%	Recreation, research or forest management activities
Fall River Mills	Pit River Tribe	1,826	10	0.5%	Arbor, cultural center, picnic areas, two restrooms structures, caretaker cabin 1,500 sf, maintenance structure 7,500 sf
North Fork Mokelumne	CAL FIRE	1,052	10	1.0%	Recreation, research or forest management activities
Hat Creek	Pit River Tribe	789	8.5	1.1%	Roundhouse, traditional village, arbor, amphitheater, cultural center, 15 cabins, two bathroom/shower structures, campground, caretaker cabin 1,500 sf, maintenance structure 7,500 sf
Hat Creek Rifle and Pistol Club	Fall River RCD	434	5	1.2%	Clubhouse, multi use building, cabins, research, education, skeet and archery ranges.
Bear River	CAL FIRE	267	10	3.7%	Recreation, research or forest management activities
Bear River	CAL FIRE	1,200	10	0.8%	Recreation, research or forest management activities
Lake Valley Reservoir	CAL FIRE	1,151	20	1.7%	Recreation, research or forest management activities
Maidu Cemetery	MSC	142	5	3.5%	Campground facilities, 1,500 sf caretaker residence
Eel River	Potter Valley Tribe	879	26	3.0%	Caretakers residence, 15 cabins, community building, camp store
Humbug Valley	MSC	2,325	104.5	4.5%	Roundhouse, traditional village, visitor center (Line Shack), kiosk, 10 cabins, campground and bathroom/shower facilities
Pinecroft Reserve	Placer County	10	0.5	5.0%	Caretaker structure 1,200 sf

Kennedy Meadows	Tuolumne County	240	20	8.3%	Repair, construct, and expand structures within existing high use area
Lake Britton	State Parks	135	10	7.4%	Uses that further State Parks mission such as campgrounds, horse corrals, picnic shelters, restrooms, caretaker residence, storage facilities, parking and visitor interpretation facilities
Maidu Forest	MSC	164	15	9.1%	10,000 sf cultural center, 6,000 sf amphitheater, caretakers residence 2,500 sf
Manzanita Lake	Madera County	146	35	24.0%	Campground, trails, staging area, horse corral, nursery
Sky Mountain	SJCOE	60	33	55.0%	Sky Mountain Outdoor Education Center
Christian Valley	Auburn Rec and Park District	16	5.5	34.4%	Parking lot, ball fields, restrooms, storage buildings, picnic areas, fencing, etc. Maintenance activities also allowed.
	Totals	31,308	383		

Planning Unit	Parcels	Acres	Date of Board Approval	Notes
Doyle Springs	1077	3	9/11/2013	Encumbered by transmission line corridor, steep topography, thin, isolated parcel.
Bass Lake	1033	0.3	11/19/2015	Isolated, not visible or accessible from public road, small parcel entirely encumbered by neighbor's back yard shed and landscaping.
Fordyce (Kidd/Cascade) Lake	790	0.2	1/21/2016	Very small, isolated parcel, impacted by highway and adjacent industrial facilities.
Eel River	Portion of 748	23.9	6/27/2016	Incorrect property boundary survey that was conducted and recorded with Mendocino County in 1973. Boundary line adjustment and quitclaim was completed to clarify.
Willow Creek	1053, 1054	4.7	1/25/2017	1053 encumbered by encroachment from adjacent autobody shop, multiple occurrences of trespassing, unauthorized building, and debris dumping . An unauthorized structure was built and partially torn-down on the north-eastern portion of the parcel. 1054 is partially paved, encumbered by canal hydro infrastructure. 1054 is not visible or accessible from public road.
Lower Drum	877, 878	2	5/3/2017	Parcels are a small strip of land between river and canal which are paved and are entirely encumbered by hydro facilities. Additionally there are safety concerns for outside easement holder to be monitoring these parcels. Not visible or accessible from public road.
Lower Drum	880	1	5/3/2017	Small, paved, isolated parcel, entirely encumbered by canal- safety concerns.
Lower Drum	899-900	14	5/3/2017	Rock Creek Yard entirely paved and encumbered by industrial PG&E service yard, heavily used, adjacent pieces encumbered by canal, road access.
Lower Drum	898	1	5/3/2017	Contains about 1 acre of open grassland but is heavily impacted by adjacent facilities, road and canal access, homeless encampments.
Lower Drum	905-906	2	5/3/2017	Mostly paved, encumbered by hydro facilities, canal, and pump house, small strip of grassland runs along side of facilities- very thin.
Lower Drum	907	6	5/3/2017	Sloped grassland and dense brush cover, but is impacted by dirt road and wise penstock pipeline that runs through the parcel.
Lower Drum	908	2	5/3/2017	Paved, encumbered by Wise power house and associated facilities including a parking lot.

Lower Drum	909	1	5/3/2017	The section of the parcel south of Wise Road contains facilities associated with the Wise Powerhouse including a paved switchyard and parking area. Section of parcel to the north of road is open sloped grassland impacted by busy road.
Lower Drum	910-911	4	5/3/2017	910 is open grassland across the street from powerhouse, impacted by road and adjacent hydro facilities, neighbor's paved driveway. 911 is not paved, it contains small portion of Auburn Ravine that is impacted by the powerhouse and associated improvements to the ravine, not visible from the road because of dense brush.
Lower Drum	912, 914, 915	3	5/3/2017	Paved, encumbered by a forebay, canal, and associated facilities.
Lower Drum	913	3	5/3/2017	Open grassland, though the eastern section contains encroachment by adjacent private homes, backyard fences.
Bear River	852	0.06	9/20/2017	Small and isolated, encumbered by reflective tower.
Auberry	Port. 1061	12.7	1/25/17 amended 9/20/17	Paved and entirely encumbered by the Auberry service yard.
Bear River	867, 868	2	9/20/2017	Paved and entirely encumbered by PG&E service center.
Bass Lake	1039	0.06	9/20/2017	Small, paved parcel, includes neighbor's front yard, driveway, and landscaping.
Oroville	679	6	1/24/2018	Extremely Thin, inaccessible strip in dense shrub. Infeasible to monitor, surrounded by over 20 land owners. Not visible or accessible from public road.
Hat Creek	193	9	1/24/2018	Encumbered by two PG&E-owned 230 kV electric transmission lines. Impact/encroachment by adjacent landowners.
Fall River Mills	133	2	1/24/2018	While it is located on the fall River, it is an Inaccessible strip, surrounded by private ownership, legally described as 100 ft buffer between private land and the Fall River. A use agreement with the adjacent landowner exists for access through parcel to water livestock. Not visible or accessible from public road.
North Fork Feather River	667	1	1/24/2018	Small and partially encumbered by microwave tower.
North Fork Feather River	668	2	1/24/2018	Partially paved, encumbered by a dirt access road, a helipad, and a microwave station that is served by a 12 kilovolt (kV) electric distribution line that ends on the parcel. Not visible or accessible from public road.
North Fork Mokelumne River	991	1.4	5/2/2018	Mostly paved, located in Pine Acres subdivision, entirely encumbered by backyards of multiple landowners, fences, driveways, landscaping. Significant impacts from subdivision and no access. Not visible or accessible from public road.
Bear River	Portion of 840	7.3	12/9/2020	Highway 20 corridor, high speed roadway with Caltrans right of way. On steep cross slope with cuts and fills.
Total:	34	107.3		

EXHIBIT 9: ENDOWMENT GRANTS

Endowment Grants

Grantee	Reference Number	Title	Grant Type	Grant Award	Paid to Date	Grant Date
Bear Yuba Land Trust	BYLT:Narrows-002	BYLT Narrows (UC) CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$59,300.00	$59,300.00	11/1/2014
Bear Yuba Land Trust	BYLT:LS-008	BYLT Lake Spaulding UC/Grouse Ridge CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$159,600.00	$159,600.00	11/18/2014
Bear Yuba Land Trust	BYLT:Narrows-003	BYLT Narrows Retained CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$44,382.00	$44,382.00	7/21/2015
Bear Yuba Land Trust	BYLT:FL-008	BYLT Fordyce (Sterling) CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$138,800.00	$138,800.00	7/22/2016
Bear Yuba Land Trust	BYLT:FL-009	BYLT Fordyce (Meadow) CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$70,800.00	$70,800.00	7/22/2016
Bear Yuba Land Trust	BYLT:LS-016	BYLT Lake Spaulding (Rucker) CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$224,800.00	$224,800.00	2/16/2017
Bear Yuba Land Trust	BYLT:LS-015	BYLT Lake Spaulding (Lindsey) CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$201,600.00	$201,600.00	3/3/2017
Bear Yuba Land Trust	BYLT: FL-010	Fordyce Lake (White Rock) CE Funding Agreement; extended to 6/30/2020	Endowment	$63,208.00	$63,208.00	12/3/2018
Bear Yuba Land Trust	BYLT: BR-005	BYLT, CE funding agreement for Bear River planning unit to be donated to Cal Fire.	Endowment	$144,500.00	$144,500.00	6/3/2022
Ducks Unlimited	DU: MS-006	DU McArthur Swamp (Donated) CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$169,600.00	$169,600.00	8/26/2014
Ducks Unlimited	DU: MS-005	DU McArthur Swamp (Retained) CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$184,900.00	$184,900.00	9/30/2014
Ducks Unlimited	DU:FRV-003	DU FRV CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$136,700.00	$136,700.00	8/13/2021
Feather River Land Trust	FRLT:MM-001	FRLT Mountain Meadows CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$308,200.00	$308,200.00	4/3/2017
Feather River Land Trust	FRLT:HV-004	FRLT Humbug CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$270,000.00	$270,000.00	8/12/2019
Feather River Land Trust	FRLT: LAMF-001	Lake Almanor-Maidu Forest CE Endowment Funding Agreement	Endowment	$126,700.00	$126,700.00	11/8/2019
Feather River Land Trust	FRLT: LAMT-001	FRLT Lake Almanor Maidu Trail CE Endowment Funding Agreement	Endowment	$70,100.00	$70,100.00	11/8/2019
Feather River Land Trust	FRLT: LAMW-001	FRLT CE Endowment agreement for Lake Almanor (Maidu Wetlands) to be donated to the Maidu Consortium	Endowment	$138,200.00	$138,200.00	4/6/2020
Feather River Land Trust	FRLT: BV-001	Butt Valley Reservoir CE Funding Agreement (retained)	Endowment	$206,700.00	$206,700.00	8/3/2020
Feather River Land Trust	FRLT: BL-001	Feather River LT CE funding agreement - Bucks Lake retained	Endowment	$299,300.00	$299,300.00	11/12/2021
Feather River Land Trust	FRLT: LAMC-001	FRLT CE funding agreement for Lake Almanor Maidu Cemetery planning unit	Endowment	$88,200.00	$88,200.00	3/31/2022
Mendocino Land Trust	MLT:ER-011	MLT Eel River PVT2 CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$102,200.00	$102,200.00	5/24/2018
Mendocino Land Trust	MLT:ER-009	MLT Eel River PVT CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$129,300.00	$129,300.00	5/24/2018
Mendocino Land Trust	MLT:ER-014	MLT Eel River Retained CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$527,616.00	$527,616.00	5/16/2022
Mother Lode Land Trust	MLLT: KM-001.B	MLLT Kennedy Meadows CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$182,900.00	$182,900.00	11/18/2013
Mother Lode Land Trust	MLLT:LBA-004	MLLT Lower Bear CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$128,800.00	$128,800.00	7/1/2014
Mother Lode Land Trust	MLLT:MFSR-003	MLLT MFSR CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$87,200.00	$87,200.00	7/1/2015
Mother Lode Land Trust	MLLT:BL-004	MLLT Blue Lakes CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$122,800.00	$122,800.00	2/27/2017
Mother Lode Land Trust	MLLT:CB-004	MLLT Chili Bar CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$56,800.00	$56,800.00	2/27/2017
Mother Lode Land Trust	MLLT: LR-001	Lyons Reservoir CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$140,300.00	$140,300.00	1/7/2019
Mother Lode Land Trust	MLLT:NFMR-005	MLLT NFMR (CalFire) CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$176,300.00	$176,300.00	8/31/2019
Mother Lode Land Trust	MLLT: Bass-002	MLLT Bass Lake CE funding agreement	Endowment	$293,161.00	$293,161.00	3/15/2022
Mother Lode Land Trust	MLLT:NFMR-006	MLLT NFMR retained CE Funding Agreement per LCCP agreement	Endowment	$280,214.00	$280,214.00	6/15/2022
Northern California Regional Land Trust	NCRLT: O-002	Oroville Planning Unit CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$437,374.00	$437,374.00	6/4/2018

Northern California Regional Land Trust	NCRLT: Battle-002	CE Endowment Funding agreement for Battle Creek (retained) planning unit	Endowment	$530,746.00	$530,746.00	5/17/2019
Northern California Regional Land Trust	NCRLT: PR-003	NCRLT Philbrook Reservoir (retained) CE Endowment Funding Agreement	Endowment	$221,950.00	$221,950.00	3/27/2020
Northern California Regional Land Trust	NCRLT: BC-003	NCRLT Butte Creek PG&E retained lands CE Endowment Funding Agreement	Endowment	$456,430.00	$456,430.00	3/27/2020
Northern California Regional Land Trust	NCRLT: NFFR-003	NCRLT NFFR-003 (retained) CE Endowment agreement - amount includes legal defense fund of $20K	Endowment	$611,441.00	$611,441.00	11/18/2020
Placer Land Trust	PLT:LD-009	PLT Lower Drum CV CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$86,000.00	$86,000.00	5/16/2016
Placer Land Trust	PLT:LD-011	PLT Lower Drum (Rock Creek) CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$190,200.00	$190,200.00	2/16/2017
Placer Land Trust	PLT:LD-013	PLT Lower Drum Halsey CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$208,600.00	$208,600.00	2/16/2017
Placer Land Trust	PLT:LD-010	PLT Lower Drum Old Airport CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$107,100.00	$107,100.00	2/16/2017
Placer Land Trust	PLT:LD-006	PLT Lower Drum (Upper PC) CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$90,000.00	$90,000.00	2/16/2017
Placer Land Trust	PLT:FL-002	PLT Fordyce (Kidd) CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$223,000.00	$223,000.00	2/27/2017
Placer Land Trust	PLT:LD-012	PLT Lower Drum (Pinecroft) CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$90,100.00	$90,100.00	2/28/2017
Placer Land Trust	PLT: LD-014	CE Funding agreement LD Wise Forebay	Endowment	$94,851.00	$94,851.00	7/19/2018
Placer Land Trust	PLT: LD-015	Lower Drum Bell Road CE endowment funding agreement	Endowment	$181,100.00	$181,100.00	10/16/2019
Placer Land Trust	PLT: LS-002	PLT CE endowment funding agreement for Lake Spaulding, Lake Valley Cal Fire donated.	Endowment	$167,600.00	$167,600.00	8/30/2022
Sequoia Riverlands Trust	SRT:DS-001	SRT Doyle Springs CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$112,500.00	$112,500.00	12/2/2014
Sequoia Riverlands Trust	SRT:KR-003	SRT Kern River CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$90,700.00	$90,700.00	5/18/2015
Sequoia Riverlands Trust	SRT: KR-005	Kings River CE endowment agreement	Endowment	$79,826.00	$79,826.00	8/12/2019
Shasta Land Trust	SLT:CC-001	SLT Cow Creek CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$97,200.00	$97,200.00	6/2/2017
Shasta Land Trust	SLT:FRM-001	SLT FRM (CSD) CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$96,300.00	$96,300.00	6/2/2017
Shasta Land Trust	SLT:FRM-002	SLT FRM (RCD) CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$115,000.00	$115,000.00	7/18/2017
Shasta Land Trust	SLT: FRM-003	Fall River Mills Rifle and Pistol Club (donated)	Endowment	$133,708.00	$133,708.00	12/18/2020
Shasta Land Trust	SLT: HC-003	Hat Creek #1 CE = $130,300 + $10,000 for Legal defense = $140,300.	Endowment	$140,300.00	$140,300.00	9/15/2021
Shasta Land Trust	SLT: LB-003	SLT CE funding agreement for the Lake Britton planning unit, donated to CA Department of Parks and Recreation	Endowment	$99,500.00	$99,500.00	12/9/2021
Shasta Land Trust	SLT: FRM-004	SLT CE funding agreement for Fall River Mills planning unit donated to PRT	Endowment	$156,000.00	$156,000.00	4/28/2022
Shasta Land Trust	SLT: CC-002	SLT CE funding agreement for Cow Creek donated to Cal Fire	Endowment	$181,300.00	$181,300.00	6/13/2022
Shasta Land Trust	SLT: PR-001	SLT Pit River (donated UC) CE Funding agreement	Endowment	$213,900.00	$213,900.00	7/8/2022
Shasta Land Trust	SLT: HCLB-001	SLT Lake Britton/Hat Creek phase 2 CE funding agreement	Endowment	$164,008.00	$164,008.00	7/22/2022
Sierra Foothill Conservancy	SFC:KL-002	SFC Kerckhoff CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$101,400.00	$101,400.00	10/13/2016
Sierra Foothill Conservancy	SFC:MR-004	SFC Merced River CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$88,500.00	$88,500.00	12/13/2016
Sierra Foothill Conservancy	SFC-WR-003	SFC Wishon CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$109,000.00	$109,000.00	8/21/2017
Sierra Foothill Conservancy	SFC:WC-002	SFC Willow Cr CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$72,000.00	$72,000.00	9/19/2017
Sierra Foothill Conservancy	SFC: ASC-001	Auberry Service Center CE Endowment funding agreement	Endowment	$56,755.00	$56,755.00	10/16/2019
Sierra Foothill Conservancy	SFC: ML-003	SFC CE Endowment Agreement	Endowment	$128,765.00	$128,765.00	11/16/2019
Sierra Foothill Conservancy	SFC: ML-002	Manzanita Lake Planning Unit PG&E Retained Lands CE Endowment Funding agreement	Endowment	$97,124.00	$97,124.00	3/27/2020
Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Deer Creek-USFS	Conservation Covenant	Endowment	$40,800	$40,800	10/9/2014

Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lower Bear-Cole Creek USFS	Conservation Covenant	Endowment	$32,800	$32,800	12/10/2015
Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Wishon Reservoir USFS	Conservation Covenant	Endowment	$58,800	$58,800	7/12/2016
Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Blue Lakes USFS	Conservation Covenant	Endowment	$47,800	$47,800	9/28/2017
Sierra Nevada Conservancy	North Fork Mokelumne USFS	Conservation Covenant	Endowment	$22,800	$22,800	12/21/2016
Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lyons Reservoir USFS	Conservation Covenant	Endowment	$42,800	$42,800	12/14/2018
Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Fordyce White Rock USFS	Conservation Covenant	Endowment	$45,800	$45,800	3/6/2018
Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Eel River USFS	Conservation Covenant	Endowment	$49,800	$49,800	10/1/2021
Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lake Britton USFS	Conservation Covenant	Endowment	$68,800	$68,800	4/16/2021
Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Battle Creek USFS	Conservation Covenant	Endowment	$61,800	$61,800	4/2/2020
Western Shasta Resource Conservation District	WSRCD:IC-003	WSRCD Iron Canyon CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$80,900.00	$80,900.00	3/14/2016
Western Shasta Resource Conservation District	WSRCD:KR-003	WSRCD Kilarc CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$95,000.00	$95,000.00	3/8/2017
Western Shasta Resource Conservation District	WSRCD:LM-003	WSRCD Lake McCloud CE Funding Agmt	Endowment	$98,600.00	$98,600.00	6/26/2018
Wildlife Heritage Foundation	WHF: LS-002	Wildlife Heritage Foundation CE Funding Agreement for Lake Spaulding Sky Mountain	Endowment	$275,016.00	$275,016.00	12/11/2020
Wildlife Heritage Foundation	WHF: LDFP-0021	Wildlife Heritage Foundation - CE funding agreement for Lower Drum Folsom planning unit.	Endowment	$111,787.00	$111,787.00	11/5/2021
Shasta Land Trust		Pit River and Tunnel CALFIRE Donation CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$459,300.00	$459,300.00	2/25/2023
Shasta Land Trust		Hat Creek PG&E Retained CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$195,700.00	$195,700.00	5/8/2023
Northern California Regional Land Trust		Oroville PG&E Retained CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$437,374.00	$437,374.00	5/3/2023
Western Shasta Resource Conservation District		Battle Creek PG&E Retained CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$200,000.00	$200,000.00	8/7/2023
Bear Yuba Land Trust		Deer Creek-Nevada NID Asset Sale CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$198,724.00	$198,724.00	10/27/2023
Placer Land Trust		Lake Spaulding Lake Valley Preserve PG&E Retained CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$139,200.00	$139,200.00	8/1/2023
Shasta Land Trust		Lake Britton PG&E Retained CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$314,400.00	$314,400.00	10/18/2023
Placer Land Trust		Lower Drum- Wise Forebay, PG&E Retained CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$94,851.00	$94,851.00	5/19/2023
Shasta Land Trust		Fall River Mills, PG&E Retained CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$259,300.00	$259,300.00	7/19/2023
Bear Yuba Land Trust		Bear River PG&E Retained CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$200,000.00	$200,000.00	5/26/2023
Placer Land Trust		Bear River PG&E Retained CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$183,500.00	$183,500.00	6/20/2023
Shasta Land Trust		Tunnel PG&E Retained CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$123,900.00	$123,900.00	9/28/2023
Shasta Land Trust		Pit River PG&E Retained CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$254,600.00	$254,600.00	11/3/2023
Feather River Land Trust		Lake Almanor PG&E Retained CE Funding Agreement	Endowment	$1,207,600.00	$1,207,600.00	11/13/2023
				$16,765,211.00	$16,765,211.00	

EXHIBIT 10: ENHANCEMENT GRANTS

Project Grants					
Auburn Area Recreation and Park District	Lower Drum	6/23/2015	Development of concept plan for initial planning and design of future	$24,520	Completed
Auburn Area Recreation and Park District	Lower Drum	11/30/2012	Topographic and biological surveys	$16,200	Completed
Auburn Area Recreation and Park District	Lower Drum	7/15/2014	Study to identify potential enhancement opportunities	$14,100	Completed
Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding	7/16/2018	Pines to Mines Trail Planning and Feasibility	$25,000	Completed
CAL Trout	Hat Creek	8/6/2015	Habitat restoration, cultural resource protection, recreational improvement,and	$1,389,533	Completed
Fall River Valley CSD	Fall River Valley	6/27/2018	Fall River Lake Trail Improvement and Ecocultural Enhancement	$299,230	Assigned to SNC
Fall River Resource Conservation District	McArthur Swamp	4/14/2014	Enhancement development and assessment	$14,000	Completed
Fall River Resource Conservation District	McArthur Swamp	1/1/2018	Range Manager Position	$149,500	Assigned to SNC
Fall River Valley CSD	Fall River Mills	3/1/2018	Fall River Mills Community Center Park Enhancement	$56,112	Assigned to SNC
Maidu Summit Consortium	Humbug Valley	10/13/2011	Enhancement proposal development	$5,000	Completed
Maidu Summit Consortium	Humbug Valley	5/7/2012	Installation of fencing to protect cultural resources and preliminary design of	$45,200	Completed
Maidu Summit Consortium	Humbug Valley	6/30/2015	Ethnographic survey	$23,670	Completed
Maidu Summit Consortium	Humbug Valley	12/2/2015	Land management plan	$350,000	Completed
Mountain Meadows Conservancy	Mountain Meadows	6/27/2018	Indian Ole Dam Public Access Improvements	$130,000	Assigned to SNC
Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum	10/15/2013	Land management plan	$5,750	Completed
Plumas County	Bucks Lake	4/10/2013	Feasibility study for potential new trail	$8,659	Completed
San Joaquin County Office of Education	Lake Spaulding	3/29/2016	Assessment of Sierra Camp	$40,000	Completed
San Joaquin County Office of Education	Lake Spaulding	6/26/2018	Environmental science education and recreational programs	$5,000,000	Completed
Sierra Camp	Lake Spaulding	11/10/2015	Appraisal of Sierra Camp	$2,500	Completed
Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Merced River	10/21/2015	Merced River Riparian Enhancement feasibility study	$10,000	Completed
Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Merced River	6/2/2016	Merced River Riparian Enhancement	$130,000	Assigned to SNC
Spring Rivers Foundation	Hat Creek	10/21/2015	Habitat restoration, youth involvement	$673,795	Completed
Tuolumne County	Kennedy Meadows	11/13/2013	Employee Housing Impacts Study, Manure Management Study	$75,500	Completed
University of California	Lake Spaulding	5/21/2015	Future enhancement and restoration project field research	$49,800	Completed
Far Western Anth Research Group	Burney Gardens	8/1/2019	Cultural survey	$50,000	Completed
Madera County	Manzanita	12/3/2019	North Fork Regional Trail Enhancement Project	$502,882	Assigned to SNC
Sierra Buttes Trail Stewardship	Bucks Lake	5/20/2021	Six miles of multi use trail at Bucks Lake	$253,000	Assigned to SNC
Spring Rivers Foundation	Fall River Mills	5/5/2022	Vegetation management, planning, education station	$52,000	Assigned to SNC
Student Conservation Association	Eel River	5/6/2022	Restoration of Benmore Trail	$100,000	Assigned to SNC
Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding	10/28/2022	Pines to Mines Trail Implementation	$275,000	Assigned to SNC
CAL Trout	Hat Creek	1/18/2023	Hat Creek Riparian Restoration	$200,000	Assigned to SNC
Fall River Resource Conservation District	McArthur Swamp	3/27/2018	Board approval of allocation of funds to be completed in phases	$1,017,750	Assigned to SNC
Fall River Resource Conservation District	McArthur Swamp	11/29/2021	Water delivery system, fencing	$592,750	Assigned to SNC
Fall River Valley CSD	Fall River Mills	1/5/2023	Fall River Mills Community Center Park Enhancement	$550,000	Assigned to SNC
			Subtotal	**$12,131,451**	
Capacity Building Grants	**Planning Unit**	**Grant Date**	**Description**		
Maidu Summit Consortium	Humbug Valley	7/1/2014	Phase 1: Organizational development	$127,520	Completed
Maidu Summit Consortium	Humbug Valley	11/13/2015	Phase 2: Organizational development	$350,293	Completed
Maidu Summit Consortium	Humbug Valley	3/10/2017	Phase 3: Organizational development	$268,695	Completed
Mother Lode Land Trust	Multiple	7/20/2015	Capacity building for multiple planning units	$50,000	Completed
Mother Lode Land Trust	Multiple	4/27/2016	Capacity building for organizational mgmt.	$25,000	Completed
Mother Lode Land Trust	Multiple	8/18/2016	Capacity building Organization Mgmt. (Final)	$200,000	Completed
			Subtotal	**$1,021,508**	
Organization	**Planning Unit**	**Grant Date**	**Description**		
Spring Rivers Foundation	Hat Creek	TBD	Infrastructure and security improvements	$50,000	Assigned to SNC to make award
CalTrout	Hat Creek	TBD	Increase riparian plantings and weed management along 1.5 miles of Hat Creek	$100,000	Assigned to SNC to make award
Fall River Valley CSD	Fall River Valley	TBD	Two Rivers Park landscaping, parking area, utility task vehicle, and access control	$100,000	Assigned to SNC to make award
SJCOE	Lake Spaulding	TBD	Educational improvements	$101,000	Assigned to SNC to make award
Sierra Buttes Trail Stewardship	Multiple	TBD	Additional permitting costs and funding for Bucks Lake Trail system bridges	$174,000	Assigned to SNC to make award
Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding	TBD	Additional funding for bridge and trail connection Pines to Mines Trail	$106,000	Assigned to SNC to make award
SJCOE	Lake Spaulding	TBD	Facility improvements – gas safety, kitchen upgrades, lights, heaters	$369,000	Assigned to SNC to make award
TBD Additional Projects			Awards to be made to grantees by SNC	$1,229,967	Approximate remaining funding to SNC
			Subtotal	**$2,229,967**	
			Grand Total	**$15,382,926**	

EXHIBIT 11: YOUTH INVESTMENT PROGRAM GRANTS

2006 Grant Funds Awards

2006 Midsize Fund Awards

Aim High $33,000 grant to provide urban middle school-age youth with hands-on experiences that foster environmental awareness, personal growth and interpersonal understanding and to facilitate their transition to high school.

Ambrose Recreation and Park District $45,000 grant to improve and maintain the Olympic size pool which provides recreation to the residents of the Bay Point community.

Central California Consortium $40,000 grant to implement a leadership, environmental restoration and educational program for underserved youth within Fresno County.

Community Youth Center $42,500 grant to provide out-of-school sports opportunities for immigrant youth with the goal of providing leadership training and motivating them to become future leaders of tomorrow.

Environmental Traveling Companion $40,000 grant to help disadvantaged and disabled teens develop skills in outdoor leadership, teamwork, interpersonal communication, job readiness and conservation education.

Feather River Land Trust $25,000 grant to preserve natural areas in close proximity to public middle and high schools within the Feather River Watershed for environmental education purposes.

Fresh Lifelines for Youth $45,000 grant to the organization's Leadership Program which empowers youth in the juvenile justice system to become socially responsible citizens.

Fresno Parks Department - After school Enrichment Program $45,000 grant to buy a mobile recreation vehicle that will bring staff and programs to underused parks.

Literacy for Environmental Justice (LEJ) $45,000 grant to develop an environmental education and community center at Heron's Head Park in Bayview Hunters Point.

Native American Health Center $42,500 grant to initiate a culturally relevant outdoor wilderness program for urban youth.

North County Recreation and Park District $50,000 grant to work with youth on creating more than three miles of nature trails with fitness and interpretive stations within the Moro Cojo Slough open space in North Monterey County.

Project Avary $45,000 grant to implement a year-round environmental stewardship program for children with incarcerated parents.

Stanislaus Community Foundation $37,000 grant to construct a basketball court at George A. Rogers Neighborhood Park.

Trust for Public Land $50,000 grant to help build and maintain Bertha Port Park which offers recreation opportunities to residents in West Oakland.

Unity Council $45,000 grant to improve unsafe school playgrounds in the Fruitvale community.

2006 Partnership Fund Awards

Adopt-A-Watershed $62,000 to provide environmental education and place-based training to educators and leaders from outdoor education programs.

Adventure, Risk, Challenge ***Adventure, Risk & Challenge program*** $65,000 to expand the Adventure Risk & Challenge program which provides leadership and literacy training through outdoor experiences for English language learners.

Bear Mountain Recreation & Park District $100,000 to build a new community pool in Lamont.

California State Parks Foundation &Pacific Leadership Institute $133,000 to expand its FamCamps and Youth Leadership Institutes into the communities of the East Bay and Stockton.

Daly City Parks & Recreation &Jefferson Unified High School District $150,000 to purchase alternatively fueled 8-passenger vans to transport youth to school programs and help youth access outdoor experiences.

East Bay Asian Local Development - Lions Creek Crossing $175,000 to plant vegetation and install lighting in East Oakland's Lion Creek Crossings Park.

Hanford Elementary School District Education Foundation $100,000 to create middle school curriculum and staff training programs for the proposed Burris Park Outdoor School.

Pajaro Valley Unified School District $100,000 to create the Pajaro Valley High School's environmental education initiative, ECO-Teach, and develop supporting partnerships for the program.

San Francisco Parks Trust $100,000 to help create parks and open space out of undeveloped land in San Francisco neighborhoods.

2006 Small Fund Awards

Big Chico Creek Watershed Alliance $18,400 towards the Big Chico Creek Youth Stream Team which will help underserved youth develop an understanding of watershed ecology.

Boys and Girls Clubs of Greater Sacramento (Sacramento) $10,000 towards the Health & Heritage Garden which will engage youth in gardening and science activities that are integrally connected to the diverse cultural backgrounds of their families and community.

California Waterfowl Association (Sacramento) $17,000 towards the Youth Leadership in Environmental Education program that brings high school and elementary students of diverse ethnic and economic backgrounds together to discover their roles as wetland stewards.

Calvine High School (Sacramento) $1,500 towards the Strawberry Creek Environmental Classroom where students engage in service learning at a local creek and apply their knowledge from field activities into cross curricular lessons in math, science, English, civics and economics.

Carquinez Regional Environmental Education Center (CREEC) $17,000 - To support the Open Space Hike and Learning Project which will involve local youth in exploring, discovering, exercising, and learning in the open spaces surrounding their urban communities.

Cottage Housing Inc./SKYLAB YOuth Productions Studio (Sacramento) $16,000 towards Project Full Circle which offers an environmental education program designed to help youth gain a better understanding of themselves, their environment and their impact on the environment.

Downtown High School's Get Out & Learn $17,000 - To continue the wilderness expedition program, a cornerstone experience that teaches responsibility, perseverance and natural consequences to students.

East Bay Center for the Performing Arts (Richmond) $15,000 towards the Advancement Diploma Program's Urban Environment Project which conducts a hands-on exploration for youth, grades seven to eleven, of the city's urban environment and the concomitant health issues facing its population.

Golden Gate Audubon Society (Berkeley) $6,000 towards the Eco-Oakland Program which is an environmental education program that introduces underserved East Oakland youth and their families to watershed protection, connects them with their local environment and engages them in restoring local habitats.

Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy $15,000 - To support LINC (Linking Individuals with the Natural Community), an in-depth six-week natural resource-based summer job program for high school students.

Healing Waters $10,000 - To provide an opportunity for underserved HIV+ youth to discover and explore the outdoors.

Homeless Garden Project (Santa Cruz) $19,000 towards Cultivating Community which provides middle and high school aged youth with opportunities to improve their knowledge of ecology, nutrition and civics through working on a community-oriented organic farm.

Mendocino Latinos para la Comunidad (Ukiah) $17,000 towards Proyecto Afueras which is an outdoor education program for low income Latino youth in Mendocino County.

Mission High School $15,000 - To help students become stewards for the environment by developing a hands-on appreciation and an understanding of nature.

Muir Heritage Land Trust (Martinez) $18,500 towards the partnership of the Alhambra Creek Restoration and Environmental Educational Collaborative and the Environmental Studies Academy for the purposes of providing at-risk youth in Martinez with access to service learning field trips, restoration projects and adventure and wilderness education opportunities.

North Fork Mono Rancheria $18,000 - To host a Culture Camp for Mono Youth, an outdoor education experience on Mono Aboriginal Lands led by elders and other cultural experts to educate youth on tribal traditions and customs.

Oasis High School $15,500 - To support outdoor-based leadership development, conservation, outdoor recreation and ecological discovery for 150 inner-city Oakland youth.

Pala Middle School (San Jose) $12,500 towards the Wilderness Club which conducts excursions for youth to explore wilderness areas, conduct project-based activities and engage in service-learning projects.

Seven Tepees $18,000 - To support youth volunteering in urban parks doing environmental and beautification projects, while participating in an 8-week academic summer boot camp.

The Friendship Club $5,000 - To fund a project assistant for its program that teaches disadvantaged adolescent girls in Nevada City important life skills, and empowers them to complete their educations and live responsible lives.

Girls Club of the Mid-Peninsula $7,500 – To support the In Contact With Nature Program which will bring after-school and summer enrichment programs to underserved San Mateo County.

Girl Scouts of Monterey Bay $16,000 - To support The Artemis Leadership Training Adventure (ALTA), a year-long leadership development program.

The Hayes Valley Neighborhood PARKS Group $15,000 - To support teens and young adults to become environmental educators and stewards for the Koshland Park Community Learning Garden Program (KPCLG).

The Kern County Probation Department $13,000 – To support the Camp Owen Project, a residential institution for the rehabilitation of delinquent boys, a program that emphasizes work, discipline and outdoor education.

Urban Sprouts $10,000 - To help provide ongoing outdoor learning experiences in the school garden for over 250 youth at three urban middle and high schools.

USDA Forest Service (Quincy) $18,500 towards the Mesa Project which works in tandem with the Plumas National Forest to engage youth with the outdoors.

West County Community Services (Guerneville) $18,600 towards Voices of the River which is an environmental education program that focuses on building positive relationships with the outdoors, watershed education, and environmental advocacy.

Youth Corps - San Jose Conservation Corps $17,000 - To help fund outdoor service-learning projects that take place during the critical after-school hours.

Youth Employment Partnership (Oakland) $19,000 towards Team Oakland Restoration and Environmental Education which is an environmental clean-up and restoration project that provides at-risk youth with job training and education.

Youth Enrichment Strategies (Berkeley) $18,000 towards Richmond Family Camps which conducts a program that builds family support for camps, along with camp scholarships, for low income urban youth.

YWCA Monterey County $16,000 - To support the Pathways to Discovery Program, an outdoor educational experience for young people who actively utilize their counseling services, or are in the YWCA Safe House, Teen Support Groups, and Mentoring Program.

2007 Grant Funds Awards

2007 Catalyst Fund Awards

Access Adventure/Solano Land Trust $7,000 towards expanding the reach of open space and wilderness access programs, along with facilitating collaborative connections mainstreaming disabled and disadvantaged youth with mainstream and able-bodies youth to provide historical and environmental education, cultural connections and recreational opportunities in nature.

Contra Costa County Opportunity West $10,000 towards The Gompers Greenway Brigade, which is designed to involve high school students with the new Greenway being developed through a partnership between the City of Richmond and The Friends of the Richmond Greenway (FORG), an all-volunteer effort to increase use of, care for and creativity in developing gardens, murals and other projects which enhance the basic trail and entice youth to exercise and enjoy physical activity on it.

Daughters of Tradition (DOT) $5,000 towards The Dot program, which brings traditional and cultural teachings of Native elders through developed curriculum to the poor and underserved youth in Kern County. The curriculum utilizes mind-mapping and the talking circle.

Oakland Food Connection $10,000 towards the continuation and expansion of operating a successful garden and cooking program in a predominantly low-income and racially diverse public high school. Funds will be used to hire several Unity High School students to participate more fully in food cultivation and preparation as well as distribution at a local farmers market. OFC helps students to draw connections between environmental stewardship, personal health, outdoor education, leadership development and environmental and social justice.

Pie Ranch ***Program in Urban-Rural Education (PURE Pie)*** $10,000 towards strengthening "Pure Pie", an integrated sustainable food system program, by partnering with another San Francisco Mission District school, Metro Arts and Technology High School.

Project Ole $5,000 towards the engagement of the teenage students at San Francisco Community School in outdoor, environmental activities that are tied into the school's project-based curriculum utilizing the existing Outdoor Learning Environment as an outdoor classroom and laboratory.

Sunrise Special Services Foundation $5,000 towards "Pathways", which will introduce the beauty and traditional resources of Lake County to youths who have lived here almost all their lives. A new awareness of the everyday mountains, watersheds, plants, lake species will promote the "fun" of the outdoors.

Sustaining Ourselves Locally: Growing Community (SOL) $10,000 towards capacity building for a highly successful, 4-year old, volunteer-based urban agriculture education project in East Oakland to expand the meaningful experiences and internship opportunities that we provide for the youth of our low-income neighborhood.

Tides Center/BEETs Rangers ***Hays Valley Neighborhood Parks Group*** $10,000 towards the Brigade of Environmentally Educated Teen Rangers (BEET Rangers), a new environmental leadership program targeting undeserved youth in the Western Addition, offering a stipended opportunity for 15 ethnically diverse 13- to 15-year-olds to become stewards of local parks and community leaders who educate and inspire hundreds of other youth and adults. Fostering appreciation and ownership of the urban outdoors through training in gardening and park maintenance, the BEET Rangers project increases the involvement of neighborhood youth in the limited green space available in their inner-city locale.

Wilderness Arts & Literacy Collaborative $10,000 towards core operating support to enable WALC to provide quality place-based environmental education programs to underserved youth of color. Outdoor field experiences are an inseparable part of students' educational experience.

2007 Collaboration Fund Awards

California State Parks Foundation $125,000 towards the Outdoor Youth Connection (OYC), which trains local youth to be peer leaders on camping trips for their communities that are participating in the FamCAmp programs of CA State Parks.

Community Alliance for Family Farmers $75,000 to engage youth with their environment by connecting them with local farms and farmers modeling good land stewardship and sustainable practices. Building on a successful program for younger students, CAFF will partner with a variety of youth-serving organizations to offer hands-on food and farming education that emphasizes farming conservation practices, healthy farm-fresh foods and agricultural job opportunities.

Contra Costa County Opportunity West *Opportunity West* $95,000 towards The Yellow Brick Road project, which is a concept that calls for youth developing pathways to the Nevin Community Park that are safe and aims to engage the community in re-use patterns for the Nevin Street Park and Community Center.

Sacramento County Department of Health and Human Services $100,000 towards YouthWORKS (YW), a project that will take 200 youth to urban parks and wilderness areas and move them from participation in outdoor recreation to part-time jobs in recreation and eventually toward admission to Sacramento State's recreation degree program.

San Francisco Parks Trust $70,000 towards Street Parks, a land stewardship program devoted to connecting youth and community groups with the outdoors, galvanizing citizens to renovate neglected city streets into parks, open spaces, and community gardens.

Sutter County Superintendent of Schools Office *Woodleaf Outdoor School* $60,000 towards the Expanding Horizons for Disadvantaged Youth program, which will provide leadership opportunities, career experience, and ongoing outdoor opportunities for disenfranchised youth in Yuba and Sutter Counties. The program will utilize the outdoors and environmental/stewardship education as a means for providing these youth with life experience, knowledge, and career and education opportunities designed to expand their existing options and motivate them toward positive life choices.

2007 Impact Fund Awards

Aim High *Headlands Environmental Home Program* $35,000 to support the Headlands Environmental Home Program (HEHP), a partnership between Aim High and the GGNRA, which provides urban middle school-age youth with hands-on experiences that foster environmental awareness, personal growth, interpersonal understanding, and ultimately a stewardship ethic to carry into all areas of life.

Community Educational Services *Chinatown Outdoor Leadership Project* $25,000 towards The Chinatown Outdoor Leadership Project, which gives youth the tools and opportunities to become leaders by engaging them in challenging outdoor activities.

Downtown High School's Get Out & Learn $35,000 toward the Get Out & Learn project, which is an alternative, experiential high school program that uses hands-on learning and the outdoors to re-engage SF youth in the education process.

East Oakland Boxing Association ***Smartmoves Stewards*** $35,000 towards The Smartmoves Stewards project, which aims to engage urban youth in the natural world that surrounds them, even in their urban neighborhood.

Fresh Lifelines for Youth ***Leadership Program - Outdoor Stewardship*** $40,000 towards empowering youth in the juvenile justice system to become socially responsible citizens who are stewards of the environment. This Program utilizes a rounded approach to youth development by providing underserved youth with yearlong mentors who utilize ongoing outdoor experiences and environmental service learning to promote healthy decision making and positive youth leadership.

InnVision ***Family Services Youth Development Program*** $10,000 towards The Family Services Youth Development Program, which is designed to positively impact the lives of youth. Homeless parents and youth who are in crisis come to the Family Services program with nothing and now are trying to put together their lives. The support of caring staff can show youth the path to experiencing the outdoors, not only to gain appreciation of nature, but most importantly it is the natural outdoors that nourishes their well-being and creates self-esteem.

Literacy for Environmental Justice (LEJ) ***Environmental Education at Heron's Head Park, San Francisco*** $40,000 towards sustaining support for the youth programs at Heron's Head Park, comprising two major initiatives: (1) free K-12 environmental education, helping students from the community bridge the gap between the urban and natural environments, and (2) the Community Geographers program, training local youth to serve as interpreters/naturalists at LEJ's environmental education center, the Living Classroom.

Mendocino County Youth Project ***ESI: Mendo (Environmental Site Investigators: Mendocino)*** $10,000 towards conquering a trail through an ancient redwood forest, llama trekking to a waterfall picnic, exploring rivers and watching salmon spawn, seeing the ocean for the first time, exploring tide pools and walking the beaches, watching elk graze along the shore of Lake Pillsbury, geo caching in the state park, frisbee golfing in the rain at Lake Mendocino then eating more pizza then you thought possible.

Native American Health Center ***Chae-mal Wilderness Program*** $35,000 towards The Chae-Mal Wilderness Program, which will provide education and activities like kayaking, mountain biking, hiking and camping for urban Native American and other youth in the community that will nurture an appreciation of the outdoors and respect for its enjoyment and protection.

Project Avary ***Environmental Educational and Leadership Project*** $10,000 towards helping children of incarcerated parents develop the knowledge, skills, and self-confidence to enjoy and experience nature. The experience will have a positive influence on their experience in

school, community and family and, ultimately, will prevent their involvement in the criminal justice system.

Regents of University of California, Berkeley c/o Sponsored Projects Office ***ARC UC Field Station Collaboration: Development and Capacity Building*** $40,000 towards The ARC collaboration between UC Field Stations, the NRS, University Departments, School Districts, Forest Service & Park Service, and local non-profit organizations serving and impacting California students. Other website: http://sagehen.ucnrs.org/arc/index.htm

Roundhouse Council ***Regional Traditional Ecological Knowledge Youth Camp*** $16,000 towards expanding the traditional ecological knowledge summer camp, which serves Native youth from the North Valley and the Northern Sierra Nevada.

Seven Tepees ***7 Corps Stewardship Project*** $35,000 towards the 7 Corps Stewardship Project , which will provide 80+ low-income youth one or more extended overnight experiences in nature (6 trips provided) and 8 weeks of daily summer community service to beautify our local parks. Youth will engage in weekly environmental courses year-round, earning recognition for each level of knowledge, skill, and service they achieve. Curriculum integrates environmental science and justice, our Native American philosophy, and projects youth can do in their schools and homes.

The Mosaic Project ***Youth Leadership Project*** $10,000 towards The Mosaic Project's unique outdoor school, which offers high-school students extensive training and a leadership role in this extraordinary process through the Youth Leadership Project. After completing a competitive application process and attending training retreats, youth leaders serve as mentors and cabin leaders for the diverse 4th and 5th graders at the outdoor school.

US Forest Service ***Generation Green Youth Stewardship Program*** $35,000 towards The Generation Green Youth Stewardship Program, which will select 12 diverse youth from urban and rural areas to complete summer stewardship internships (320 hours) and training such as resume writing, ethics and conduct, access to higher education, and time management. The goal is to create 12 young stewards who will educate their communities on caring for the land, providing mentors for students and a valuable work experience.

Yosemite National Institutes (WildLink) $40,000 towards providing underserved youth a transformative experience through a series of fully scholarshipped wilderness and community-based programs, giving them the knowledge, inspiration and empowerment needed to make them long-term environmental stewards. Official Yosemite National Park Website: http://www.nps.gov/yose

2008 Grant Awards

2008 Catalyst Fund Awards

Alemany Farm $10,000 towards growing fresh and healthy food to help sow the seeds of social justice through healthy outdoor experiences, a positive sense of community, and green job training for low-income youth.

Big Chico Creek Watershed Alliance $10,000 towards linking existing watershed monitoring and restoration efforts with the educational needs of at-risk youth by forming school-based Youth Stream Teams that will develop an understanding of watershed ecology and the skills and interest to participate in resource management decisions.

Chico Food Network $10,000 towards funding staff personnel to manage and sustaining a school garden, which requires dedicated personnel who can coordinate efforts, write for grants, and teach lessons.

Escuela Popular Accelerated Family Learning Center $10,000 towards The Natural Highs program, which is a program that seeks to combine wilderness experience, adventure, reflection, and counseling to connect underserved youth with the natural world, to rekindle motivation and curiosity, to demand accountability, and to encourage personal and academic growth.

Gateway Mountain Center $10,000 towards a program that seeks to deliver rich and relevant place-based curriculum to 6th-12th grade students that come to Donner Summit to study and explore forest, meadow, wetland and river ecosystems, as well as the human history of the Native Americans, early emigrants, and the Chinese workers who built the Transcontinental Railroad.

Project Great Outdoors $10,000 towards offering expertly guided and facilitated white-water rafting and challenge-ropes-course trips at costs as low as $10 per participant; Project GO provides access to an ideal outdoor experiential program to young people and organizations that truly are under-served.

Project Ole $10,000 towards providing the opportunity for 7th and 8th grade students to learn about and engage with their natural environments and to reconnect with their food source, waste stream and life cycles.

Tides Center/BEETs Rangers $10,000 towards The BEET Rangers program, which inspires and educates teens to be environmentally engaged and to develop practical and personal skills to become environmental leaders and future green collar workers through the training of fifteen at-risk teens each year who in turn have opportunities to continue internships and job placement in the green field, as well as reach out and engage their community in environmental stewardship and ownership of neighborhood parks.

Wilderness Arts & Literacy Collaborative $15,000 towards WALC, an outdoor program that uses place-based field studies, as well environmental stewardship and activism to teach core ecological concepts that become the analytical frameworks used to integrate multiple subjects within a relevant and rigorous academic program.

Wildplaces $10,000 towards guided tours of the Sequoias. Here, youth will learn watershed and nature awareness, hands-on habitat restoration, community and confidence building, environmental awareness, and documentary film making.

2008 Collaboration Fund Awards

Big City Mountaineers $90,000 towards the "Urban Families Gateway Program" to help create a partnership with local communities that will help families and their children explore nearby parks. The collaboration will focus its work on underserved neighborhoods in Alameda County. The program will attempt to get increased participation in outdoor programs by non-traditional family groups by creating a coalition of providers, non-profits and agencies to address the barriers that keep families in underserved communities from using local parks. The goal of the program is to increase the level of use of parks by the families, raise awareness of healthy lifestyles and the environment, increase the knowledge of public lands, and improve family communication via shared outdoor experiences. Ultimately, the goal is to create long-term interest in and support for, outdoor recreation for the children in these communities.

California State Parks Foundation $90,000 towards partnering with local non-profits on a project called the "Outdoor Youth Connection (OYC). OYC will provide a foundation that will help make parks and open space more relevant to our state's most disconnected populations by providing highly structured introductions to outdoor experiences for California's most disadvantaged youth. The collaborations and experiences are designed to create a pipeline of trained youth that will help build a bridge between local communities, youth providers and a network of Non-Governmental Organizations (NGO) to the outdoors. The program will present these experiences in a more relevant and engaging way that will encourage more non-traditional populations to explore their parks.

City of Richmond, Parks and Landscaping Division $85,000 towards their program "The Richmond Foodshed Collaborative" which will bring together children and youth providers, advocates and educators to help teens to connect to local efforts to create a "foodshed" on public lands throughout Richmond. Richmond has set a goal of raising and consuming 5% of their food supply for city residents. The collaborative will create a cross-sector model that will help plan, organize, plant and grow the community's food supply in municipal orchards, berry patches, and school and community gardens and will involve children and youth in all aspects of the project.

Community Alliance for Family Farmers $55,000 towards a collaboration that brings together schools, non-profits, agencies and the farming community to provide hands-on food

and farming education that emphasizes farming conservation practices, healthy farm-fresh foods and agricultural job opportunities for youth of the farm labor community. The collaboration is creating a strong coalition of partners that offer local youth education, experience and knowledge about farming and farm careers. The goal of the coalition is to present youth with an alternative to the "pick and pack" experience of many of the youths" parents and explore alternative ways to make a living in the farming community. This is the second year of this project, funded by the Stewardship Council, and CAFF intends to strengthen Watsonville community and youth participation.

2008 Impact Fund Awards

Adventure, Risk, Challenge $25,000 towards building capacity in the UC Merced and the Wawona Field Station. By utilizing UC field stations, the organization has the unique opportunity to connect students to their local environment/ outdoor spaces, to UC opportunities & to local community members who visit their courses. After the 6-week immersion, students are required to do community service projects of their choice in home neighborhoods.

EarthTeam $18,000 towards a community-based, environmental restoration program integrated with a student-created multi-media program. The youth will become proud stewards of their local communities through classroom education, numerous local field work experiences that culminate in the education of the public on TV.

East Bay Asian Youth Center $25,000 towards an outdoor leadership education program for underserved middle school and high school girls to learn how to plan and lead backpacking trips. Designed to support girls who are victims of violence and are at high-risk of sexual exploitation, GO! provide a safe, supportive, and challenging learning environment that yields transformative results for girls.

East Oakland Boxing Association $25,000 towards The Smartmoves Stewards project, which aims to engage urban youth in the natural world that surrounds them, even in their urban neighborhood.

Feather River Land Trust $20,000 towards the Learning Landscapes, a multi-partner environmental education and conservation project designed to increase the frequency and depth of school-aged children's contact with the natural world.

Fresh Lifelines for Youth $20,000 towards empowering youth in the juvenile justice system to become socially responsible citizens who are also stewards of the environment by utilizing a rounded approach to youth development by providing our underserved youth with yearlong mentors who utilize ongoing outdoor experiences and environmental service learning to promote healthy decision making and positive youth leadership.

Friends of Peralta Hacienda Historical Park $26,000 towards the Breaking Ground program, which engages over 100 diverse youth in outdoor activities, including 1) year-round

gardening, mentored by Laotian Mien elders who use the park"s Community Garden; 2) outdoor banquets where youth and Mien elders share recipes, learn about each others' food traditions, and cook and eat together with parents and neighbors; 3) outdoor activities including bird watching and habitat restoration in Peralta Creek, and learning about, tending, and using plants in the park's Native Plant Garden with Ohlone native plant experts; and 4) outdoor hands-on history activities.

GirlVentures $30,000 towards the Summer Outdoor Adventure Programs and Leadership Development Program, which aims to introduce diverse Bay Area girls to environmental stewardship and the world of outdoor activities while exploring some of California's most beautiful parks and forests.

Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy $30,000 towards Expanding Opportunities for Environmental Youth Leadership, a project that aims to deepen and amplify existing environmental stewardship programming by expanding hands-on service learning opportunities, environmental education workshops, and leadership/skill building activities for public school students.

Hill Country Community Clinic $17,500 towards the Rural Environmental Connection program, which aims to build the confidence, outdoor experience, environmental awareness and leadership skills of members of Hill Country Community Clinic's Youth Advisory Board (YAB) through the addition of an outdoor education component to the program.

Karuk Tribe $18,000 towards the Eco-Cultural Youth Camps and Scientific Academic Advancement Program, which aims to sustain local traditional ecological knowledge(TEK) by engaging tribal youth in a series of comprehensive seasonal camps that brings elders and youth together to transfer eco-cultural knowledge and land management practices to the next generation.

Literacy for Environmental Justice (LEJ) $25,000 towards the Environmental Education and Experience for Southeast San Francisco Youth, a service learning program that aims to build environmental awareness and commitment into the participant's lives.

Oakland Food Connection $20,000 towards Youth CREATE (Community Restoration and Ecological Action Transforming Urban Environments), a program that will allow Oakland Food Connection to deepen participant's past experience through cooking, gardening, agro-ecological restoration, and wilderness experiences. The program helps students draw connections between environmental stewardship, personal health, and community health.

Oakland Unified School District Office of Alternative Education $20,000 towards the ALC Outdoor Education Program, which is an experiential "classroom without walls" that aims to expose 60 at risk youth to outdoor adventure, service learning, and responsible stewards of the environment.

Project Avary $22,000 towards the Outdoor Leaders Project, which builds confidence and competency for the outdoors and a life-affirming vision among teens facing high risks of experiencing incarceration during their lifetimes.

Real Options for City Kids $20,000 towards the ROCK program, which aims to break the cycle of poverty and violence in Visitacion Valley through a combination of outdoor adventures, community service and leadership training, and by implementing best youth development practices.

San Joaquin River Parkway and Conservation Trust $26,000 towards providing outdoor experiences for youth along the San Joaquin River in an effort to get the youth involved in service projects and in leadership programs as part of the summer camps programs.

Students Run Oakland $17,500 towards a unique youth-development program that provides structured physical fitness training, mentoring, and nutrition education in the context of training for a marathon. All participating students are required to sign a Student Obligations contract in which they commit to maintaining a minimum 2.5 academic grade point average (GPA), attending school on a regular basis, and exhibiting positive behavior at school, in training, and in the community.

Tuolumne River Preservation Trust $20,000 towards the Tuolumne River Stewards Recreation and Safety Program, which aims to lower barriers to underserved Hispanic youth by providing river safety training and recreational opportunities led by Hispanic adult mentors who will serve as role models to help the participating youth develop a passion for and connection to the river in their backyard.

Women's Mountain Passages $17,500 towards Reaching Higher - Environmental Connections, a program that seeks to engage Plumas County's underserved, at-risk girls in meaningful, sustained wilderness experiences through stewardship, service learning and other outdoor recreational activities.

Yosemite National Institutes (WildLink) $17,500 towards WildLink, a program that gives underserved youth a transformative experience through a series of fully scholarshipped wilderness and community-based outdoor programs, giving them the knowledge, inspiration and empowerment needed to make them long-term environmental stewards.

2008 Infrastructure Fund Awards

Bay Area Outreach & Recreation Program $200,000 BORP and Waterside Workshops are renovating a previously abandoned city owned recreation facility at Berkeley's Aquatic Park. We will provide outdoor recreation and education programs for low-income youth and youth with physical disabilities. We are removing barriers to outdoor recreation for low-income neighborhood youth by building a safe and active recreation center in a previously neglected

urban park and removing barriers to people with disabilities by designing a dedicated adaptive recreation facility.

Boys & Girls Club of Fresno County $130,000 towards renovation of a 30-year-old, neglected East Fresno sports and recreation field, sitting in disrepair with potholes, fire ants, weeds, barren spots, and a broken sprinkler system.

2009 Grant Awards

2009 Catalyst Fund (up to $15,000)

Alliance Equine Rescue and Youth Educational Foundation www.equinealliance.org $12,000 towards year-round educational, emotional and physical healing for underserved youth while supporting and partnering with other community agencies through an awareness of nature and self, using equine-based experiential learning therapy.

Balanced Rock Foundation www.balancedrock.org $7,000 to work with underserved Mariposa County youth to deepen their connection to self, others, and nature through structured group and individual challenges in Yosemite National Park and in their community.

Community Grows (formerly Hayes Valley Neighborhood Parks Group, a project of the Tides Center) www.hvnparks.com $10,000 toward training the BEETS (Band of Environmentally Educated and Employed Teens) who will have opportunities to earn internships and job placements in the green sector. The BEETs will also reach out and engage their community in environmental stewardship and ownership of neighborhood parks.

Escuelar Popular Outdoor Program $8,000 toward the Natural Highs program that combines wilderness experience, adventure, reflection, and counseling to connect underserved youth with the natural world, to rekindle motivation and curiosity, to demand accountability, and to encourage personal and academic growth.

Healing Waters Wilderness Adventures www.hwaters.org $8,000 towards Liquid Camp for teens living with HIV/AIDS. Students learn that they are not alone living with their diagnosis, make new friends, build self esteem while kayaking one of the best rivers in the world.

Living Arts Kinetic Experience www.livingartskineticexperience.org $8,000 towards making Leonard Lake Reserve more accessible to urban youth. Students learn environmental science, orienteering, creative writing, teamwork games, waterfront activities, and mobile technology applications.

Movimiento www.movimagine.org $10,000 towards launching an ongoing series of Indigenous Youth Initiatives, Wilderness Excursions, Art & Land Crafts Workshops, and Youth

Summits for underserved youth ages 13-18 in the Bay Area to create urban-rural projects that connect young people to land, food, and nature.

North Roseville R.E.C. Center $8,000 to provide outdoor activities that will enrich the lives, develop the physical, mental, and social capabilities, and improve the lives of underserved youth by building on the R.E.C. Center's existing youth development and leadership programs.

Project Great Outdoors www.projectgo.org $6,000 to offer expertly guided and facilitated whitewater rafting programs for youth and to provide whitewater guide training to individuals from underserved communities.

Southern Humboldt Youth and Community Services $5,000 toward the Outdoor Adventures program to provide engaging outdoor activities for teens ages 12–17 in the Southern Humboldt and Northern Mendocino communities. Outdoor Adventures include camping, rafting, kayaking, mountain biking, hiking, and other positive activities that expose youth to their rich local natural resources.

Synergia Learning Center www.synergia.us $5,000 towards semester for high school students' intensive wilderness journey. Students and staff travel throughout California, studying and documenting working models of sustainability. Students bring their knowledge back to their schools and communities by giving peer-to-peer presentations and lead sustainability initiatives.

Take out until completely healed www.touchvbc.com $10,000 towards 12-month nature experience for underserved girls ages 13-18, from San Francisco. The girls participate in nature hikes, overnight remote camp, and native habit workshops

Wildplaces www.wildplaces.net $10,000 towards a unique program that will guide students through watershed and nature awareness, hands-on habitat restoration, community and confidence building, environmental awareness, and documentary film making.

Willie Brown Jr. Academy Garden Program $7,000 towards The Willie Brown Jr. Academy (WBJA) Garden Program, in collaboration with Thurgood Marshall High School (TMHS), to develop a garden project, to promote food security and accessibility to nature in San Francisco's Bayview-Hunters Point neighborhood. The Garden Program creates an outdoor classroom and food-producing, ecologically sound orchard and an outdoor creative learning center.

2009 Impact Fund (up to $50,000)

Adventure, Risk, Challenge http://sagehen.ucnrs.org/arc/index.htm $30,000 towards inspiring underserved youth to multiple natural environments, and wilderness places, so they can envision success and become engaged empowered citizens.

Aim High for High School www.aimhigh.org $30,000 towards the Headland Home project that teaches middle school students respect and r responsibility for self, others and for our

environment. Aim high is a unique program that combines experiential and self reflection to help students become stewards of their local environments'.

Central California Consortium of the US Forest Service www.fs.fed.us/r5/ccc $45,000 toward the Central California Consortium, an environmental education, outreach, and employment program that provides diverse youth from urban and rural areas with paid internships. The program encourages youth to seek higher education, develop careers in natural resources, and become stewards of the land.

Community Alliance for Family Farmers www.caff.org $35,000 toward a collaborative project based at Watsonville High Agricultural Academy that connects youth with local farms practicing good environmental stewardship. The True Farmer Invasion Program offers hands-on education that emphasizes agricultural conservation practices, farm-fresh foods, and related job opportunities.

East Oakland Boxing Association www.eoba.org $25,000 to support the Smartmoves Seeds of Change project that integrates outdoor experiential learning, academics, healthy lifestyle education, employment, and community service. Youth interns develop a meaningful awareness of the long-term connections between themselves, their families and community, their future possibilities, and the natural world around them.

Environmental Traveling Companions (ETC) www.etctrips.org $30,000 towards intensive leadership opportunity for economically disadvantaged and disabled youth ages 14-18 to follow a watershed from the mountains to the sea, while incorporating whitewater rafting, sea kayaking, organic farm stay, and backpacking. ETC provides opportunities to build strong relationship with the environment and transform how students feel about themselves and rise above against the odds.

GirlVentures www.girlventures.org $25,000 to provide a ladder of leadership opportunities for diverse Bay Area girls that emphasize vocational and life skills. Participants engage in outdoor activities that inspire active lifestyles, boost self esteem, and cultivate environmental awareness.

Girl Scout of California's Central Coast (GSCCC) www.girlscoutsccc.org $20,000 towards providing underserved teen girls with adult mentors and participate in adventure based leadership skill building The Artemis Leadership Training Adventure program. 100-150 girl scouts will participate in week-long activities that emphasize self development, teamwork, community involvement, environmental responsibilities, social responsibilities and academic excellence.

Literacy for Environmental Justice (LEJ) www.lejyouth.org $25,000 toward service learning and field excursions that root environmental commitment in the daily lives of southeast SF youth. Youth participate in year-round internships that make a substantive contribution to community environmental justice priorities, and peer-to-peer training in environmental justice.

Mid Klamath Watershed Council www.mkwc.org $19,000 towards underserved 15-18 year old youth to involve in watershed management and habitat restoration along the Mid Klamath Watershed. Students will gain a sense of ownership and a strong relationship to the watershed as they work on projects that enhance their community health, and culture.

The Mosaic Project www.mosaicproject.org $20,000 to support the Youth Leadership Project, which offers outdoor leadership training for high-school students. The youth leaders serve as mentors for younger students at an outdoor school that creates a microcosm of the diverse, inclusive world to show the students that peace is possible, and to provide them with enriching experiences in nature.

Project AVARY www.projectavary.org $25,000 towards involving low-income teens whose parents are incarcerated. The program integrates outdoor experience into youth's life to build confidence, empathy, communicate effectively, conflict resolution, teamwork, and encourages adherence to positive social norms.

Project WISE of the Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy www.parksconservancy.org $30,000 to engage urban high school students in watershed explorations in the Presidio's Tennessee Hollow watershed. Project WISE connects city youth with meaningful outdoor experiences by enabling active participation in project-based science learning.

Seven Tepees Youth Program www.7tepees.org $30,000 towards a program that encourages youth to develop a personal, familial and community engagement through participating in wilderness trips, student-led park beautifications and recreation activities. All the high school students are mentors to younger students and are engaged in daily tutoring, mentoring, parent education, and counseling services.

Sierra Institute for Community and the Environment www.sierrainstitute.us $20,000 towards establishing long-term stewardship and watershed monitoring sites for 7th through 12th grade students. Students will be integrating classroom and the field to learn sustainability, balance, restoration, monitoring, analysis of vegetation and land recovery.

Real Options for City Kids www.rocksf.org $20,000 to provide programming designed to break the cycle of poverty and violence in Visitacion Valley. Through a combination of outdoor adventures, community service, and leadership training, and by implementing best youth development practices, ROCK empowers its participants with the tools and experiences necessary to become successful, productive adults.

WildLink a program of NatureBridge wildlink.wilderness.net, www.naturebridge.org,$25,000 toward WildLink, which transforms underserved youth through a series of wilderness and community-based outdoor programs designed to educate, inspire and empower youth to become long-term environmental stewards with strong connections to the natural world in both the wilderness and their home communities.

Youth Community Services www.youthcommunityservice.org $20,000 towards students that will engage underserved youth to the Bay area wilderness and the American River. Students learn land and water ecosystem conservation, teamwork through whitewater rafting and gain self-efficacy and confidence from the challenges they will face.

2009 Initiative Fund (up to $150,000)

The Bay Area Open Space Council www.openspacecouncil.org $65,000 to conduct a survey and develop solutions to transportation barriers in the Bay Area. The initiative will focus on connecting underserved populations to parklands, sharing best practices between park, transportation, and community agencies/organizations, and convening a broad base of partners to implement two pilot projects that develop specific, comprehensive, and sustainable solutions to better connect youth and their families to parks beyond their neighborhoods.

Bay Area Wilderness Training, Presidio Trust, and National Park Service www.bawt.org, www.presidio.gov/kids/cap.htm $75,000 to create the Bay Area Camping (BAC) program, which will leverage the successful Camping at the Presidio (CAP) program to expand access to other Bay Area campgrounds for staff from youth development organizations. BAC will provide training for youth workers, including camp skills training, free camping gear, subsidized transportation, and educational and interpretive resources.

California State Parks Foundation and Pacific Leadership Institute www.parks.ca.gov, www.pliprograms.org $95,000 to support the continued expansion of the Outdoor Youth Connection, which addresses the need to connect youth with nature while making resources, access, and information attainable for California's underserved youth while overcoming barriers of poverty, lack of transportation, and fear.

East Bay Regional Parks Foundation www.ebparks.org $65,000 toward the Community Overnight Camping Program that will increase school year camping opportunities for high-need youth in order to reinforce a year-round connection to the outdoors and nature in a close-to-home park environment.

Monterey Bay Aquarium and Watsonville Wetlands Watch www.montereybayaquarium.org, www.watsonvillewetlandswatch.org $75,000 to implement an environmental education initiative that will connect 1,000 underserved teens to the local watershed in culturally relevant ways. Throughout the academic year, Watsonville teachers will implement project-based environmental learning for their students, including direct access to open spaces through field research and docent-lead outdoor activities.

Redwood City 2020 www.redwoodcity.org $50,000 toward incorporating YO-It's Youth Outdoors! as a critical element of the collaborative approach to addressing and overcoming health disparities in Redwood City. Redwood City 2020's community-based approach includes engaging and empowering youth to identify solutions to increase their connection and access to the outdoors.

2009 Infrastructure Fund (up to $200,000)

City of Merced Parks and Community Services Department

City of Merced Ray Flanagan Neighborhood Park Restoration

The City of Merced Parks and Community Services Department was awarded $200,000 to complete the renovation of Ray Flanagan Neighborhood Park in southeast Merced. The park is located in a neighborhood where much of the population lives in poverty; once the project is completed it will immediately serve more than 700 low-income single-family homes within half a mile. The improved park will include: playground areas with separate equipment for children of different ages; paved courts for basketball; a multi-use field area for recreational sports play or practice; a cultural gaming area; an open play area; a picnic area with barbecue pits; paved pathways and signage; bike racks; waste receptacles; benches; and off-street parking.

City of Oakland

Lincoln Square Outdoor Recreation Corridor

The City of Oakland was awarded $200,000 to convert a paved area at Lincoln Square Park into the Lincoln Square Outdoor Recreation Corridor. This will create a youth-centered recreation area with a multi-purpose playing field, ball courts, community stage, and game tables. Interpretive park elements will connect this site to the Lake Merritt Wildlife Refuge and the San Francisco Bay Estuary in order to encourage youth and their families to go beyond their neighborhoods and explore the diversity of wildlife that is reflective of the diverse cultures of the inner city.

City of Oroville

Youth Build for Youth Basketball

The City of Oroville was awarded $61,000 to partner with the local Private Industry Council (PIC) youth construction crew to build three basketball courts in Oroville parks and to refurbish the local YMCA half court. A committee comprised of local youth resoundingly asked for safe, accessible basketball courts. Construction of the basketball courts will create recreational opportunities for older youth and allow access to individuals with disabilities. All of the parks are accessible and visible, providing a safe venue for youth to play. The local YMCA has partnered with the city to develop and provide a basketball league for children and youth.

County of Santa Cruz Parks, Open Space, and Cultural Services Department

Pinto Lake County Park Children's Playground and Accessible Pathway Project

The County of Santa Cruz Parks, Open Space, and Cultural Services Department was awarded $95,000 toward the construction of an accessible playground and an asphalt pathway linkage from the existing pathway to the play area at Pinto Lake County Park. These features will

enhance safety, promote play, and provide access for all children regardless of physical ability. Pinto Lake County Park is a 183-acre regional park in southern Santa Cruz County, which serves a large number of children and families from nearby communities; the majority of park users live in Watsonville.

Spanish Speaking Unity Council

Oakland Schoolyard Initiative Phase II

The Spanish Speaking Unity Council was awarded $200,000 toward converting two expanses of asphalt into healthy, safe, green, and fun schoolyards at Roosevelt Middle School and Manzanita Elementary School in Oakland. The project will enhance recreation for students, and for other children and families in the Fruitvale and Lower San Antonio neighborhoods. This is the second phase of a project that builds on a successful partnership with the East Bay Asian Youth Center and the Oakland Unified School District to redesign, through community engagement, and build more recreational resources for youth in Oakland.

Westside Community Park

Westside Community Park Phase II

Westside Community Park was awarded $200,000 for the construction of a regulation soccer field, two smaller soccer fields, a regulation baseball field, and a combination Little League/softball field at Westside Community Park, a regional park in Lakeport. Youth sports leagues in the region currently have limited access or no access to sports fields. This project will increase the quantity, quality, and safety of playing fields for youth in Lake County.

2010 Grant Awards

CRJ

$22,000 toward the SAFIRE program, which combines outdoor experiences, ecological awareness, and practices relevant to the ancestral cultures of participants to ignite environmental stewardship and activism of young Asian women from low-income immigrant and refugee families in the Bay Area.

Adventure Risk Challenge

$30,000 to provide challenging wilderness retreats in Yosemite National Park, in-school academic support, and community-wide civic engagement for English Language Learners and their families. ARC builds achievement, connection, and potential for the underserved rural youth of Dos Palos in Merced County. The program links wilderness to academics, adventure to leadership, environmental science to literacy and confidence to activism.

Aim High for High School

$25,000 to support the Headlands Environmental Home program, which provides urban youth with a deep understanding of environmental stewardship and responsibility through experiencing the interconnectedness of native species and the impact of human activities in the Marin Headlands.

Bay Area Wilderness Training (BAWT)

$30,000 for opportunities for at-risk and underprivileged youth to experience the transformative power of wilderness firsthand. Through BAWT's Front country Leadership Training Program youth providers will receive wilderness skills training, access to a free outdoor equipment library and tangible support to teachers. The teachers in turn engage the youth they work with in powerful outdoor educational experiences both through day hikes and overnight camping excursions.

Boys & Girls Clubs of Fresno County

$25,000 to support the Youth SOAR (Stewardship with Outdoor Adventures and Responsibility) program. Youth leaders will develop life skills and confidence, lead after-school programs for younger children, and guide stewardship projects in urban and wilderness sites. The project will empower youth, enhancing their strengths in goal-setting, appreciation for the nearby river and national parks, and commitment to community service.

Central California Consortium, program of the US Forest Service

$35,000 towards the Generation Green Youth Stewardship Program to select 14 diverse youth from urban and rural areas to complete summer stewardship internships (320 hours), where the youth work to improve public lands, are exposed to natural resource careers and gain valuable work experience.

City of East Palo Alto

$200,000 for their Cooley Landing Park Project Cooley Landing where local partners will run children and youth programs including nature and history education; music, poetry, and arts; and job training. This project will increase park space in East Palo Alto from 16 (0.5 acres per 1000 residents) to 25 acres. Cooley Landing Park will be East Palo Alto's first naturalistic and first bayfront park, a unique character compared to existing local urban parks.

City of Fort Bragg

$154,618 for their Fort Bragg Community Skate Park the City of Fort Bragg, in partnership with Mendocino Coast Recreation & Park District (MCRPD). The Community Skate Park will provide a safe and an appropriate venue for youth of Fort Bragg.

City of Santa Rosa

$189,000 for their Bayer Neighborhood Park and Gardens- Nature Play Area and Trails to create a nature-based, play area along with an access trail between Funston Ave and West Ave, a future safe route to school. The creation of a path and play area will provide an accessible and safe place for children to play and connect with their environment.

CommunityGrows

$10,000 to support the BEETS (Band of Environmentally Educated and Employed Teens-formerly the BEET Rangers) program that inspires and educates teens to be environmentally engaged and to develop practical and personal skills to become environmental leaders and future green collar workers.

Dolores C. Huerta Foundation

$30,000 towards the Youth Advocates Forging Unity on the Environment, Recreation, and Accountability (Youth AFUERA) program to engage young people of color in Southern Kern County in opportunities to participate in a series of outdoor recreation experiences designed to teach environmental awareness and develop leadership skills.

Downtown High School Get Out and Learn

$10,000 to support Get Out and Learn's (GO&L) cornerstone ten-day wilderness expedition. GOAL is a year-round self-contained classroom, providing consistent teacher involvement coupled with greater student accountability, both academically and personally.

East Oakland Boxing Association (EOBA)

$30,000 towards "I am HECKA Strong" project to support the development and expansion of EOBA's on-site garden and outdoor program. By integrating outdoor experiential learning, arts, academics, healthy lifestyle education, employment and raising community awareness, youth develop a meaningful awareness of the long-term connections between themselves, their families and community, their future possibilities, and the natural world around them.

Environmental Traveling Companions

$30,000 toward the Youth LEAD Program, which provides intensive outdoor leadership opportunities for economically disadvantaged and disabled youth. Participants will engage in summer outdoor leadership courses that follow a watershed from the sea to the mountains. Youth experience sea kayaking, whitewater rafting, backpacking, an organic farm stay, and the opportunity to participate in an eight-month Fellowship Program to further develop their leadership, outdoor, and job-readiness skills.

Escuela Popular

$8,000 to support Escuela Popular's **outdoor program Natural High** to affect a large number of different types of youth, to develop an awareness of the importance of our natural world by using the outdoors as a laboratory for academic and career studies, improve physical and mental health, reduce substance abuse, increase self-confidence, promote wilderness programs as a viable alternative to youth, introduce the concept of a natural high, and introduce outdoor activities that may become a lifetime interest.

Feather River Land Trust

$90,275 for their Learning Landscapes project, a regional collaboration in the Upper Feather River Watershed to identify, conserve, improve and support access to natural areas within a 10 minute walk of every classroom for education and stewardship. This project will enhance the on-school and off-school infrastructure of nine Learning Landscape outdoor classrooms in four regional communities.

Global Exchange

$20,000 towards the Earthseed Outdoor Environmental Education program that promotes leadership and commitment to environmental stewardship among San Francisco's underserved youth through outdoor environmental education experiences. Through this program San Francisco youth will experience the outdoors, but also will have the opportunities to bring learning from outdoor day and overnight trips back to their families and communities.

Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy

$75,000 for Finding Urban Nature (FUN) Guide: Train the Trainers Initiative to encourage middle and high school students to engage in outdoor recreation and environmental learning at the San Francisco's seven unique urban watersheds and "nature in your neighborhood." The Fun Guide: Train the Trainers project will help counteract the physical, economic and cultural barriers many urban youth experience.

Growing Up Wild

$9,000 to support hands-on outdoor educational experiences in the wilderness to two small groups of underserved Hispanic teenage boys, to promote lasting positive youth development in areas of personal growth, social skills, and environmental stewardship.

Movimiento

$10,000 to support Rural-Urban Youth Environmental Leadership, in which underserved youth from the Bay Area and Nevada County work together to learn about watershed, food/farming, and socio-environmental issues through wilderness excursions, indigenous youth Initiatives, land & crafts workshops, and conferences. Urban and rural youth spend time in each other's home communities, to exchange experiences and strategies for environmental and social change.

Native Alliance of the Sierra Nevada Foothills

$12,000 to support the Sierra Native Alliance (SNA) Youth Conservation Internship program that engages low-income youth in Placer and Nevada counties to restore an abandoned environmental education center on a historic Nisenan Maidu site in Auburn. Youth will learn environmental preservation skills from an indigenous perspective, and take the lead in developing a peer environmental education curriculum for ongoing use at the SNA Cultural Education Center.

Native American Health Center Inc

$30,000 towards the Chae-Mal Wilderness program that provides outdoor educational activities, including kayaking, white water rafting, hiking, teepee building, camping, snowshoeing and skiing, to urban Native American youth and underserved youth who reside in Oakland and the surrounding San Francisco Bay Area. Each activity demonstrates tribal roles in the development of each practice. This program revives and nurtures youth's cultural connection with the land and encourages their holistic development into adulthood.

North Roseville Recreational Center

$9,000 to enrich lives, develop physical, mental and social capabilities of youth by connecting them to the outdoors, encouraging physical exercise, healthy eating and prevent childhood obesity in Sacramento area.

One Cool Earth

$8,000 to establish a student-operated California native plant nursery at Liberty High School that will connect with campus restoration efforts, provide green jobs training, and offer a gateway of experience and knowledge through which youth can better understand the natural environment and enter into environmentally-related professions.

Phat Beets Produce

$10,000 to support the Healthy Hearts Market Garden which will educate teens affected by diet-related diseases about environmental stewardship, nutrition education, and healthy lifestyles choices through the use of organic gardening and green space creation. The HHMG program will engage over 150 teens in garden-based workshops and provide weekly trainings in garden creation, land stewardship, and nutrition education for teen stewards and peer educators from the Healthy Hearts Clinic.

Pogo Park

$200,000 for their involvement of the community residents to rebuild Elm Playlot located in the heart of the Iron Triangle neighborhood, home to over 5,000 children and young people. Elm Playlot will serves as a beautiful, safe, and engaging outdoor "commons," drawing residents out of their homes and into community with each other.

Pogo Park

$12,000 to support a grassroots, community-based organization to create a sustainable and replicable model that impacts how parks and playgrounds are designed, built, and operated in inner-city neighborhoods.

Seven Tepees Youth Program

$45,000 to support the “Get in the Water!” program, which will connect 100 disadvantaged youth to the outdoors through year-round environmental classes, 12 overnight trips, and student-led park beautification projects including explorations of California coasts and watersheds. Participants will also undertake a unique eight-week community service program that teaches job skills and environmental justice principles.

Soil Born Farms Urban Agriculture Project

$30,000 to support the Youth Corps program, which provides youth with a direct understanding of the connection between their personal health, healthy food, and the environment. Utilizing Soil Born Farms' property along the American River, the program provides hands-on experiences that focus on environmental stewardship, organic farming, habitat restoration, exploration of the natural environment, outdoor trips, healthy cooking, and community service projects.

Synergia

$10,000 to support the Finding the Good traveling semester (16 weeks) for high school students to practice and promote sustainability in the areas of shelter, transportation, energy, food, culture, and environmental conservation. While traveling throughout California, students study and document working models of sustainability. Following their semester students become the educators, bringing their knowledge back to their schools and communities by giving peer-to-peer presentations and leading sustainability initiatives.

The Trust for Public Land

$200,000 for the Boedekker Park and Clubhouse in the Tenderloin, San Francisco's most dense and diverse neighborhood. The Trust for Public Land, in partnership with the City of San Francisco, will rebuild the playground and clubhouse according to an extensive community-driven design plan, making it a more accessible and welcoming place for children and youth to play, gather, and connect with the outdoors.

The Yosemite Foundation

$25,000 towards the Yosemite Fund's Youth in Yosemite program, where more than 40 youth will engage in an 8-week immersion experience in Yosemite including field work with park managers on projects that offer unique opportunities to learn more about natural and cultural sciences, geology, habitat restoration and trail engineering.

Tuolumne River Preservation Trust

$20,000 towards the Stanislaus County Youth Ambassador Program to engage 80 youth in exploring the outdoor opportunities in their own county, from hiking and camping to canoeing, fishing and geocaching. Through different lessons, day trips, and overnights, youth will be immersed in the natural, cultural, and historic richness of their county, instilling community pride and the capacity to take advantage of local resources to make the outdoors a more integral part of their lives.

Valley of the Moon Teen Center

$10,000 to help VOMTC, in collaboration with the Sonoma Ecology Center, combat the 'nature deficit disorder' by providing youth with opportunities for learning and growth through the exploration of their local environment. "Outdoors to Excellence" will include four field experiences in Sonoma County, one overnight trip to the coast, a kayaking trip, and 12 mountain bike trips with up to 15 teens on each trip.

Watsonville Wetlands Watch

$30,000 towards Pajaro Valley High School Project-based Outdoor Learning program that empowers Watsonville teens to be citizen scientists to collect and analyze environmental data as they develop a personal connection to the unique wetlands in their community. Working side by side with scientists, docents, and peer mentors, teens explore the great outdoors, develop their own wetland projects, and get introduced to green careers. They also become empowered to assume leadership roles to mentor other youth in outdoor wetland activities.

Wilderness Arts and Literacy Collaborative

$12,000 to support a field-based study program that teaches core environmental education concepts while integrating WALC's rigorous academic curriculum. This curriculum is composed of seven camping trips, four hiking trips, and weekly habitat restoration for the Balboa High

School chapter and four camping trips, 24 hiking trips, and a weekly habitat restoration for the downtown High School chapter.

WildLink, a Program of NatureBridge

$20,000 towards WildLink program that serves underserved youth through a series of wilderness and community-based outdoor programs offered at no charge. Using a robust partnership network, WildLink educates, inspires and empowers youth to become long-term environmental stewards with strong connections to the natural world in both the wilderness and their communities.

WildPlaces

$10,000 to support WildPlaces which teams underserved youth with talented outdoor educators, activists, biologists, teachers, U.S. Forest Service personnel, archeologists, peer counselors, and artists for trips in the Sequoia National Forest and Giant Sequoia National Monument. Youth from Lamont, Bakersfield, and Sacramento will experience hands-on habitat restoration, camping, backpacking, rock-climbing, site protection, restoration, community building, environmental stewardship and activism.

Yosemite National Park

$56,500 for their Break Down Cultural Barriers initiative, by aligning program curriculum with the needs and concerns of the Central Valley communities, this initiative will help break down cultural and socio-economic barriers that people of diverse backgrounds face to connect to great outdoors. Yosemite National Park system will harness the community's skills, talents, ideas, and assets, engage in meaningful dialogue, and build relationships so that culturally relevant ideology and collaboration will inform our program curriculum development.

Youth Enrichment Strategies

$20,000 toward the Camp to Community program, which utilizes positive youth development practices to empower teens towards developing leadership skills to help their community. YES addresses community conflict, resource disparity in accessing positive youth development activities, and the need for community connection in Richmond. Supported by YES staff, Camp to Community youth will lead overnight Summer Camps, Family Camps and Day Outings.

2011 Grant Awards

Adventure Risk Challenge (San Joaquin Valley)

$30,000 for the Building Bridges program which will expose youth to the wilderness and engage youth in multiple outdoor experiences to create a lasting connection to the outdoors. This is an exceptional leadership opportunity for the under-resourced high school youth of the Central Valley. This program is an innovative, year-round outdoor program that engages UC Merced students as mentors, tutors, and instructors.

Alliance Equine Rescue and Youth Educational Foundation (Central Coast)

$10,000 to promote positive youth development by providing year-round, experiential outdoor learning activities utilizing horses to engage underserved and at-risk youth participants in their own life improvement process in San Luis Obispo County.

ARISE High School (Bay Area)

$20,000 for it's Beyond the Classroom project. This program is a cultural immersion experience, which requires students to live away from home in an environment that is foreign to them; these classes include camping, biking, hiking, and even snowboarding; hands-on biology and ecology classes for which students explore local creeks and trails; freshman and sophomore kayaking physical education programs; weekly advisory outdoor days; extended day program during which students garden, learn circus arts and bike; to overnight college retreats which have included adventure-based teambuilding initiatives, ARISE students have experienced the natural world outside the confines of ARISE in numerous ways.

Asian Communities for Reproductive Justice (Bay Area)

$20,000 for SAFIRE Rising ignites practical environmental stewardship among young Asian women from low-income immigrant and refugee families in urban Oakland through a series of retreats at a teaching farm/ecology center, combining hands-on outdoor experiences with culturally relevant ecological practices to apply in their daily lives back home in the city. SAFIRE Rising, piloted successfully in 2010, is now a regular and ongoing component of SAFIRE's year-round program of youth development and community environmental activism for these young women.

Bay Area Outreach and Recreation Program (Bay Area)

$20,000 for the Outdoor Adventures for Youth with Disabilities program, which intends to increase the self-confidence, self-perception, and independence of 65 youth with physical disabilities and visual impairments by taking them out of the city and into nature. The program exposes youth to a range of outdoor activities and environments, showing them how to overcome obstacles, encouraging them to see obstacles as opportunities rather than as barriers, and providing them with hands-on, multi-sensory environmental education--all to encourage their future outdoor exploration.

Bay Area Wilderness Training (Bay Area)

$30,000. Bay Area Wilderness Training (BAWT) empowers teachers and youth workers to integrate outdoor and environmental education curriculum into their programs. Through low-cost wilderness leadership courses, free gear lending libraries, and financial and logistical support, these leaders are given the confidence, equipment, and tools to bring youth into the outdoors and provide enriched learning experiences. BAWT's network of trained leaders, working in underserved communities throughout the Bay Area, reaches over 7,000 youth per year. As the network grows, a culture of outdoor and environmental educational values is spreading to new communities.

Boys and Girls Club of Fresno County (San Joaquin Valley)

$20,000 for the A.C.C.E.S.S. for Youth Project (Adventures Connecting Career Education and Sparking Stewardship) which will inspire 40 at-risk youth to seek career opportunities in the outdoor and recreation industries. While engaged in adventured based learning youth will develop positive life skills that will help them break the cycle of poverty and risky behaviors. ACCESS will open their eyes to the magnificence of the natural environment and create a vision for them for employment in the future.

Boys and Girls Club of San Francisco (San Francisco)

$25,000 Boys & Girls Clubs of San Francisco (BGCSF) believes all youth have the right to experience and enjoy nature and the outdoors as a fundamental part of a healthy lifestyle. BGCSF owns and operates Camp Mendocino, a place for urban youth to explore the wonders of the natural world in a Coastal Redwood forest that is completely "off the grid". Our Leaders in Training Program (LIT) is an important avenue to engage low-income teens at Camp. By combining an introduction of environmental and natural science concepts, promoting environmental stewardship, and mentoring younger age youth the LIT's ultimately build leadership skills.

California Community Builders (Bay Area)

$193,000 for the Milagro Park project which will provide much needed open space that will model a "green" community park, serve as a community eco-learning center, highlight innovative play areas and provide gathering space (micro-amphitheater) for instruction, celebrations and social gatherings. The community garden, in collaboration with other community initiatives, will promote health and nutrition in a culturally sensitive manner. Milagro Park will, by design and the physical infrastructure, serve as the "heart of the community".

California Community Partners for Youth (Bay Area)

$20,000 for its Step-Up/Ahead Outdoor Education to change life directions. The program takes inner city youth out of their city neighborhood and into nature trust and teamwork are developed and deepened as the foundation for the in-school/in-city components.

California State Parks Foundation (San Francisco)

$30,000 for the Outdoor Youth Connection Program. Outdoor Youth Connection (OYC) connects youth with nature by making resources, access and information easily attainable for California's most disadvantaged youth. By providing highly structured introductions to outdoor experiences in a way that helps overcome barriers of poverty, lack of transportation, and fear, OYC empowers youth to use what they learn along with all the benefits of an outdoor experience as a tool to address issues within their daily lives and communities.

Chabot Space and Science Center (Bay Area)

$200,000 for The Redwoods which will be an outdoor, overnight environmental education center located in the hilltop East Bay Regional Park (EBRP) land adjacent to Chabot Space & Science Center in Oakland, California. Programming will focus on hands-on, overnight activities

and education about the East Bay Regional Parks' unique natural resource - the redwood forest - within which the site's 9 cabins will be situated.

City of Kerman Parks and Recreation Department (San Joaquin Valley)

$200,000. The City of Kerman is partnering with Kerman Unified School District, KaBOOM!, and Tree Fresno to develop a park using the "NatureGrounds" design system. NatureGrounds creates parks that integrate manufactured infrastructure with living landscape. This project will connect nature and residents, helping to create a more vibrant community. Kerman has significant financial support from local and regional businesses, and enthusiastic community support, furthering our goal of providing parks within walking distance for our residents.

Community Grows (San Francisco)

$8,000 for the BEETS program which offers 4-month internships to youth throughout the year. There are 3 internship cycles with a minimum of 6 and a maximum of 8 youth in each session. BEETS interns earn an hourly stipend of

$9.00 an hour and work a minimum of one afternoon a week and a maximum of three, as well as one Saturday per month. Youth also have the opportunity to participate in a variety of optional activities, including taking on extra assistant teaching classes, more weekend workdays and conferences, and/or the creation of their own garden projects. A crucial part of the BEETS curriculum is hands-on experiences in the field of green jobs. Participants learn a range of new skills, including: basic horticulture and garden maintenance; healthy cooking and harvesting; and pro-active environmental leadership.

Downtown High School Get Out and Learn (San Francisco)

$14,000 for its Get Out and Learn Wilderness Expedition project. The Catalyst Grant will fund GOAL's cornerstone ten-day wilderness expedition each semester, fall and spring for up to thirty eight students per semester.

East Bay Asian Youth Center (Bay Area)

$200,000. The Oakland Schoolyard Initiative (OSI) seeks to transform two large, hazardous, and grossly under-utilized asphalt schoolyards at Sankofa Academy in North Oakland and Sobrante Park Elementary School in East Oakland into safe, accessible, and usable outdoor playgrounds for children, youth, and families.

Girls Inc. of Alameda County (Bay Area)

$20,000 for its Eureka! Teen Achievement Program, a five year youth development program designed to inspire girls from under-performing schools to persist and pursue post-secondary education and careers in the environment, science, technology, engineering and math. Through the Eureka! girls explore the environment, take positive risks, learn, practice and apply new skills, and build their self-confidence through hands-on, minds-on exposure and activities in outdoor spaces around them in their own community and throughout the Greater Bay Area.

Golden Gate Parks Conservancy (San Francisco)

$25,000. The proposed project will broaden the impact of their most dynamic high school youth leadership and stewardship programs (WISE, I-YEL and LINC), including appropriate adjustments and refinements in concert with a strategic program planning process. The overall intent and purpose of these programs is to develop the next generation of diverse environmental and community leaders by integrating meaningful outdoor experiences into the lives of traditionally underserved urban youth, with a particular emphasis on engaging communities of color and economically disadvantaged young people.

Growing Up Wild (Central Coast)

$13,000 for The Boys in the Woodz Program, a coming-of-age program that provides groups of underserved teen boys with educational experiences in the wilderness. Groups engage in four weekends of hands-on outdoor activities that foster life skills, health and fitness, and nature education.

Kids in Parks (San Francisco)

$15,000 in support of multiple environmental education programs. Students will participate in weekly or bi-weekly classroom and field sessions, studying the many ecological resources in their communities and caring for those resources through service learning. In the process, students will gain vocational skills that translate into real-world careers--helping them connect to their communities and empowering them to succeed in the workforce.

Mid Klamath Watershed Council (North Coast)

$18,000 for the Outdoor Park and Educational Facility Project which is an outdoor learning, meeting and performance space located on a riverside lot along the Klamath River in Orleans, California. This project will consist of a covered outdoor stage and tiered outdoor seating for about 100 people. The site will be carefully graded to preserve the natural setting and will be landscaped with native plants. Electrical service will be installed at the stage for lighting and Audio/Visual equipment. Interpretive sign displays on local ecology will be installed.

Movimiento (Bay Area/Shasta Cascade)

$12,000 for their Youth Environmental Leadership program, in which underserved youth from the Bay Area and Nevada County work together to learn about food/farming, watersheds, and cultural and socio-environmental issues through wilderness excursions, farming, indigenous youth initiatives, and outdoor mental health. Urban and rural youth spend time in each other's home communities, exchanging experiences and learning strategies for environmental, personal, and social change.

Native Alliance of the Sierra Nevada Foothills (Greater Sacramento)

$25,000 for The Native Youth Conservation Project (NYCP) designed to support the social, cultural, economic and environmental engagement needs of Native American youth in the Sierra Nevada Foothills by facilitating a year-long environmental internship program. Twelve youth

$7,500 for the Using our Food Justice and Culinary Arts curriculum. Planting Justice leads a weekly training program at Mandela High School giving 25 at-risk youth the opportunity to engage in sustainable practices of urban agriculture that promote community health, re-connect students to nature through food, and expand access to fresh produce in this under-served urban community. While building a garden on their school campus, students are trained to maintain vegetable beds and fruit trees, cook from the garden, develop a garden-to-market program, preserve traditional foods, recipes, and heirloom varieties, and explore local, national, and international food issues to become young leaders in the food and climate justice movements.

Project Avary (Bay Area)

$20,000 for its Outdoor Leaders Training Project. This program builds a pool of young outdoor leaders by providing a variety of graduated training opportunities and experiences. The program begins by exposing youth to the outdoors over a period of years, to build confidence, wilderness skills, and a life-affirming vision among at-risk youth. Individualized training plans ensure youth are able to develop skills in areas of their own personal interests and aptitudes. The project culminates by connecting trained youth graduates with real employment experience both at Project Avary and with partner organizations.

PUEBLO (Bay Area)

$11,500 to integrate day-long trips to regional parks/farms, and urban park stewardship activities, into their during-school academic program, after-school leadership development programs, and summer job training program. 10-12th grade classes in our partner schools will learn about Bay Area ecosystems and organic farms through first-hand experience, and then apply this knowledge to improve neglected parks in East Oakland by installing school/community gardens, restoring native ecosystems, and leading outdoor education/interpretive programs.

Real Options for City Kids (San Francisco)

$20,000. ROCK strives to break the cycle of poverty and violence in Visitacion Valley. Through a combination of outdoor adventures, community service and leadership training, and by implementing best youth development practices, the organization has the unique ability to empower its participants with the tools and experiences necessary to become successful, productive adults.

San Joaquin River Stewardship (San Joaquin Valley)

$7,500 to involve 90 historically under-served Latino and Hmong youth in a yearlong exploration and experience of the San Joaquin River. Youth will participate in a series of on-the water activities including skill training, interpretive river trips, river cleanup events, and a celebration race. Youth will learn of the effort to restore Chinook salmon to the river, the need for stewardship, and make culturally appropriate presentations to their communities.

Sonoma Valley Teen Services (North Coast)

$12,000 for the Outdoors to Excellence program. The purpose of Outdoors to Excellence is to continue to inspire our youth through education and hands-on experience with the natural environment. At the end of their trips, the teens are happier, more social and open to new experiences, and enthusiastic to plan their next field trip. The Outdoors to Excellence program we will provide them with opportunities to learn and grow through the exploration of their local environment. Outdoors to Excellence includes: one two-night camping trip, four field trip experiences in Sonoma County, and 12 mountain bike trips. And 15 teens will participate in the Open Space Preserve Patrol.

Sunrise Middle School (Bay Area)

$11,000 for the Sunrise Middle School (SMS) Outdoor Program, which exposes underserved 7th and 8th grade youth to outdoor experiences like caving, rafting, high ropes, horseback riding, as well as to activities designed to give them reflective time in nature - e.g., backpacking, hiking, and sit-alones. Also, the school has "adopted" a creek near the campus and will be in charge of keeping it clean.

Urban Promise Academy (Bay Area)

$20,000 for the Wilderness Independence and Leadership Development Program, which enables all 315 of UPA's students to experience over-night and day-long outdoor expeditions, and to participate in community-building conservation efforts at their school and around the Bay Area. These outdoor experiences will deepen students' relationships with teachers, friends and family, build a connection to nature, and reinforce the social, emotional and life effectiveness skills imparted by their academic environment.

Urban Tilth (Bay Area)

$35,000 for the Homegrown Experts program which engages 30 young people age 14-18 in a 6-week summer apprenticeship that connects farms, food, and low-income urban youth. Led by five young adult Crew Leaders and three 18-year old Crew Seconds (all of whom are program graduates), and using a culturally competent youth-development model, the Program offers 100 hours of paid, hands-on urban agricultural education, vocational training, community service, and employment. Apprentices develop transferable skills by planting and cultivating community farms and gardens to serve the "food desert." Apprentices also spend two weekends working (and camping) on organic local farms owned by farmers of color.

Wildplaces (San Joaquin)

$14,000. WildPlaces protects, restores, and serves the wild and rural places in the southern Sierra and the youth and adult communities within the San Joaquin Valley by designing, planning and implementing volunteer-driven habitat restoration, leadership, youth development and gang prevention projects. Since 2001, they have provided many valuable and effective projects to educate and empower youth regarding watershed protection, youth leadership, empowerment, and direct action to create a more sustainable and equitable future for all. Their programs serve youth within the San Joaquin Valley regions and increase the participation of under-served youth in meaningful outdoor programs that include meadow

restorations, river stewardship, giant sequoia plantings, native plant nursery development, willow restoration, art, and rangeland preservation.

Youth Enrichment Strategies (Bay Area)

$20,000 for its Camp-to-Community (C2C) program that utilizes positive youth development practices to empower teens in developing leadership skills and translates these skills to the community and world of work. Supported by YES staff, C2C youth serve as leaders through Counselor-in-Training positions at summer camp, mentor and lead in YES' Family Camp and Day Outing programs; and intern at local "green" agencies.

Youth for Change (North Sacramento Valley)

$25,000. Using an experiential education format, Youth for Change will collaborate with a range of agencies to implement a new wilderness program for youth, ages 13-18, referred from Butte County Child Welfare Services and the Southside Oroville African American Family and Cultural Center. The intense, 9-month program of learning and wilderness activities will provide culturally diverse youth, having little or no outdoor experience, the opportunity to learn about self, others, and the environment.

2012 Awards

2012 Catalyst Awards

Acorn Community Enterprises (Shasta Cascade) was awarded $7,000 for the Teen Challenge program, a 12-month outdoor leadership program for participants of the Acorn/Hill Country Teen Program. With the outstanding natural environment of Shasta County as a classroom, Teen Challenge will focus on connecting youth with the outdoors, as well as the development of outdoor skills and self-confidence. Activities will include wilderness safety, hiking/backpacking, a ropes course, whitewater rafting, rock climbing, and learning about local environmental issues.

Downtown High Get Out and Learn (San Francisco) was awarded $10,000 for its Get Out and Learn Wilderness Expedition project. The Catalyst Grant will fund GOAL's cornerstone ten-day wilderness expedition each semester, fall and spring for up to thirty-eight students per semester.

Equine Alliance Youth Foundation (Central Coast) was awarded $12,000 to promote positive youth development by providing year-round, experiential outdoor learning activities utilizing horses to engage underserved and at-risk youth participants in their own life improvement process in San Luis Obispo County.

Forestry Educators, Inc. (Mixed) was awarded $15,000 for its California Forestry Challenge project. The California Forestry Challenge is a competitive event for high school students in technical forestry and forestry issues, in existence since 2003. At each of the four events planned for 2012, students will spend four days in the forest interacting with natural resource

professionals. Prior to attending, students study online materials and sometimes train with a local forester. Students leave the event with a presentation that they can give at school and in their community.

Fresno United Neighborhoods (San Joaquin) was awarded $15,000 for its Life and Environmental Science program, The Life and Environmental Science Program (LES) provides informal hands-on learning opportunities that increase awareness and interest in our natural world through field trips, recreational activities, and presentations. LES will bring urban youth to the San Joaquin River and various natural sites to learn about the ecology, habitat, and the importance of conservation.

Fresno Police Activities League (San Joaquin Valley) was awarded $7,000 to the Fresno PAL Mentor program which connects police personnel with at-risk youth to provide guidance and mentorship. The program was established to help prevent the youth of Fresno from joining gangs, dropping out of school, or turning to drugs and crime. Participants will enjoy great outdoor activities like hiking, camping, and swimming.

Growing Up Wild-The Children Are Our Future (Central Coast) was awarded $12,000 for The Boys in the Woodz Program, a coming-of-age program that provides groups of underserved teen boys with educational experiences in the wilderness. Groups engage in four weekends of hands-on outdoor activities that foster life skills, health and fitness, and nature education.

Movimiento (Bay Area) was awarded $12,000 for their Youth Environmental Leadership program, in which underserved youth from the Bay Area and Nevada County work together to learn about food/farming, watersheds, and cultural and socio-environmental issues through wilderness excursions, farming, indigenous youth initiatives, and outdoor mental health. Urban and rural youth spend time in each other's home communities, exchanging experiences and learning strategies for environmental, personal, and social change.

Noyo Food Forest (North Coast) was awarded $7,000 for their Learning Garden program at the Fort Bragg High School. There, students will grow organic vegetables that go directly to the Fort Bragg Unified School District lunch trays and learn about food production, nutritional choices, and environmental stewardship.

PUEBLO (People United for a Better Life in Oakland) (Bay Area) was awarded $11,500 in order to add place-based agro-ecology into their academic and job-training programs. Through day and overnight trips to regional parks/farms, hands-on field studies classes, and work projects taking place in urban gardens and open spaces, 200 East Oakland youth will learn about Bay Area ecosystems and organic agriculture through first-hand experience, and then apply this knowledge to improve East Oakland parks and schoolyards through edible garden installation, tree planting, ecosystem restoration, and public education.

Rancho Cordova Police Activities League (Sacramento) was awarded $5,000 for its PAL Outdoor Aquatics Adventure Camp to The Police Activities League (PAL) Summer Aquatics

Camp to educate and provide gear for Rancho Cordova's at-risk youth on basic water safety through guided water-based recreational activities at the Sacramento State Aquatics Center.

Silkworm Media-Fractured Atlas (Bay Area) was awarded $6,000 for artistic teen media producers from the Bay Area to take ”outdoor master classes” with adult artists and outdoors facilitators who introduce them to specific natural sites in California. As minority up-and-coming artists, these youth connect their art to the outdoors, expanding their seeing and gaining insight into the state's beauty. They will document this outdoor exposure through writing, photography, audio and video production to show to their communities and expand their creative portfolios for college. Sonoma Valley Teen Services (Bay Area) was awarded $10,000 for its Outdoors to Excellence 2012 Project.

Sonoma Valley Teen Services (SVTS) and Sonoma Ecology Center (SEC) will continue partnering (for the 3rd year) to provide youth opportunities to learn and grow as young adults while exploring their local environment, helping to preserve our open spaces, and enrolling their friends to lead active lives as well.

Stop the Violence Movement Inc. (San Joaquin Valley) was awarded $10,000 for Project XPLORE which will empower participants with the experiences, skills, and opportunities to access the outdoors while developing leadership and life skills that can have positive impacts on their lives and community. This 12-month program will train and develop a leadership group of 10 inner-city youth through the CA State Parks' Outdoor Youth Connection that will plan and implement at least two additional outdoor events for peers including a recreational and a service project.

Sunrise Middle School (San Jose) was awarded $12,000 for the Sunrise Middle School (SMS) Outdoor Program, which exposes underserved 7th and 8th grade youth to outdoor experiences like caving, rafting, high ropes, horseback riding, as well as to activities designed to give them reflective time in nature - e.g., backpacking, hiking, and sit-alones. Also, the school has "adopted" a creek near the campus and will be in charge of keeping it clean.

Waterside Workshops (Bay Area) was awarded $10,000 for its Waterside Workshops Boating Center in the summer. The Boating Center will be staffed by our youth interns and will provide services including free, youth-lead paddling experiences, low-cost, accessible boat rentals, and boating opportunities for disabled youth and adults. The Boating Center will have the two-fold purpose of providing vital job training for at-risk youth and making boating accessible to community members regardless of income level or physical ability.

Wee Little Traveler (Sacramento) was awarded $10,000 for its Family Gardening and Environmental project for foster youth. The proposed activities of the Family Gardening and Environmental Project will increase awareness and consumption of fruits and vegetables; encourage healthy eating habits among children and their families; and educate families on the economical and health benefits of gardening to build a greater appreciation for the environment.

Westside Youth Center (San Joaquin Valley) was awarded $8,000 for their From Fields to Mountains project which will engage and educate low-income youth from the rural farming communities of west Fresno County to appreciate California's wilderness and to become environmentally knowledgeable on the ecological nature of the outdoors. Increasing the awareness of 60 teens who have limited or no experience of the outdoors will awaken their interest in conservation of wilderness areas along with developing youth leadership skills for the promotion of outdoor green space in their communities.

Wild Places-SEE (San Joaquin Valley) was awarded $10,500 in order to team two groups of underserved youth with biologists, outdoor educators, U.S. Forest Service personnel, teachers, artists, activists, and counselors for two backpacking trips in the Sequoia National Forest. They will also conduct two river stewardship projects along the Tule River Watershed. These four projects will bring more than 75 youth from Lamont, Arvin, and Tulare County to experience hands-on habitat restoration, backpacking, watershed education and restoration, climbing, nature awareness, community building, activism, and environmental stewardship.

Wilderness Arts and Literacy Collaborative (San Francisco) was awarded $10,000 for its Using Environmental Education as the Framework for Academics project at two inner-city high schools in San Francisco. WALC utilizes environmental education concepts taught through place-based field studies and stewardship projects in local, state, and national parks in California as integrating frameworks for a rigorous and relevant academic curriculum that focuses on the experiences and communities of our multicultural student population.

Women’s Mountain Passages (Shasta Cascade) was awarded $15,000 for its Girl's Rite - Youth In Action project that uses an interagency approach to integrate wilderness and environmental programming into the lives of at-risk middle school girls through: stewardship; service learning; experiential, discovery based education; group mentoring and outdoor recreational activities. This year-round, youth development program focuses on improving health and well-being, enhancing self-reliance, establishing intergenerational connections to community environmental leaders, and enhancing leadership skills through cross-age peer teaching.

2012 Impact Awards

A Home Away from Homelessness (San Francisco) was awarded $10,000 for its "Outdoor Education Adventures" to open up a whole new world of "firsts" to 25 homeless and formerly homeless San Francisco teens -- many of whom have never taken a hike or explored a beach. Staged over summer, winter and holiday school breaks, these adventure trips -- which include kayaking, skiing, whitewater rafting & backpacking, etc -- enable at-risk youth to explore nature, grow stronger physically and emotionally, forge strong bonds with caring adults as well as their peers, and develop the skills and assurance to break the cycle of homelessness.

Bay Area Outreach and Recreation (Bay Area) was awarded $45,000 for the Youth Outdoor Adventures program, which intends to increase the self-confidence, self-perception, and independence of 65 youth with physical disabilities and visual impairments by taking them out of the city and into nature. The program exposes youth to a range of outdoor activities and environments, showing them how to overcome obstacles, encouraging them to see obstacles as opportunities rather than as barriers, and providing them with hands-on, multi-sensory environmental education--all to encourage their future outdoor exploration.

Bay Area Wilderness Training (Bay Area) was awarded $20,000 for the Front Country Leadership Training (FLT) program. This program provides teachers & youth workers with wilderness skills training, free outdoor equipment libraries, and financial, logistical, & consultative support, equipping them to facilitate outdoor experiences.

Brighter Beginnings (Bay Area) was awarded $35,000 for its Dads in the Park Program, which connects at-risk and low-income teen fathers and their children to the outdoors through structured program activities that increase positive parenting, physical activity, effective approaches to problem solving and goal setting, sense of community, and healthy living while establishing potentially life-long patterns of using the region's parks. Connecting these young fathers to the outdoors will offer them outdoor recreation that many do not have access to while providing a space for important bonding time between father and child.

Community Partners for Youth (San Jose) was awarded $20,000 for its Step-Up/Ahead Outdoor Education to change life directions. The program takes inner city youth out of their city neighborhood and into nature trust and teamwork are developed and deepened as the foundation for the in-school/in-city components.

Environmental Traveling Companions (Bay Area) was awarded $25,000 for its Youth LEAD Program to provide outdoor leadership adventures for underserved youth. The program offers three summer outdoor leadership courses that follow a watershed from the sea to the mountains. Other core activities include: ropes course, sea kayaking, whitewater rafting, backpacking, and an organic farm stay.

Farmworker Institute of Education and Leadership Development (FIELD) was awarded $15,000 for its FIELD Youth Environmental Education (FYEEd) Program which intends to provide public access tours of conservation areas to the youth and families of rural farm worker

communities in South Kern County. The model of engagement will consistently expose the youth participants and their families to outdoor education and conservation topics to foster a connection to active recreational activities for youth and civic engagement opportunities for the community as a whole.

Girls Inc. of Alameda County (Bay Area) was awarded $30,000 for its Eureka! Teen Achievement Program, a five-year youth development program designed to inspire girls from under-performing schools to persist and pursue post-secondary education and careers in the environment, science, technology, engineering and math. Through the Eureka! girls explore the environment, take positive risks, learn, practice and apply new skills, and build their self-confidence through hands-on, minds-on exposure and activities in outdoor spaces around them in their own community and throughout the Greater Bay Area.

Native American Health Center (Bay Area) was awarded $20,000 for its Chae-Mal Wilderness program, which is dedicated to connecting American Indian and other underserved youth who reside in the San Francisco Bay Area to the outdoors while strengthening their cultural knowledge of American Indian traditional practices associated specifically with educational and recreational activities. These activities will introduce, revive and nurture youths' cultural connections with the land and environment, and support their holistic development into adulthood.

NatureBridge (San Joaquin Valley) was awarded $15,000 for its WildLink program, which transforms underserved youth through a series of powerful outdoor experiences offered at no cost in wilderness and local communities. WildLink educates, inspires, and empowers youth to become environmental stewards with lifelong connections to the natural world in the wilderness and at home.

Pie Ranch (San Francisco) was awarded $30,000 for its Youth Education program. This program helps Bay Area youth get outside in their own communities, in their school gardens, and at the organization’s sustainable San Mateo County farm, for hands-on farming, gardening and cooking experiences and lessons about the environment, food, farming and nutrition. The program aims to improve the lives of young people from diverse communities by connecting them with nature, the environment and agriculture, increasing their awareness of where food comes from, and teaching them to make healthful, sustainable food choices.

Planting Justice (Oakland) was awarded $25,000 for its Food Justice, Culinary Arts, and Permaculture Design curriculum at both Mandela High School and McClymonds High School, providing students with the opportunity to engage in sustainable practices of urban agriculture that promote community health, reconnect youth to nature through food, and expand access to fresh produce in an under-served urban community.

Project AVARY (Bay Area) was awarded $25,000 for its Outdoor Leaders Training Project. This program builds a pool of young outdoor leaders by providing a variety of graduated training opportunities and experiences. The program begins by exposing youth to the outdoors over a

period of years, to build confidence, wilderness skills, and a life-affirming vision among at-risk youth. Individualized training plans assure youth are able to develop skills in areas of their own personal interests and aptitudes. The project culminates by connecting trained youth graduates with real employment experience both at Project AVARY and with partner organizations.

Regents of the University of California-Adventure Risk Challenge (San Joaquin Valley) is awarded $30,000 for the Building Bridges program which will expose youth to the wilderness and engage youth in multiple outdoor experiences to create a lasting connection to the outdoors. This is an exceptional leadership opportunity for the under-resourced high school youth of the Central Valley. This program is an innovative, year-round outdoor program that engages UC Merced students as mentors, tutors, and instructors.

San Francisco Outward Bound (Bay Area) was awarded $20,000 for its California's Youth Leadership Corps (YLC), a summer scholarship program that offers a diversity of Bay Area high school students the opportunity to participate in a challenging 14-day outdoor learning expedition. The one-year program that will engage YLC graduates and students in our school-based programs who demonstrate a commitment to leadership and engaging more youth in the outdoors.

Santa Clara County Office of Education (Bay Area) was awarded $15,000 for the Alternative Education Program at Sunol School provides outdoor experiences to at-risk, urban students. This program, in partnership with Walden West Science Center, will provide a tiered series of outdoor experiences, aligned with the school's science curriculum, which will provide opportunities for environmental education, youth development, and leadership.

Seven Tepees (San Francisco) was awarded $20,000 for its Get Outside! Program that continues Seven Tepees' 17-year tradition of getting urban, underserved, young people outside by immersing them in meaningful outdoor experiences over the course of seven years.

Sierra Native Alliance (Sierra Foothills) was awarded $25,000 for The Native Youth Conservation Project (NYCP) designed to support the social, cultural, economic and environmental engagement needs of Native American youth in the Sierra Nevada Foothills by facilitating a year-long environmental internship program. Twelve youth interns will be trained in environmental education, restoration, advocacy, and media skills; and will form a leadership group to produce peer-education materials, and facilitate outdoor education experiences for an additional 150 youth during the project year.

Student Conservation Association (Bay Area) is awarded $15,000 for Green Jobs, Green Life Program, a new year-round afterschool, and weekend program that engages youth in intensive outdoor experiences, community service, hands-on environmental education and green jobs training. It offers paid conservation work and/or internships in the summer as well.

Urban Promise Academy (Bay Area) is awarded $30,000 for the Wilderness Independence and Leadership Development Program, which enables all 315 of UPA's students to experience over-night and day-long outdoor expeditions, and to participate in community-building conservation

efforts at their school and around the Bay Area. These outdoor experiences will deepen students' relationships with teachers, friends and family, build a connection to nature, and reinforce the social, emotional and life effectiveness skills imparted by their academic environment.

US Forest Services-Central California (San Joaquin Valley) is awarded $15,000 for their Generation Green Youth Stewardship Program which will select 18 underserved diverse youth from urban and rural areas to complete summer stewardship internships. The interns will improve public land, gain ownership, and be exposed to natural resource careers.

Watsonville Wetlands Watch (Watsonville) was awarded $30,000 for its Wetland Stewards middle school program to conduct weekly action-oriented field trips to the Watsonville wetlands for youth in the 7th and 8th grades, who are mentored by high school students trained in wetland activities. The goal of the program is to foster wetland stewardship among youth, by building their personal connection with the wetlands and increasing their understanding of the important ecological functions that the wetlands serve.

Youth Employment Partnership was awarded $20,000 for its Youth Outdoors! (YO!) program which will provide sixty Alameda County youth, who are on probation or in foster care, with a year-round program providing leadership development, environmental education and community engagement activities.

Youth Enrichment Strategies (Richmond) was awarded $25,000 for its Camp-to-Community (C2C) program that utilizes positive youth development practices to empower teens in developing leadership skills and translates these skills to the community and world of work. Supported by YES staff, C2C youth serve as leaders through Counselor-in-Training positions at summer camp, mentor and lead in YES' Family Camp and Day Outing programs; and intern at local "green" agencies.

2012 Infrastructure Awards

City of Redding (Shasta Cascade) is recommended an award of $115,000 for the Jump River Rocks & Ropes Adventure Playground in Caldwell Park, an adventure playground for youth aged 5-12 within a large urban park where no comparable facility exists. The playground will serve all city youth within that age range, in particular youth residing in low-income neighborhoods that are within the immediate half mile service area. The wilderness-themed rocks and ropes design recreates a natural setting while meeting current safety standards expected of a modern playground.

Rotary Club of San Jose Foundation (Bay Area) is recommended an award of $135,000 for the Rotary Playgarden, a one-of-a-kind playground for Santa Clara County that is fully accessible for children of all abilities. The Playgarden will be a public facility located on a seven-acre site in Guadalupe River Park in San Jose and available to all residents free of charge.

Round Valley Elementary School (North Coast) is recommended an award of $50,000 for its ASES (After School Education and Safety) HEART (Homework, Enrichment Activities,

Recreation, Team) Park N Play Project, a natural playground and discovery park for the youth and families who live in and near Covelo. This project will provide a valuable venue for free play, organized activities, fitness, a nature study trail, and bike trail and will be the first ever public playground in Covelo. The Grants Review Committee recommends that this grant be awarded as a match to additional funds raised by the organization for this project. Staff is evaluating the capacity of FYI and Round Valley to implement a grant of this kind.

Urban Tilth is recommended an award of $200,000 for The Roots and Restoration Farm, a 3.65 acre agricultural park and riparian restoration learning center that provides rich outdoor experiences for children, youth, and adults in one of the region's most urban neighborhoods - North Richmond. The Farm will offer "you pick it" learning gardens, an outdoor community kitchen, an earthwork amphitheater, garden and creek classrooms, a petting zoo, and a working farm providing fresh produce through a youth run market stand and a community-supported agriculture (CSA) program, all wrapped up in an agricultural co-op incubator to create meaningful employment and training for young people

EXHIBIT 12: JUSTICE OUTSIDE MAJOR GRANT AGREEMENT FINAL REPORT

JUSTICE OUTSIDE

Major Grant Agreement
2022 Close Out Report

As part of the Major Grant Agreement (MGA) with the Stewardship Council (SC), Justice Outside submits bi-annual reports to the SC. These reports include updates on Justice Outside's efforts to provide greater resources to connect children, youth, and young adults in the PG&E service territory to the outdoors, with particular attention to serving the needs of underrepresented youth. Specifically, these reports include updates in the areas of board development, staffing, finances/investments, and programming, with a focus on grants that were made using Stewardship Council funds.

The following report and attached documents provide an overview of Justice Outside's significant accomplishments in 2022 as well as a history of the grantmaking completed with SC funding over the life of the MGA. 2022 marked the final year of the MGA and Justice Outside is proud to report on the fantastic accomplishments of this collaborative effort!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

THE PATH AHEAD 2
FINANCES 3
DEVELOPMENT 3
COMMUNICATIONS 4
PROGRAMS 5
- Grantee Cohort Series 5
- Liberated Paths 5
- Outdoor Educators Institute 6
- Rising Leaders Fellowship 6
- Cultural Relevancy Series 6
- Training & Support 7

STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL MGA CLOSE-OUT: INTEREST SPEND DOWN 7
- Stewardship Council Grantmaking 7
- 2022 Grantmaking Overview 8
  - 2022 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants 8
    - 2022 Grantees 9
- 2021 Grantmaking Overview 13
  - 2021 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants 13



2021 Grantees 14
2020 Grantmaking Overview 17
2020 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants 18
2020 Grantees 19
2019 Grantmaking Overview 24
2019 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants 24
2019 Kaiser Permanente Partnership 25
2019 Grantees 26
2018 Grantmaking Overview 31
2018 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants 31
2018 Kaiser Permanente Partnership 32
2018 Grantees 32
2017 Grantmaking Overview 37
2017 Multi-Year and One Year Grants 37
2017 Kaiser Permanente Partnership 38
2017 Grantees 39
2016 Grantmaking Overview 43
2016 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants 43
2015 Grantmaking Overview 44
2015 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants 44
2014 Grantmaking Overview 45
2014 Multi-Year and One-Year Awards 46
2014 Grantees 46

THE PATH AHEAD

Justice Outside looks forward to our future growth and continued expansion as an organization in the coming years; while also strengthening the many relationships and connections that we have built over the life of the MGA with organizations throughout the Bay Area. Over the course of 2022, Justice Outside expanded its structure and staff on a national scale in line with our Strategic Refresh and Implementation Plan. Our team now operates in every timezone, our network spans the United States from east to west, and we are poised to continue to establish ourselves as a thought-leader and innovative grantmaking organization in the years to come.

We continue to support Bay Area-based youth-focused organizations through our Youth Access to Nature Fund grantmaking program; adopted from the San Francisco Foundation in 2022. This fund



specifically aligns and provides an avenue for continued connection with many of the grantees supported throughout the life of our partnership with the Stewardship Council.

STAFFING

Over the course of 2022, we have doubled in size from a team of 12 to a team of 24 amazing employees. New positions added in 2022 include:

- Training and Support Manager (2)
- HR Specialist
- Director of Donor Engagement
- Director of Programs
- Grantmaking and Program Manager (3)
- Database Manager
- Communications Manager
- Director of Communications
- Communications Associate
- Movement Network Coordinator
- Development Manager
- Fresno Program Coordinator – OEI

FINANCES

As of November 30, 2022 Justice Outside had $9,841,938 in total assets and $167,510 in Accrued Liabilities. Revenues for 2022 so far include $71,595 in net investment income (realized gains/loss, less investment banking fees), $4,092,531 in direct public support, and $433,487 in other revenue. Total 2022 grant expenses so far are $1,292,230. Additional expenses include $1,481,103 in personnel-related expenses; and $1,060,155 in program and other operating expenses

DEVELOPMENT

Justice Outside's staff and Board of Directors set a budget goal of raising $4,945,500 in direct public support in 2022. Our 2022 pre-audited numbers indicate that we exceeded our stated goal, having raised $5,327,644 as of December 31, 2022. When factoring this amount, it includes: grant awards, year-end Board gifts, including employer matches, as well as annual appeal support from individual donors.

JUSTICE OUTSIDE

COMMUNICATIONS

We are so excited to welcome a full Communications & Advocacy Team to Justice Outside this year including:

- Noorjahan Akbar (she/her) is our new Director of Communications & Advocacy! For the past decade, Noorjahan has helped nonprofits dedicated to making the world safer for marginalized and invisibilized members of our global community tell their story. At the Young Center for Immigrant Children's Rights, she led the communications department supporting the organization's policy advocacy and development goals. At Women for Women International, she helped tell the stories of women around the world who are building their lives and fighting inequity and patriarchal violence.
- Sussan Garcia (any pronouns) is our Communications & Advocacy Manager! She graduated in May 2020 at New York University Abu Dhabi with a BA in Arab Crossroads Studies and a minor in Political Science. This year, she experienced her first overnight camping and hiking trip through the Peruvian Andes, followed up with more hikes in Patagonia. Despite the discomfort and growing pains, Sussan hopes to reconnect with the outdoors through such challenging, thought-provoking, and spiritual experiences.
- Jacqueline Delgadillo (she/her) is our Communications & Advocacy Associate! In 2016, as a DACA recipient, Jacqueline studied abroad in Mexico City where she conducted research on deportation and family separation. After completing her Bachelor of Arts in Spanish Cultural Studies from the University of California, Riverside, she went on to work at the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) as a paralegal working on immigrants' rights and LGBTQI+, gender and reproductive justice issues. Jacqueline has also been freelance writing since 2020 and her work can be found in Teen Vogue, Refinery29, HelloGiggles, and more. In her new role at Justice Outside, she's happy to merge her love of storytelling and advocacy to help create change.

We published four blogs in a series about the UN's Climate Conference, also known as COP 27 in the second half of 2022. The blogs highlight the work and solutions of Black, Indigenous, and Leaders of Color for taking an intersectional approach in the ongoing effort to mitigate climate change. Read them on our website:

Diné Nihi Keyah Project: Indigenous-led Land Use Shifting Away From Extractive Models
Climate Resilient Communities: Youth Voices in Addressing Climate Change
Vallejo Project: Green Workforce Development
Sustainable Housing Initiative: Understanding and Valuing Community Knowledge

JUSTICE OUTSIDE

In acknowledgement of Native American Heritage Month, we published a blog centering the importance of Indigenous knowledge in environmentalist work. We took steps towards increasing our advocacy work by publishing statements on the water crisis in Jackson, Mississippi and in solidarity with Puerto Rico following Hurricane Fiona.

PROGRAMS

Grantee Cohort Series

The 2022 Grantee Cohort Series provided capacity-building and community-building opportunities for our Stewardship Council, Youth Access to Nature, and Rising Leaders Fellowship grantee cohorts, using a critical racial equity lens to explore topics chosen by the cohort. The series for 2022 included the following virtual sessions::

- *Leading to Empower* - June 8.
- *Building Strategic Partnerships* - August 25
- *Trauma First Aid* - October 13
- *Evaluation and Impact Assessment* - December 1

Liberated Paths

In 2022 we grew our grantmaking to almost 2 million dollars awarded to 95 grantee partners, across 9 states! We have restructured our grantmaking into cohorts based on region and focus area:

Southwest

The re-granting process for 2020 and 2021 southwest grantees is finishing up for the year. Through audio, video and written reports, our partners continue to share their impacts in the region and underscore the importance of resourcing Black, Indigenous and People of Color in the outdoor space and beyond. We continue to deepen relationships with our partners and will be providing additional capacity building support to 25 organizations with a focus on southwest partners through the inaugural Resilia partnership.

Youth Access to Nature

Youth Access to Nature's impact continues as we wrap up 2022. We have distributed $478,000 in funds and supported 25 grantee partners. Our average grant awards range from $25,000-$40,000 annually with a minimum two year commitment. As the conversation continues regarding Youth Access to Nature this fund is steadfast in prioritizing funding for organizations and initiatives led by Black, Indigenous and People of Color communities within the Bay Area.

Pacific Northwest and California Coast



We are wrapping up the re-granting process for grantees from 2020 and 2021 cohorts! We are in the planning phase of contracting with The Management Center to provide our first capacity building session of 2023, stay tuned for further details.

Lenapehoking (Delaware Valley) Watershed

Grant agreement execution and payment disbursement is coming to a close for the 2022 cohort with $580K distributed across 29 grantee partners. Along with the other regions, we will be starting up capacity building sessions in 2023 as well as conducting site visits and in-person meetups with the grantees. Looking forward to sharing more about the great work the grantees are doing in the new year!

Outdoor Educators Institute

As quickly and busily as it began, this 14-week intensive has come to a powerful close for three cohorts of young leaders, learners, and nature lovers. Reflecting on a season of so many experiences, the view is staggering!

The Fresno cohort slept under the stars in Yosemite Valley and received the most formal trainings of the three cohorts thanks to their proximity to Cal State Parks; the North Bay cohort tried three open-water sports and practiced with several different immersive educational approaches; and the South Bay cohort completed OEI's first bike touring camping trip and forged a few new exciting partnerships with organizations in the greater Bay Area.

Rising Leaders Fellowship

The 2022 Rising Leaders Fellowship reached a successful culmination this November, celebrated along with the first rains of the season here in California. Our final sessions were Fund Development in September, which was brilliantly co-hosted by Geenah and Danielle from Justice Outside's Development team, and Self Care in October, to bookend our conversations so focused on growth and giving.

Over the course of the program, the Fellows have been developing individual projects aimed at advancing justice within their own organizations, whether they work for public parks, private companies, non-profits, or are building their own initiative. During our in-person culmination at Preservation Park in Oakland, and our virtual culmination the following week, participants learned of each other's work and shared with guests via a hybrid presentation model that accommodated all levels of comfort with ongoing COVID concerns.

Cultural Relevancy Series

We took 2022 "off" from facilitating the series in order to build staff capacity and plan for the



continued national and virtual expansion of the program.

Training & Support

In 2022, Justice Outside has provided or is currently providing Training & Support to **38** organizations across the country. Projected 2022 revenue is $545K, which is $55.5K short of our 2022 goal due to staffing transitions which resulted in the extension of contracts and payments into 2023. Our team continues to offer hybrid models of training and has begun to offer in-person training again.

Stewardship Council MGA Close Out: Interest Spend Down

Per the MGA, Justice Outside will spend down the earned interest on the MGA funds to "connect underserved youth residing within the PG&E service territory with the outdoors or for administrative and fundraising costs to the extent that they support programs to connect children, youth, and young adults in the PG&E service territory to nature, parks, open spaces, and the outdoors or to provide seed money for a permanent program for young people in the PG&E service territory who are least likely to enjoy the wonder of California's natural beauty."

Justice Outside's total gains on the investment MGA funds are $2,883,802.93 at time of final principal expenditure, minus investment fees. Justice Outside has accounted for these funds in support of the following programs:

> **Youth Access to Nature Fund -** supporting further grantmaking to youth-focused organizations in the greater Bay Area/PG&E service area and the associated administrative and fundraising costs of that program.
>
> **Outdoor Educators Institute** - supporting program expansion to Fresno and deepening our commitment in the greater Bay Area and the associated administrative and fundraising costs of this program.

2022	Total Program Budget (excluding personnel)	2022 Investment Funds Distribution - Program	2022 Investment Funds Distribution - Admin & Fundraising	Total Investment Fund Contribution
YAN	$975,000	$970,200	$977,339	$1,947,539
OEI	$493,000	$450,600	$485,664	$936,264
			Total Amount	$2,883,803

JUSTICE OUTSIDE

Stewardship Council Grantmaking

In celebration of all of the amazing organizations that Justice Outside has been able to support over the course of our Major Grant Agreement with the Stewardship Council, we are proud to provide a full historic record of grantees by year below for the life of the Major Grant Agreement. This list represents hundreds of youth with greater access to and connection with the outdoors, environment, and their communities for years to come.

We are thrilled to report that over the course of the granting period, Stewardship Council funding has provided over $7.9M directly to 100+ individual organizations connecting youth with the outdoors with over 65% of them receiving multi-year grants.

MGA Grantmaking History: Table of Contents

2022 Grantmaking Overview 7
2022 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants 7
2022 Grantees 8
2021 Grantmaking Overview 11
2021 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants 11
2021 Grantees 12
2020 Grantmaking Overview 16
2020 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants 16
2020 Grantees 17
2019 Grantmaking Overview 22
2019 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants 22
2019 Kaiser Permanente Partnership 24
2019 Grantees 24
2018 Grantmaking Overview 29
2018 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants 29
2018 Kaiser Permanente Partnership 31
2018 Grantees 31
2017 Grantmaking Overview 35
2017 Multi-Year and One Year Grants 35
2017 Kaiser Permanente Partnership 37
2017 Grantees 37
2016 Grantmaking Overview 41
2016 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants 41

2015 Grantmaking Overview 43
2015 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants 43
2014 Grantmaking Overview 44
2014 Multi-Year and One-Year Awards 44
2014 Grantees 45

2022 Grantmaking Overview

In 2022, Justice Outside awarded grants to 23 organizations (21 multi-year grants and 2 one-year grants) totaling $668,200. These grantees supported youth in several regions of PG&E's service area, providing transformational outdoor education and open-space experiences to thousands of young people..

2022 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants

Organization Legal Name	2022 Award
Acta Non Verba	$50,000
Adventure Risk Challenge	$18,000
Amah Mutsun Land Trust	$50,000
Brothers On the Rise	$50,000
Brown Girl Surf	$50,000
California Indian Museum and Cultural Center	$50,000
Camp Phoenix	$50,000
Communities United for Restorative Youth Justice	$19,000
Eastern Sierra Conservation Corps	$19,000
Elevated Legacy	$19,000
Insight Garden Program	$10,000
Movimiento	$19,000
Oakland Leaf	$19,000
Our Wilderness Now	$19,000
Outdoor Educators Institute	$60,000
Peacemakers, Inc.	$19,000
Planting Justice	$19,000
Project Avary	$18,000
Sunrise Middle School	$19,000
Urban Sprouts	$18,000
Warrior Institute	$19,000
Waterside Workshops	$17,200

Weekend-Adventures	$19,000
YES Nature to Neighborhoods	$18,000
2022 Grantmaking	**$668,200**

*Organizations highlighted in purple represent single-year grants

2022 Grantees

Acta Non Verba $50,000 awarded in 2022, second installment of a grant award for their Urban Youth Farm Project that connects youth of color from East Oakland to a nature-based farm program. Youth learn about healthy eating and sustainable farming, and help youth build savings for their educational future.

Adventure Risk Challenge $18,000 awarded in 2022, third installment of a grant award for their programs that provide Wilderness-based literacy and leadership development summer courses for English-learning high school students.

Amah Mutsun Land Trust $50,000 awarded in 2022, second installment of a grant award to support Native American youth ages 12-17 to participate in summer camp programming focused on Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) and conservation along the California coast.

Brothers on the Rise $50,000 awarded in 2022, second installment of a grant award for their programs which provide access to nature to low-income urban youth of color, integrating outdoor-based life skills, leadership development, and environmental career opportunities.

Brown Girl Surf $50,000 awarded in 2022, second installment of a grant award to support programs that integrate awareness of marine and coastal ecology through hands-on explorations and projects, while surfing. This program provides girls with an opportunity to confront and overcome fear, and develop skills in self-reliance, confidence, and leadership.

California Indian Museum and Cultural Center $50,000 awarded in 2022, second installment of a grant award to support their work in empowering youth to reclaim California Indian environmental stewardship of oak woodlands through culturally relevant caretaking.

Camp Phoenix $50,000 awarded in 2022, second installment of a grant award to support programs that provide low-income, middle school students with outdoor-based adventure opportunities, educational enrichment, and social-emotional learning.



Communities United for Restorative Youth Justice $19,000 awarded in 2022, third installment of a grant to support youth who have been impacted by multiple criminalizing youth-serving systems, including foster care, juvenile and adult criminal injustice system and punitive school districts. Funds will assist in the development of a robust garden ecosystem where community needs intersect with programmatic needs while developing an edible garden, healing herbs garden, hosting and caring for land and soil via a worm composting system and a beehive.

Eastern Sierra Conservation Corps $19,000 awarded in 2022, third installment of a grant awarded to support youth programming which all include components of environmental stewardship, cultural relevancy, and health and well-being. Programs connect youth and young adults with environmental stewardship through conservation work and also serve as a bridge to access CA State Parks, National Park Service, or US Forest Service representatives.

Elevated Legacy $19,000 awarded in 2022, third installment of a grant award supporting programming that employs an intergenerational model on competitive athletics, popular education trainings about social justice, community service, wilderness immersion, and indigenous rituals for sustained community transformation.

Insight Garden Program $10,000 awarded in 2022, a one-year grant award to support their programs ensuring that youth and young adults in California prisons can engage with and learn from the natural world, and return home from prison as health equity leaders, environmental stewards, urban organic gardeners, greening community educators, and advocates for environmental justice and food sovereignty.

Movimiento $19,000 awarded in 2022, third installment of a grant award to support their work developing young people's leadership and life skills via outdoor adventure, counseling and therapy, cultural exchange, service-learning, farming, and indigenous youth events. Movimiento's focus is to synthesize outdoor-based learning experiences with mental health.

Oakland Leaf Foundation* $19,000 awarded in 2022, a one-year grant award to support the Love Cultivating Schoolyards program, which includes a stipend high school internship in which interns establish and maintain food-producing school-based gardens and compost programs, lead after-school gardening classes, and participate in monthly community experiences in nature.

Our Wilderness Now $19,000 awarded in 2022, third installment of a grant award to support their community-based programming that empowers youth through nature connection, many of whom are developing their first sensitivity to stewardship of the environment.



Outdoor Educators Institute $60,000 awarded in 2022, single-year grant to support Justice Outside's Outdoor Educators Institute program, which develops the next generation of culturally relevant outdoor leaders by building competencies and leadership skills in young adults who have encountered hurdles or lacked the resources to work in the outdoors. The Outdoor Educators Institute is, at its core, advocacy for the inclusion and centering of underrepresented populations, especially those that have had historic and systemic barriers to accessing the outdoors.

Peacemakers, Inc. $19,000 awarded in 2022, third installment of a grant award for their work to help students and their families within the Richmond Bay Area to succeed educationally, socially, and emotionally through mentorship and restorative outdoor experiences that focus on the calming effects of nature.

Planting Justice $19,000 awarded in 2022, third installment of a grant supporting their programs that are dedicated to creating tangibly healing, economically scalable, and ecologically sustainable projects led by black/latinx/and indigenous people that transform unused community spaces into community farms, gardens, and nurseries.

Project Avary $18,000 awarded in 2022, third installment of a grant award to support early intervention and long-term prevention programming for children of incarcerated parents (CIP). Project Avary relies on outdoor education and recreation as a key strategy in improving the lives of CIP's and breaking their social isolation.

Sunrise Middle School $19,000 awarded in 2022, third installment of a grant award to support work to empower students in central/east San Jose to become leaders by exposing them to the natural world and leadership opportunities through outdoor experiences such as the snow, the desert, horseback riding, river rafting and more.

Urban Sprouts $18,000 awarded in 2022, third installment of a grant award to leverage school gardens as interactive classrooms, inclusive spaces, sources for fresh and nutritious food, and as incubators for a 21st-century workforce that understands the importance of environmental stewardship and social justice.

Warrior Institute $19,000 awarded in 2022, third installment of a grant award for their programs which provide holistic, innovative solutions to organize and build indigenous leadership in the northern California region by forging new generations of young leaders with balanced (ki:maw) minds, bodies, and spirits who are empowered to create health, economic equality, and environmental justice



for the next seven generations and beyond through outdoor experiences and environmental education.

Waterside Workshops $17,200 awarded in 2022, third installment of a grant award to provide job training, outdoor recreation, and holistic wraparound support for impacted youth to promote youth development, encourage sustainable and healthy lifestyles, and cultivate positive change in the Bay Area community.

Weekend-Adventures $19,000 awarded in 2022, third installment of a grant award to support programs that provide meaningful routine outdoor adventures that help to create connections within the participant groups and to the planet for youth living in marginalized neighborhoods in San Francisco.

YES Nature to Neighborhoods $18,000 awarded in 2022, second installment of a grant award to support their programs which provide outdoor camp and community experiences for teens to develop leadership and life skills that promote a successful transition to adulthood, and to expose youth to careers in the outdoors.

*Please note that as of our last report, a grantee called Seven Teepees was intended to receive an additional installment of funding. However, the organization informed Justice Outside of their intended closure of the funded programming and those funds were reallocated to provide an additional year of funding to Oakland Leaf Foundation following their single year grant from 2021.

2021 Grantmaking Overview

In 2021, Justice Outside awarded grants to 26 organizations (23 multi-year grants and 3 one-year grants) totaling $855,000. These grants support youth in several regions of PG&E's service area, providing transformational outdoor education and open-space experiences to thousands of youth.

2021 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants

Organization Legal Name	2021 Award
Acta Non Verba	$50,000
Adventure Risk Challenge	$22,000
Amah Mutsun Land Trust	$50,000
Brothers On the Rise	$50,000
Brown Girl Surf	$50,000
California Indian Museum and Cultural Center	$50,000



Camp Phoenix	$50,000
Communities United for Restorative Youth Justice	$22,000
Eastern Sierra Conservation Corps	$22,000
Elevated Legacy	$18,000
Environmental Volunteers	$30,000
Movimiento	$22,000
Oakland Leaf	$23,000
Our Wilderness Now	$18,000
Outdoor Educators Institute	$60,000
Peacemakers, Inc.	$22,000
Planting Justice	$22,000
Project Avary	$22,000
Seven Tepees Youth Program	$22,000
Sunrise Middle School	$22,000
The Trust for Public Land	$100,000
Urban Sprouts	$22,000
Warrior Institute	$22,000
Waterside Workshops	$22,000
Weekend-Adventures	$20,000
YES Nature to Neighborhoods	$22,000
2021 Grantmaking	**$855,000**

*Organizations highlighted in purple represent single-year grants

2021 Grantees

Acta Non Verba $50,000 awarded in 2021, first installment of a grant award for their Urban Youth Farm Project that connects youth of color from East Oakland to a nature-based farm program. Youth learn about healthy eating and sustainable farming, and help youth build savings for their educational future.

Adventure Risk Challenge $22,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant award for their programs that provide Wilderness-based literacy and leadership development summer courses for English-learning high school students.

Amah Mutsun Land Trust $50,000 awarded in 2021, first installment of a grant award to support Native American youth ages 12-17 to participate in summer camp programming focused on Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) and conservation along the California coast.



Brothers on the Rise $50,000 awarded in 2021, first installment of a grant award for their programs which provide access to nature to low-income urban youth of color, integrating outdoor-based life skills, leadership development, and environmental career opportunities.

Brown Girl Surf $50,000 awarded in 2021, first installment of a grant award to support programs that integrate awareness of marine and coastal ecology through hands-on explorations and projects, while surfing. This program provides girls with an opportunity to confront and overcome fear, and develop skills in self-reliance, confidence, and leadership.

California Indian Museum and Cultural Center $50,000 awarded in 2021, first installment of a grant award to support their work in empowering youth to reclaim California Indian environmental stewardship of oak woodlands through culturally relevant caretaking.

Camp Phoenix $50,000 awarded in 2021, first installment of a grant award to support programs that provide low-income, middle school students with outdoor-based adventure opportunities, educational enrichment, and social-emotional learning.

Communities United for Restorative Youth Justice $22,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant to support youth who have been impacted by multiple criminalizing youth-serving systems, including foster care, juvenile and adult criminal injustice system and punitive school districts. Funds will assist in the development of a robust garden ecosystem where community needs intersect with programmatic needs while developing an edible garden, healing herbs garden, hosting and caring for land and soil via a worm composting system and a beehive.

Eastern Sierra Conservation Corps $22,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant awarded to support youth programming which all include components of environmental stewardship, cultural relevancy, and health and well-being. Programs connect youth and young adults with environmental stewardship through conservation work and also serve as a bridge to access CA State Parks, National Park Service, or US Forest Service representatives.

Elevated Legacy $18,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant award supporting programming that employs an intergenerational model on competitive athletics, popular education trainings about social justice, community service, wilderness immersion, and indigenous rituals for sustained community transformation.



Environmental Volunteers $30,000 awarded in 2021, one year award to support the Transportation Fund which provides bus and transportation subsidies to help low-income students access science and environmental field trips throughout the Bay Area.

Movimiento $22,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant award to support their work developing young people's leadership and life skills via outdoor adventure, counseling and therapy, cultural exchange, service-learning, farming, and indigenous youth events. Movimiento's focus is to synthesize outdoor-based learning experiences with mental health.

Oakland Leaf Foundation $23,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant award to support the Love Cultivating Schoolyards program, which includes a stipend high school internship in which interns establish and maintain food-producing school-based gardens and compost programs, lead after-school gardening classes, and participate in monthly community experiences in nature.

Our Wilderness Now $18,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant award to support their community-based programming that empowers youth through nature connection, many of whom are developing their first sensitivity to stewardship of the environment.

Outdoor Educators Institute $60,000 awarded in 2021, single-year grant to support Justice Outside's Outdoor Educators Institute program, which develops the next generation of culturally relevant outdoor leaders by building competencies and leadership skills in young adults who have encountered hurdles or lacked the resources to work in the outdoors. The Outdoor Educators Institute is, at its core, advocacy for the inclusion and centering of underrepresented populations, especially those that have had historic and systemic barriers to accessing the outdoors.

Peacemakers, Inc. $22,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant award for their work to help students and their families within the Richmond Bay Area to succeed educationally, socially, and emotionally through mentorship and restorative outdoor experiences that focus on the calming effects of nature.

Planting Justice $22,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant supporting their programs that are dedicated to creating tangibly healing, economically scalable, and ecologically sustainable projects led by black/latinx/and indigenous people that transform unused community spaces into community farms, gardens, and nurseries.

Project Avary $22,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant award to support early intervention and long-term prevention programming for children of incarcerated parents (CIP). Project



Avary relies on outdoor education and recreation as a key strategy in improving the lives of CIP's and breaking their social isolation.

Seven Tepees Youth Program $22,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant award to support work with urban youth on day and overnight wilderness trips to foster the skills they need to make lifelong positive choices, and to give them the skills and values that will enable them to achieve college or career readiness by their senior year in high school.

Sunrise Middle School $22,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant award to support work to empower students in central/east San Jose to become leaders by exposing them to the natural world and leadership opportunities through outdoor experiences such as the snow, the desert, horseback riding, river rafting and more.

The Trust for Public Land $100,000 awarded in 2021, single-year grant award to support the implementation of a green or 'living' schoolyards initiative in Oakland that will transform asphalt-covered schoolyards serving disadvantaged communities into green spaces that will provide access to nature, improve health and learning, and benefit the schools and their surrounding communities

Urban Sprouts $22,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant award to leverage school gardens as interactive classrooms, inclusive spaces, sources for fresh and nutritious food, and as incubators for a 21st-century workforce that understands the importance of environmental stewardship and social justice.

Warrior Institute $22,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant award for their programs which provide holistic, innovative solutions to organize and build indigenous leadership in the northern California region by forging new generations of young leaders with balanced (ki:maw) minds, bodies, and spirits who are empowered to create health, economic equality, and environmental justice for the next seven generations and beyond through outdoor experiences and environmental education.

Waterside Workshops $22,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant award to provide job training, outdoor recreation, and holistic wraparound support for impacted youth to promote youth development, encourage sustainable and healthy lifestyles, and cultivate positive change in the Bay Area community.



Weekend-Adventures $20,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant award to support programs that provide meaningful routine outdoor adventures that help to create connections within the participant groups and to the planet for youth living in marginalized neighborhoods in San Francisco.

YES Nature to Neighborhoods $22,000 awarded in 2021, second installment of a grant award to support their programs which provide outdoor camp and community experiences for teens to develop leadership and life skills that promote a successful transition to adulthood, and to expose youth to careers in the outdoors.

2020 Grantmaking Overview

In 2020, Justice Outside awarded grants to 37 organizations (36 multi-year grants and 1 one-year grant) totaling $662,000. These grants support youth in several regions of PG&E's service area, providing transformational outdoor education and open-space experiences to thousands of youth. This year we received 73 Letters of Intent (LOIs), many of which represented new organizations applying for the first time. It had been a goal of ours to continuously expand our reach and our impact; and the geographical range of the organizations who submitted an LOI demonstrated that our outreach efforts continued to prove fruitful.

2020 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants

Organization Legal Name	2020 Award
Acta Non Verba	$15,000
Bay Area Wilderness Training	$17,500
Brothers On the Rise	$15,000
Brown Girl Surf	$15,000
Camp Phoenix	$15,000
Canopy	$15,000
Elevated Legacy	$7,000
Environmental Travelling Companions	$15,000
Environmental Volunteers	$18,000
FoodWhat?!	$15,000
Groundwork Richmond	$15,000
Insight Garden Program	$15,000
Movimiento	$17,500
Oakland Leaf	$20,000
One Cool Earth	$15,000



Our Wilderness Now	$17,500
Outdoor Educators Institute	$30,000
Peacemakers, Inc.	$17,500
Phat Beets Produce	$15,000
Pie Ranch	$17,500
Planting Justice	$17,500
Project Avary	$17,500
Seven Tepees Youth Program	$17,500
Sunrise Middle School	$17,500
Tolowa Dunes Stewards	$17,000
Tuolumne River Trust	$15,000
Urban Sprouts	$17,500
Warrior Institute	$17,500
Waterside Workshops	$17,500
Watsonville Wetlands Watch	$17,500
Weekend-Adventures	$10,000
YES Nature to Neighborhoods	$17,500
PG&E Watersheds Lands Grants Program:	
California Council of Land Trusts	$15,000
Ethos Youth Center (Alliance for Community Transformations)	$30,000
Feather River Land Trust	$30,000
Sierra Foothill Conservancy	$30,000
Sierra Institute for Community and Environment	$30,000
2020 Grantmaking	**$662,000**

*Organizations highlighted in purple represent incoming 2020 grantees

2020 Grantees

Acta Non Verba $15,000 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award for their Urban Youth Farm Project that connects youth of color from East Oakland to a nature-based farm program. Youth learn about healthy eating and sustainable farming, and helps youth build savings for their educational future.

Bay Area Wilderness Training $17,500 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award for their programs that provide access to nature for youth from all nine Bay Area counties, and develop outdoor educators through training, access to gear, and transportation.



Brothers on the Rise $15,000 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award for their programs which provide access to nature to low-income urban youth of color, integrating outdoor-based life skills, leadership development, and environmental career opportunities.

Brown Girl Surf $15,000 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award to support programs that integrate awareness of marine and coastal ecology through hands-on explorations and projects, while surfing. This program provides girls with an opportunity to confront and overcome fear, and develop skills in self-reliance, confidence, and leadership.

Camp Phoenix $15,000 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award to support programs that provide low-income, middle school students with outdoor-based adventure opportunities, educational enrichment, and social-emotional learning.

Canopy $15,000 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award to support programming in tree planting and stewardship, environmental education, and advocacy, for underrepresented youth of color who would otherwise not have access to environmental learning, outdoor experiences, and job training opportunities.

Elevated Legacy $7,000 awarded in 2020, first installment of a grant award supporting programming that employs an intergenerational model on competitive athletics, popular education trainings about social justice, community service, wilderness immersion, and indigenous rituals for sustained community transformation.

Environmental Traveling Companions $15,000 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award for their programs dedicated to opening access to the great outdoors to under-resourced youth and people with disabilities of all ages in ways that empower participants as leaders and stewards.

Environmental Volunteers $18,000 awarded in 2020, one year award to support the Transportation Fund which provides bus and transportation subsidies to help low-income students access science and environmental field trips throughout the Bay Area.

FoodWhat?! $15,000 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award to support their programs that support low-income youth across Santa Cruz County by offering training in leadership skills, sustainable agriculture, cooking and nutrition, entrepreneurship, and community service.

Groundwork Richmond $15,000 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award for programs expanding and revitalizing the City of Richmond's neglected parks and urban forest canopy.



Throughout this process, participants are taught basic biology, ecology, hydrology, and public health benefits provided by a healthy urban forest and outdoor activity.

Insight Garden Program $15,000 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award to support their programs ensuring that youth and young adults in California prisons can engage with and learn from the natural world, and return home from prison as health equity leaders, environmental stewards, urban organic gardeners, greening community educators, and advocates for environmental justice and food sovereignty.

Movimiento $17,500 awarded in 2020, first installment of a grant award to support their work developing young people's leadership and life skills via outdoor adventure, counseling and therapy, cultural exchange, service-learning, farming, and indigenous youth events. Movimiento's focus is to synthesize outdoor-based learning experiences with mental health.

Oakland Leaf $20,000 awarded in 2020, first installment of a grant award to support the Love Cultivating Schoolyards program, which includes a stipend high school internship in which interns establish and maintain food-producing school-based gardens and compost programs, lead after-school gardening classes, and participate in monthly community experiences in nature.

One Cool Earth $15,000 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award to support their work engaging students in environmental stewardship through school garden programs, teaching healthy habits through growing food.

Our Wilderness Now $17,500 awarded in 2020, first installment of a grant award to support their community-based programming that empowers youth through nature connection, many of whom are developing their first sensitivity to stewardship of the environment.

Peacemakers, Inc. $17,500 awarded in 2020, first installment of a grant award for their work to help students and their families within the Richmond Bay Area to succeed educationally, socially, and emotionally through mentorship and restorative outdoor experiences that focus on the calming effects of nature.

Phat Beets Produce $15,000 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant supporting their work to build healthy, nature-connected, empowered, more employable youth from among at-risk constituencies in Oakland through a sustainable urban agriculture activities framework.



Pie Ranch $17,500 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant supporting their work to offer young people a new view of their world by getting them outdoors for hands-on learning and leadership experiences in environmental stewardship, sustainable gardening and farming, culinary arts and nutrition education, community-building, and food justice.

Planting Justice $17,500 awarded in 2020, first installment of a grant supporting their programs that are dedicated to creating tangibly healing, economically scalable, and ecologically sustainable projects led by black/latinx/and indigenous people that transform unused community spaces into community farms, gardens, and nurseries.

Project Avary $17,500 awarded in 2020, first installment of a grant award to support early intervention and long-term prevention programming for children of incarcerated parents (CIP). Project Avary relies on outdoor education and recreation as a key strategy in improving the lives of CIP's and breaking their social isolation.

Seven Tepees Youth Program $17,500 awarded in 2020, first installment of a grant award to support work with urban youth on day and overnight wilderness trips to foster the skills they need to make lifelong positive choices, and to give them the skills and values that will enable them to achieve college or career readiness by their senior year in high school.

Sunrise Middle School $17,500 awarded in 2020, first installment of a grant award to support work to empower students in central/east San Jose to become leaders by exposing them to the natural world and leadership opportunities through outdoor experiences such as the snow, the desert, horseback riding, river rafting and more.

Tolowa Dunes Stewards $17,000 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award to support their environmental education, protection and stewardship works, focused on the unique coast, dunes, and wetlands of the Lake Earl Wildlife Area and Tolowa Dunes State Park, including the sacred cultural sites of the indigenous first people of these lands, the Tolowa Dee-ni'.

Tuolumne River Trust $15,000 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award to support their work with underrepresented youth in riverside communities within Stanislaus County. Funding will be used to enhance culturally relevant programming that eliminates barriers to recreation and promotes outdoor activities as opportunities for leadership, improved health, and civic engagement.

Urban Sprouts $17,500 awarded in 2020, first installment of a grant award to leverage school gardens as interactive classrooms, inclusive spaces, sources for fresh and nutritious food, and as incubators for



a 21st-century workforce that understands the importance of environmental stewardship and social justice.

Warrior Institute $17,500 awarded in 2020, first installment of a grant award for their programs which provide holistic, innovative solutions to organize and build indigenous leadership in the northern California region by forging new generations of young leaders with balanced (ki:maw) minds, bodies, and spirits who are empowered to create health, economic equality, and environmental justice for the next seven generations and beyond through outdoor experiences and environmental education.

Waterside Workshops $17,500 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award to provide job training, outdoor recreation, and holistic wraparound support for impacted youth to promote youth development, encourage sustainable and healthy lifestyles, and cultivate positive change in the Bay Area community.

Watsonville Wetlands Watch $17,500 awarded in 2020, second installment of a grant award to support their work protecting, restoring, and fostering an appreciation for the wetlands of the Pájaro Valley. One of WWW's major goals is to cultivate wetland stewardship among underrepresented youth by building their personal connection with the wetlands through hands-on experiences in the outdoors and increasing their understanding of the important ecological functions that the wetlands serve.

Weekend-Adventures $10,000 awarded in 2020, first installment of a grant award to support programs that provide meaningful routine outdoor adventures that helps to create connections within the participant groups and to the planet for youth living in marginalized neighborhoods in San Francisco.

YES Nature to Neighborhoods $17,500 awarded in 2020, first installment of a grant award to support their programs which provide outdoor camp and community experiences for teens to develop leadership and life skills that promote successful transition to adulthood, and to expose youth to careers in the outdoors.

Watershed Lands Grant Program is a three-year collaborative between youth-serving organizations and land trusts with support from Justice Outside, California Council of Land Trusts, PG&E, and the Stewardship Council.

The program objectives include increasing the visibility of the PG&E watershed lands as a resource for youth-serving organizations, increasing community engagement with PG&E for the protection of



watershed lands, and supporting land trusts to strengthen and/or make new partnerships with youth-serving organizations for the benefit of both.

The program partners and their grant awards are listed below:

California Council of Land Trusts $15,000 awarded in 2020, third installment of a grant award for the creation of a case study that will capture and leverage lessons learned to help land trusts and other agencies better understand what they can do to increase culturally relevant engagement of youth and communities.

Ethos Youth Center and **Sierra Foothill Conservancy** $30,000 awarded to each in 2020, third installment of grant awards for the Nature Force Youth Ambassador Program, a joint project supporting young people in developing leadership skills and becoming future stewards of the land through a meaningful connection to the outdoors and an increased awareness of the link between health and recreation.

Feather River Land Trust and **Sierra Institute for Community and Environment** $30,000 awarded to each in 2020, third installment of grant awards for the South Lassen Watersheds/Lake Almanor Stewardship Lands Youth Engagement Project, a joint project intended to engage youth through hands-on natural resource stewardship and citizen science, increase the capacity of the Feather River Land Trust to conserve land and water in the region, and increase Sierra Institute for Community and Environment's youth involvement and educational programming through its Plumas Conservation, Restoration, Education in Watersheds (P-CREW) program.

2019 Grantmaking Overview

In 2019, Justice Outside awarded grants to 39 organizations (37 multi-year grants and 2 one-year grants) totaling $801,000. These grants support youth in several regions of PG&E's service area, providing transformational outdoor education and open-space experiences to thousands of youth. This year we received a record number of Letters of Intent (LOIs), 88, many of which represented new organizations applying for the first time.

2019 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants

In 2019, Justice Outside awarded a total of $801,000 to 39 organizations, 37 of which were awarded as multiple-year awards.

Organization Legal Name	2019 Award
Acta Non Verba	$20,000.00
Alliance for Community Transformations	$30,000.00



Amah Mutsun Land Trust	$20,000.00
Bay Area Wilderness Training (fiscally sponsored by Earth Island Institute)	$17,500.00
Brothers on the Rise	$15,000.00
Brown Girl Surf (fiscally sponsored by Social Good Fund)	$20,000.00
California Council of Land Trusts	$15,000.00
California Indian Museum and Cultural Center	$22,500.00
Camp Phoenix, Inc.	$15,000.00
Canopy	$20,000,00
Center for Land-Based Learning	$22,000.00
Environmental Traveling Companions	$20,000.00
Environmental Volunteers	$20,000.00
Feather River Land Trust	$30,000.00
Food What, Inc.	$15,000.00
GirlVentures	$20,000.00
Good Samaritan Family Resource Center	$22,500.00
Groundwork Richmond	$15,000.00
Growing Up Wild (fiscally sponsored by The Children Are Our Future)	$22,500.00
Insight Garden Program	$20,000.00
Literacy for Environmental Justice	$22,500.00
Movimiento	$22,000.00
One Cool Earth	$20,000.00
Our Wilderness Now (fiscally sponsored by Round Valley Indian Health Center, Inc.)	$22,500.00
Outdoor Educators Institute (a program of Justice Outside)	$30,000.00
Peacemakers, Inc.	$22,500.00
Phat Beets Produce	$15,000.00
Pie Ranch	$17,500.00
Project Avary	$20,000.00
Sierra Foothill Conservancy	$30,000.00
Sierra Institute for Community & Environment	$30,000.00
The Latina Center	$20,000.00
Tolowa Dunes Stewards (fiscally sponsored by Friends of the Dunes)	$17,000.00
Tuolumne River Preservation Trust	$15,000.00
Urban Sprouts (fiscally sponsored by San Francisco Parks Alliance)	$20,000.00
Warrior Institute (fiscally sponsored by Seventh Generation Fund for Indigenous Peoples, Inc.)	$22,500.00
Watsonville Wetlands Watch	$17,500.00
Waterside Workshops	$15,000.00

YES Nature to Neighborhoods	$20,000.00
2019 Grantmaking	**$801,000.00**

*Organizations highlighted in pink represent incoming 2019 grantees

2019 Kaiser Permanente Partnership

Justice Outside also maintained a grantmaking partnership with Kaiser Permanente, and explored additional partnerships that would help us expand our impact across California.

In 2017, Kaiser Permanente renewed its support of $300,000 over two additional years, 2018 and 2019, to support Justice Outside's full grantee slate across both years. Additionally, these grant funds supported Justice Outside's Grantee Cohort Series, affirming the value of the capacity-building that grantees receive through this program.

2019 Grantees

Acta Non Verba $20,000 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant award for their Urban Youth Farm Project that connects youth of color from East Oakland to a nature-based farm program. Youth learn about healthy eating and sustainable farming, and helps youth build savings for their educational future.

Amah Mutsun Land Trust $20,000 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award to support Native American youth ages 12-17 to participate in summer camp programming focused on Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) and conservation along the California coast.

Bay Area Wilderness Training $17,500 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant award for their programs that provide access to nature for youth from all nine Bay Area counties, and develop outdoor educators through training, access to gear, and transportation.

Brothers on the Rise $15,000 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant award for their programs which provide access to nature to low-income urban youth of color, integrating outdoor-based life skills, leadership development, and environmental career opportunities.

Brown Girl Surf $20,000 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant award to support programs that integrate awareness of marine and coastal ecology through hands-on explorations and projects, while surfing. This program provides girls with an opportunity to confront and overcome fear, and develop skills in self-reliance, confidence, and leadership.



California Indian Museum and Cultural Center $22,500 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award to support their work in empowering youth to reclaim California Indian environmental stewardship of oak woodlands through culturally relevant caretaking.

Canopy $20,000 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant award to support programming in tree planting and stewardship, environmental education, and advocacy, for underrepresented youth of color who would otherwise not have access to environmental learning, outdoor experiences, and job training opportunities.

Center for Land-Based Learning $22,000 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award for their program inspiring and motivating youth to promote a healthy interplay between agriculture, nature, and society through their actions and as leaders in their communities.

Environmental Traveling Companions $20,000 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant award for their programs dedicated to opening access to the great outdoors to under-resourced youth and people with disabilities of all ages in ways that empower participants as leaders and stewards.

Environmental Volunteers $20,000 awarded in 2019, one-year award to support the Transportation Fund which provides bus and transportation subsidies to help low-income students access science and environmental field trips throughout the Bay Area.

FoodWhat, Inc. $15,000 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant award to support their programs that support low-income youth across Santa Cruz County by offering training in leadership skills, sustainable agriculture, cooking and nutrition, entrepreneurship, and community service.

GirlVentures $20,000 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award for their programs offering transformative experiential environmental education and leadership programs, empowering adolescent girls to develop and express their strengths.

Good Samaritan Family Resource Center $22,500 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award for their work to connect immigrant youth facing social-emotional barriers or poverty to outdoor opportunities incorporating dual-language literacy, nature programming, environmental stewardship, with an emphasis on wellbeing.

Groundwork Richmond $15,000 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant award for programs expanding and revitalizing the City of Richmond's neglected parks and urban forest canopy.



Throughout this process, participants are taught basic biology, ecology, hydrology, and public health benefits provided by a healthy urban forest and outdoor activity.

Growing Up Wild $22,500 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award to support their bilingual nature adventure program that helps economically disadvantaged youth and families in the Watsonville area develop a connection to nature, life skills, and physical fitness.

Insight Garden Program $20,000 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant award to support their programs ensuring that youth and young adults in California prisons can engage with and learn from the natural world, and return home from prison as health equity leaders, environmental stewards, urban organic gardeners, greening community educators, and advocates for environmental justice and food sovereignty.

Literacy for Environmental Justice $22,500 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award to support their programs that serve underrepresented youth from the Bayview-Hunters Point neighborhood, engaging teen interns, and recruiting hundreds of youth to participate in diverse nature-based projects and environmental advocacy.

Outdoor Educators Institute $30,000 , one year grant awarded in 2019, to support partner agencies delivering outdoor and environmental programming to participants of the OEI cohort.

Movimiento $22,000 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award to support their work developing young people's leadership and life skills via outdoor adventure, counseling and therapy, cultural exchange, service-learning, farming, and indigenous youth events. Movimiento's focus is to synthesize outdoor-based learning experiences with mental health.

One Cool Earth $20,000 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant award to support their work engaging students in environmental stewardship through school garden programs, teaching healthy habits through growing food.

Our Wilderness Now $22,500 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award to support their community-based programming that empowers youth through nature connection, many of whom are developing their first sensitivity to stewardship of the environment.

Peacemakers, Inc. $22,500 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award for their work to help students and their families within the Richmond Bay Area to succeed educationally, socially, and

emotionally through mentorship and restorative outdoor experiences that focus on the calming effects of nature.

Phat Beets Produce $15,000 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant supporting their work to build healthy, nature-connected, empowered, more employable youth from among at-risk constituencies in Oakland through a sustainable urban agriculture activities framework.

Pie Ranch $17,500 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant supporting their work to offer young people a new view of their world by getting them outdoors for hands-on learning and leadership experiences in environmental stewardship, sustainable gardening and farming, culinary arts and nutrition education, community-building, and food justice.

Project Avary (Alternative Ventures for At-Risk Youth) $20,000 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award to support early intervention and long-term prevention programming for children of incarcerated parents (CIP). Project Avary relies on outdoor education and recreation as a key strategy in improving the lives of CIP's and breaking their social isolation.

The Latina Center $20,000 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award to support their programs promoting health of Latina youth and families focusing on physical activities in the outdoors in age appropriate and family-oriented ways for the impact of greater environmental stewardship, leadership development, and increased employment opportunities.

Tolowa Dunes Stewards $17,000 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant award to support their environmental education, protection and stewardship works, focused on the unique coast, dunes, and wetlands of the Lake Earl Wildlife Area and Tolowa Dunes State Park, including the sacred cultural sites of the indigenous first people of these lands, the Tolowa Dee-ni'.

Tuolumne River Trust $15,000 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant award to support their work with underrepresented youth in riverside communities within Stanislaus County. Funding will be used to enhance culturally relevant programming that eliminates barriers to recreation and promotes outdoor activities as opportunities for leadership, improved health, and civic engagement.

Urban Sprouts $20,000 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award to leverage school gardens as interactive classrooms, inclusive spaces, sources for fresh and nutritious food, and as incubators for a 21st-century workforce that understands the importance of environmental stewardship and social justice.



Warrior Institute $22,500 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award for their programs which provide holistic, innovative solutions to organize and build indigenous leadership in the northern California region by forging new generations of young leaders with balanced (ki:maw) minds, bodies, and spirits who are empowered to create health, economic equality, and environmental justice for the next seven generations and beyond through outdoor experiences and environmental education.

Watsonville Wetlands Watch $17,500 awarded in 2019, first installment of a grant award to support their work protecting, restoring, and fostering an appreciation for the wetlands of the Pájaro Valley. One of WWW's major goals is to cultivate wetland stewardship among underrepresented youth by building their personal connection with the wetlands through hands-on experiences in the outdoors and increasing their understanding of the important ecological functions that the wetlands support.

Waterside Workshops $15,000 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award to provide job training, outdoor recreation, and holistic wraparound support for impacted youth to promote youth development, encourage sustainable and healthy lifestyles, and cultivate positive change in the Bay Area community.

Wilderness Arts and Literacy Collaborative $15,000 awarded in 2018, second installment of a grant award for support of their academic environmental education programs and outdoor experiences at two low-income high schools in San Francisco.

YES Nature to Neighborhoods $20,000 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award to support their programs which provide outdoor camp and community experiences for teens to develop leadership and life skills that promote successful transition to adulthood, and to expose youth to careers in the outdoors.

Alliance for Community Transformations (Ethos Youth Center) $30,000 awarded in 2019, second installment of grant awards for the Nature Force Youth Ambassador Program, a joint project supporting young people in developing leadership skills and becoming future stewards of the land through a meaningful connection to the outdoors and an increased awareness of the link between health and recreation.

California Council of Land Trusts $15,000 awarded in 2019, second installment of a grant award for the creation of a case study that will capture and leverage lessons learned to help land trusts and other agencies better understand what they can do to increase culturally relevant engagement of youth and communities.

JUSTICE OUTSIDE

Feather River Land Trust $30,000 awarded in 2019, second installment of grant awards for the South Lassen Watersheds/Lake Almanor Stewardship Lands Youth Engagement Project, a joint project intended to engage youth through hands-on natural resource stewardship and citizen science, increase the capacity of the Feather River Land Trust to conserve land and water in the region, and increase Sierra Institute for Community and Environment's youth involvement and educational programming through its Plumas Conservation, Restoration, Education in Watersheds (P-CREW) program.

Sierra Foothill Conservancy $30,000 awarded in 2019, second installment of grant awards for the Nature Force Youth Ambassador Program, a joint project supporting young people in developing leadership skills and becoming future stewards of the land through a meaningful connection to the outdoors and an increased awareness of the link between health and recreation.

Sierra Institute for Community & Environment $30,000 awarded in 2019, second installment of grant awards for the South Lassen Watersheds/Lake Almanor Stewardship Lands Youth Engagement Project, a joint project intended to engage youth through hands-on natural resource stewardship and citizen science, increase the capacity of the Feather River Land Trust to conserve land and water in the region, and increase Sierra Institute for Community and Environment's youth involvement and educational programming through its Plumas Conservation, Restoration, Education in Watersheds (P-CREW) program.

2018 Grantmaking Overview

In 2018, Justice Outside awarded grants to 34 organizations (33 multi-year grants and 2 one-year grants) totaling $695,000. These grants supported youth in several regions of PG&E's service area, providing transformational outdoor education and open space experiences to over 12,000 youth.

2018 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants

Organization Legal Name	2018 Award
Acta Non Verba	$20,000.00
Alliance for Community Transformations	$31,250.00
Amah Mutsun Land Trust	$20,000.00
Bay Area Wilderness Training (fiscally sponsored by Earth Island Institute)	$20,000.00
Brothers on the Rise	$20,000.00
Brown Girl Surf (fiscally sponsored by Social Good Fund)	$20,000.00



California Council of Land Trusts	$30,000.00
California Indian Museum and Cultural Center	$22,500.00
Camp Phoenix, Inc.	$20,000.00
Center for Land-Based Learning	$23,000.00
Community Grows (fiscally sponsored by Tides Center)	$20,000.00
Environmental Volunteers	$20,000.00
Feather River Land Trust	$31,250.00
Food What, Inc.	$20,000.00
Friends of Peralta Hacienda Historical Park	$20,000.00
GirlVentures	$25,000.00
Good Samaritan Family Resource Center	$22,500.00
Growing Up Wild (fiscally sponsored by The Children Are Our Future)	$22,500.00
Literacy for Environmental Justice	$22,500.00
Movimiento	$22,000.00
Our Wilderness Now (fiscally sponsored by Round Valley Indian Health Center, Inc.)	$22,500.00
Outdoor Educators Institute (a program of Justice Outside)	$30,000.00
Peacemakers, Inc.	$22,500.00
Pie Ranch	$20,000.00
Project Avary	$25,000.00
Rooted in Resilience (fiscally sponsored by Earth Island Institute)	$20,000.00
Sierra Foothill Conservancy	$31,250.00
Sierra Institute for Community & Environment	$31,250.00
The Latina Center	$20,000.00
Urban Sprouts (fiscally sponsored by San Francisco Parks Alliance)	$25,000.00
Vida Verde	$20,000.00
Warrior Institute (fiscally sponsored by Seventh Generation Fund for Indigenous Peoples, Inc.)	$22,500.00
Waterside Workshops	$25,000.00
Wilderness Arts & Literacy Collaborative	$15,000.00
YES Nature to Neighborhoods	$25,000.00
2018 Grantmaking	**$797,500**

*Organizations highlighted in pink represent incoming 2018 grantees



2018 Kaiser Permanente Partnership

Justice Outside also maintains a grantmaking partnership with Kaiser Permanente, and is exploring additional partnerships that will help us expand our impact across California.

In 2017, Kaiser Permanente renewed its support of $300,000 over two additional years, 2018 and 2019, to support Justice Outside's full grantee slate across both years. Additionally, these grant funds will support Justice Outside's Grantee Cohort Series, affirming the value of the capacity-building that grantees receive through this program.

2018 Grantees

Acta Non Verba $20,000 awarded in 2018, second installment of a grant award for their Urban Youth Farm Project that connects youth of color from East Oakland to a nature-based farm program. Youth learn about healthy eating and sustainable farming, and get help building savings for their educational future.

Amah Mutsun Land Trust $20,000 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award to support Native American youth ages 12-17 to participate in summer camp programming focused on Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) and conservation along the California coast.

Bay Area Wilderness Training $20,000 awarded in 2018, second installment of a grant award for their programs that provide access to nature for youth from all nine Bay Area counties, and develop outdoor educators through training, access to gear, and transportation.

Brothers on the Rise $20,000 awarded in 2018, second installment of a grant award for their programs which provide access to nature to low-income urban youth of color, integrating outdoor-based life skills, leadership development, and environmental career opportunities.

Brown Girl Surf $20,000 awarded in 2018, second installment of a grant award to support programs that integrate awareness of marine and coastal ecology through hands-on explorations and projects, while surfing. This program provides girls with an opportunity to confront and overcome fear, and develop skills in self-reliance, confidence, and leadership.

California Indian Museum and Cultural Center $22,500 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award to support their work in empowering youth to reclaim California Indian environmental stewardship of oak woodlands through culturally relevant caretaking.



Camp Phoenix $20,000 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award to support programs that provide low-income, middle school students with outdoor-based adventure opportunities, educational enrichment, and social-emotional learning.

Center for Land-Based Learning $23,000 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award for their program inspiring and motivating youth to promote a healthy interplay between agriculture, nature, and society through their actions and as leaders in their communities.

Community Grows $20,000 awarded in 2018, first installment of their grant award to support programs that offer garden-based environmental education programs to low-income youth, ages 5-17, in San Francisco.

Environmental Volunteers $20,000 awarded in 2018, 1-year grant to support the Transportation Fund, which provides bus and transportation subsidies to help low-income students access science and environmental field trips throughout the Bay Area.

FoodWhat, Inc. $20,000 awarded in 2018, second installment of a grant award to support programs that support low-income youth across Santa Cruz County by offering training in leadership skills, sustainable agriculture, cooking and nutrition, entrepreneurship, and community service.

GirlVentures $25,000 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award for their programs offering transformative experiential environmental education and leadership programs, empowering adolescent girls to develop and express their strengths.

Good Samaritan Family Resource Center $22,500 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award for their work to connect immigrant youth facing social-emotional barriers or poverty to outdoor opportunities incorporating dual-language literacy, nature programming, and environmental stewardship with an emphasis on well-being.

Growing Up Wild $22,500 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant to support their bilingual nature adventure program that helps economically disadvantaged youth and families in the Watsonville area develop a connection to nature, life skills, and physical fitness.

Literacy for Environmental Justice $22,500 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award to support programs that serve underrepresented youth from the Bayview-Hunter's Point neighborhood, engaging teen interns, and recruiting hundreds of youth to participate in diverse nature-based projects and environmental advocacy.



Outdoor Educators Institute $30,000 , one year grant awarded in 2018, to support partner agencies delivering outdoor and environmental programming to participants of the OEI cohort.

Movimiento $22,000 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award to support their work with youth in supporting them in developing leadership and life skills via outdoor adventure, counseling and therapy, cultural exchange, service-learning, farming, and indigenous youth events. Movimiento's focus is to synthesize outdoor-based learning experiences with mental health.

Our Wilderness Now $22,500 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award to support their community-based programming that empowers youth through nature connection, many of whom are developing their first sensitivity to stewardship of the environment.

Peacemakers, Inc. $22,500 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award for their work to help students and their families within the Richmond Bay Area to succeed educationally, socially, and emotionally through mentorship and restorative outdoor experiences that focus on the calming effects of nature.

Peralta Hacienda Historical Park $20,000 awarded in 2018, second installment of a grant award to support community programs that support youth of color that provide history, arts, and education throughout the Bay Area. Through the site's creek, gardens, and open space, youth learn about ecology through hands-on science and stewardship projects.

Pie Ranch $20,000 awarded in 2018, second installment of a grant award to get young people outdoors for hands-on learning and leadership experiences in environmental stewardship, sustainable gardening and farming, community-building, nutrition, and food justice.

Project Avary $25,000 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award to support early intervention and long-term prevention programming for children of incarcerated parents (CIP). Project Avary relies on outdoor education and recreation as a key strategy in improving the lives of CIP's and break their social isolation. Youth are involved in meaningful and impactful outdoor activities and nature-based leadership and career building opportunities, increasing the likelihood that the outdoors will become an integral part of their young adult lives.

Rooted in Resilience $20,000 awarded in 2018, second installment of a grant award to offer outdoor-based experiences to low income youth, ages 14-18, from Alameda County to improve health and environmental stewardship outcomes.



The Latina Center $20,000 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award to support their programs promoting health of Latina youth and families focusing on physical activities in the outdoors in age appropriate and family-oriented ways for the impact of greater environmental stewardship, leadership development, and increased employment opportunities.

Urban Sprouts $25,000 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award to leverage school gardens as interactive classrooms, inclusive spaces, sources of fresh and nutritious food, and as incubators for a 21st-century workforce that understands the importance of environmental stewardship and social justice.

Vida Verde $20,000 awarded in 2018, second installment of a grant award to support programs that promote educational equity through overnight learning experiences for youth of color throughout Northern California.

Warrior Institute $22,500 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award for their programs which provide holistic, innovative solutions to organize and build indigenous leadership in the northern California region by forging new generations of young leaders with balanced (ki:maw) minds, bodies, and spirits who are empowered to create health, economic equality, and environmental justice for the next seven generations and beyond through outdoor experiences and environmental education.

Waterside Workshops $25,000 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award to provide job training, outdoor recreation, and holistic wraparound support for impacted youth to promote youth development, encourage sustainable and healthy lifestyles, and cultivate positive changes in the Bay Area community.

Wilderness Arts and Literacy Collaborative $15,000 awarded in 2018, second installment of a grant award for support of their academic environmental education programs and outdoor experiences at two low-income high schools in San Francisco.

YES Nature to Neighborhoods $25,000 awarded in 2018, first installment of a grant award to support their programs which provide outdoor camp and community experiences for teens to develop leadership and life skills that promote successful transition to adulthood, and to expose youth to careers in the outdoors.

Alliance for Community Transformations (Ethos Youth Center) $31,250 awarded in 2018, first installment of a 3-year grant in support of their collaboration with Sierra Foothill Conservancy to



create a program for the PG&E Watershed Lands Grant Program, which seeks to increase the visibility of the PG&E watershed lands as a resource for youth-serving organizations; increase community engagement with PG&E for the protection of the watershed lands, and support partnerships between land trusts and youth-serving organizations for the benefit of both.

California Council of Land Trusts $30,000 awarded in 2018, first installment of a 3-year grant in support of the creation of a program evaluation and case study that will capture and leverage lessons learned to help land trusts better understand what they can do to increase culturally relevant engagement of youth and communities.

Feather River Land Trust $31,250 awarded in 2018, first installment of a 3-year grant in support of their collaboration with Sierra Institute for Community and the Environment for the PG&E Watershed Lands Grant Program, which seeks to increase the visibility of the PG&E watershed lands as a resource for youth-serving organizations; increase community engagement with PG&E for the protection of the watershed lands, and support partnerships between land trusts and youth-serving organizations for the benefit of both.

Sierra Foothill Conservancy $31,250 awarded in 2018, first installment of a 3-year grant in support of their collaboration with Ethos Youth Center of Alliance for Community Transformations for the PG&E Watershed Lands Grant Program, which seeks to increase the visibility of the PG&E watershed lands as a resource for youth-serving organizations; increase community engagement with PG&E for the protection of the watershed lands, and support partnerships between land trusts and youth-serving organizations for the benefit of both.

Sierra Institute for Community & Environment $31,250 awarded in 2018, first installment of a 3-year grant in support of their collaboration with Feather River Land Trust for the PG&E Watershed Lands Grant Program, which seeks to increase the visibility of the PG&E watershed lands as a resource for youth-serving organizations; increase community engagement with PG&E for the protection of the watershed lands, and support partnerships between land trusts and youth-serving organizations for the benefit of both.

2017 Grantmaking Overview

In 2017, Justice Outside awarded a total of $621,000 to 32 organizations, 25 of which were awarded as multiple year awards. 20 of the 2017 grantees completed their award period in December 2017.

2017 Multi-Year and One Year Grants

Organization Legal Name	2017 Award



Acta Non Verba (fiscally sponsored by Social and Environmental Entrepreneurs)	$20,000.00
Bay Area Wilderness Training (fiscally sponsored by Earth Island Institute)	$20,000.00
Brothers on the Rise	$20,000.00
Brown Girl Surf (fiscally sponsored by Social Good Fund)	$20,000.00
California Conservation Corps Foundation	$15,000.00
Camp Phoenix	$20,000.00
Center for Land-Based Learning	$18,000.00
Community Grows (fiscally sponsored by Tides Center)	$20,000.00
Eastern Sierra Conservation Corps Foundation	$15,000.00
Environmental Volunteers (In support of Transportation Fund)	$20,000.00
FoodWhat	$20,000.00
Gateway Mountain Center (fiscally sponsored by Social and Environmental Entrepreneurs)	$20,000.00
Growing Up Wild (fiscally sponsored by The Children Are Our Future)	$18,000.00
LandPaths	$20,000.00
Literacy for Environmental Justice	$20,000.00
Our Wilderness Now (fiscally sponsored by Round Valley Indian Health Center)	$20,000.00
Peralta Hacienda Historical Park	$20,000.00
Pie Ranch	$20,000.00
Project Avary	$20,000.00
Rooted in Resilience (fiscally sponsored by Earth Island Institute)	$20,000.00
Sierra Institute for Community and Environment	$15,000.00
Sierra Native Alliance	$20,000.00
Student Conservation Association	$20,000.00
Sunrise Middle School	$20,000.00
Sunrise Special Services Foundation	$15,000.00
The Mosaic Project	$20,000.00
Vida Verde	$20,000.00
Warrior Institute (fiscally sponsored by Seventh Generation Fund for Indigenous Peoples, Inc)	$20,000.00
Watsonville Wetlands Watch	$20,000.00
Wilderness Arts and Literacy Collaborative	$15,000.00
YES Nature to Neighborhoods	$20,000.00
2017 Grantmaking	**621,000**

*Organizations highlighted in orange represent incoming 2017 grantees



2017 Kaiser Permanente Partnership

Whereas the grantees listed above only included grant awards made possible through Justice Outside's partnership with the Stewardship Council, Justice Outside also maintains a grantmaking partnership with Kaiser Permanente, and is exploring additional partnerships that will help us expand our impact across California.

The Kaiser Permanente Community Benefit Program awarded Justice Outside a multi-year grant of $300,000 over two years, 2016 and 2017, to administer the Kaiser Permanente Parks and Outdoor Recreation Grantmaking Project, which seeks to increase parks and nature access for youth from central and northern California, and to advance physical activity and other health and wellness outcomes. Justice Outside selected the following organizations to receive two-year grants in the amount of $20,000 per year in 2016 and 2017, totaling $240,000 in funds deployed ($120k in 2017).

In 2017, Kaiser Permanente renewed its support of $300,000 over two additional years, 2018 and 2019, to support Justice Outside's full grantee slate across both years. Additionally, these grant funds will support Justice Outside's Grantee Cohort Series, affirming the value of the capacity-building that grantees receive through this program.

2017 Grantees

Acta Non Verba $20,000 awarded in 2017, first installment of a grant award for their Urban Youth Farm Project that connects youth of color from East Oakland to a nature-based farm program. Youth learn about healthy eating and sustainable farming, and helps youth build savings for their educational future.

Bay Area Wilderness Training $20,000 awarded in 2017, first installment of a grant award for their programs that provide access to nature for youth from all 9 Bay Area counties, and develop outdoor educators through training, access to gear, and transportation.

Brothers on the Rise $20,000 awarded in 2017, first installment of a grant award for their programs which provide access to nature to low-income urban youth of color, integrating outdoor-based life skills, leadership development, and environmental career opportunities.

Brown Girl Surf $20,000 awarded in 2017, first installment of their grant award to support programs that integrate awareness of marine and coastal ecology through hands-on explorations and projects, while surfing. This program provides girls with an opportunity to confront and overcome fear, and develop skills in self-reliance, confidence, and leadership.



California Conservation Corps $15,000 awarded in 2017, first and final installment of their grant award to support a program that connects young people to conservation-based employment and opportunities to protect California's natural resources.

Camp Phoenix $20,000 awarded in 2017, first installment of their grant award to support programs that provide low income, middle school students with outdoor-based adventure opportunities, educational enrichment and social-emotional learning.

Center for Land-Based Learning $18,000 awarded in 2017, third and final installment of their grant award for a program inspiring and motivating youth to promote a healthy interplay between agriculture, nature, and society through their actions and as leaders in their communities.

Community Grows $20,000 awarded in 2017, first installment of their grant award to support programs that offer garden-based environmental education programs to low income youth, ages 5-17, in San Francisco.

Eastern Sierra Conservation Corps $15,000 awarded in 2017, final installment of their grant award to support programs for young adult development and leadership by providing opportunities for young people to experience and better understand their wilderness and natural resources to become better stewards of the planet.

Environmental Volunteers $20,000 awarded in 2017, final installment of their grant award to support the Transportation Fund which provides bus and transportation subsidies to help low-income students access science and environmental field trips throughout the Bay Area.

FoodWhat $20,000 awarded in 2017, first installment of their grant award to support programs that serve low-income youth across Santa Cruz County by offering training in leadership skills, sustainable agriculture, cooking and nutrition, entrepreneurship, and community service.

Gateway Mountain Center $20,000 awarded in 2017, second installment of their grant award in support of their youth development and wellness programs for teenagers in the Placer and Nevada counties to open young people's hearts, minds, and bodies to their inner and outer environments, and to their role as stewards of our world through outdoor and environmental programming.

Growing Up Wild $18,000 awarded in 2017, third and final installment for their bilingual nature adventure program that helps economically disadvantaged youth and families in the Watsonville area develop a connection to nature, life skills, and physical fitness.



LandPaths $20,000 awarded in 2017, second and final installment for programs that connect youth of all backgrounds to nature, encouraging the mutually beneficial relationship that exists between people and the land. With great intention and efforts made to reach those not typically represented in the outdoors, LandPaths finds innovative ways to make the outdoors relevant, accessible, and welcoming to historically underrepresented populations, particularly the Latino community.

Literacy for Environmental Justice $20,000 awarded in 2017, third and final installment of their grant award to support programs that support youth from the Bayview-Hunter's Point neighborhood, engaging teen interns, and recruiting hundreds of youth to participate in diverse nature-based projects and environmental advocacy.

Our Wilderness Now $20,000 awarded in 2017, third and final installment for their grant award to support their community-based programming that empowers youth through nature connection, many of whom are developing their first sensitivity to stewardship of the environment.

Peralta Hacienda Historical Park $20,000 awarded in 2017, first installment of their grant award to support community programs that support youth of color that provide history, arts, and education throughout the Bay Area. Through the site's creek, gardens and open space youth learn about ecology through hands-on science and stewardship projects.

Pie Ranch (Bay Area) $20,000 awarded in 2017, first installment of their grant award to get young people outdoors for hands-on learning and leadership experiences in environmental stewardship, sustainable gardening and farming, community-building, nutrition, and food justice.

Project Avary (Alternative Ventures for At-Risk Youth) $20,000 awarded in 2017, third and final installment of their grant award to support early intervention and long-term prevention programming for children of incarcerated parents (CIP). Project Avary relies on outdoor education and recreation as a key strategy in improving the lives of CIP's and break their social isolation. Youth are involved in meaningful and impactful outdoor activities and nature based leadership and career building opportunities, increasing the likelihood that the outdoors will become an integral part of their young adult lives.

Rooted in Resilience $20,000 awarded in 2017, first installment of their grant award to offer outdoor-based experiences to low income youth, ages 14-18, from Alameda County to improve health and environmental stewardship outcomes.



Sierra Institute for Community and Environment $15,000 awarded in 2017, first and final installment of their grant award to support programs that promote healthy and sustainable forests and watersheds by investing in rural communities and strengthening young stewardship of local and urban youth through recreation, environmental education and career development.

Sierra Native Alliance $20,000 awarded in 2017, third and final installment for programming that empowers Native youth and families in the Sierra Nevada Foothills through education, cultural resources, and environmental activities.

Student Conservation Association $20,000 awarded in 2017, to connect young people to nature and the outdoors to set them on a path to thriving, healthy lives through a continuum of hands-on conservation service opportunities.

Sunrise Middle School $20,000 awarded in 2017, second and final installment of their grant award to provide outdoor programs to middle school students in San Jose. These programs (1) provide students with important tools for building self-confidence, teamwork and leadership, (2) help students find peace and balance in the midst of often chaotic city life, (3) teach students about science, the natural world, and healthy living, (4) strengthen the bonds between students and teachers and (5) inspire students to become stewards of the Earth.

Sunrise Special Services Foundation $15,000 awarded in 2017, first and final installment of their grant award to support programs that promote outdoor education including cultural components of protecting and restoring Lake County Watersheds.

The Mosaic Project $20,000 awarded in 2017, third and final installment of their grant award to support a program working towards a peaceful future by uniting young people of diverse backgrounds, providing them with essential community building skills, and empowering them to become peacemakers at their weeklong outdoor school in Napa. They explore their interconnectedness to the natural world, to each other and their communities through environmental science and socio-emotional curriculum and programming.

Vida Verde $20,000 awarded in 2017, first installment of their grant award to support programs that promote educational equity through overnight learning experiences for youth of color throughout Northern California.

Warrior Institute $20,000 awarded in 2017, second and final installment of their grant award for their programs which provide holistic, innovative solutions to organize and build indigenous leadership in

JUSTICE OUTSIDE

the northern California region by forging new generations of young leaders with balanced (ki:maw) minds, bodies, and spirits who are empowered to create health, economic equality, and environmental justice for the next seven generations and beyond through outdoor experiences and environmental education.

Watsonville Wetlands Watch $20,000 awarded in 2017, third and final installment of their grant award for programs that foster wetland stewardship among youth by building their personal connection with the wetlands and increasing their understanding of the important ecological functions that the wetlands serve.

Wilderness Arts and Literacy $15,000 awarded in 2017, first installment of their grant award for support of academic environmental education programs and outdoor experiences at two low-income high schools in San Francisco.

YES Nature to Neighborhoods $20,000 awarded in 2017, third and final installment of their grant award for programs to provide outdoor camp and community experiences for teens to develop leadership and life skills that promote successful transition to adulthood, and to expose youth to careers in the outdoors.

2016 Grantmaking Overview

In 2016, Justice Outside awarded its second round of multi-year grants to the 14 organizations from the previous year. We also awarded grants to 10 additional organizations in 2016, each receiving 2-year grants.

2016 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants

Organization Legal Name	2016 Award
Adventure Risk Challenge	$20,000.00
Brothers on the Rise *(fiscally sponsored by San Francisco Study Center)*	$15,000.00
Brown Girl Surf *(fiscally sponsored by Social Good Fund)*	$15,000.00
Center for Land-Based Learning	$18,000.00
Gateway Mountain Center *(fiscally sponsored by Social and Environmental Entrepreneurs)*	$25,000.00
GirlVentures	$20,000.00
Growing Up Wild *(fiscally sponsored by The Children Are Our Future)*	$20,000.00
LandPaths	$21,000.00
Literacy for Environmental Justice	$20,000.00
The Mosaic Project	$20,000.00

JUSTICE OUTSIDE

Movimiento	$20,000.00
Our Wilderness Now *(fiscally sponsored by Round Valley Indian Health Center)*	$20,000.00
Outdoor Educators Institute	$30,000.00
Outward Bound California	$20,000.00
Pie Ranch	$15,000.00
Project AVARY	$20,000.00
Seven Tepees Youth Program	$20,000.00
Sierra Native Alliance	$20,000.00
The Student Conservation Association	$20,000.00
Sunrise Middle School	$25,000.00
Tuolumne River Preservation Trust	$20,000.00
Warrior Institute *(fiscally sponsored by Seventh Generation Fund for Indigenous Peoples, Inc)*	$21,000.00
Waterside Workshops	$15,000.00
Watsonville Wetlands Watch	$20,000.00
Youth Enrichment Strategies *(aka YES Nature to Neighborhoods)*	$20,000.00
Trust for Public Land	$500,000.00
2016 Grantmaking	**$1,000,000.00**

*Organizations highlighted in yellow represent incoming 2016 grantees

Additionally, Justice Outside awarded a $500,000 Infrastructure Grant in 2016 to the Trust for Public Land to help complete the restoration of Hilltop Park in the Bayview neighborhood of San Francisco. The $6.7M renovation project was funded largely by AB32, but completed thanks to a coalition including Trust for Public Land, San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department, the community organization Parks 94124, and local residents, in an effort to bring open land and space to children in all areas of the city. Justice Outside was proud to allocate the $500k Infrastructure Grant to support the development of park designs identified by Bayview residents as critical to making Hilltop Park a safe and vital community resource. The park reopened to the public on December 3, 2016.

2015 Grantmaking Overview

Through its strategic planning work, Justice Outside heard multiple requests to streamline its grant application process. Organizations were invited in the Fall to submit a Letter of Intent by December 5, 2014. Justice Outside was in receipt of 67 LOIs and after the initial screening by staff, 38 were forwarded to Justice Outside's External Advisory Panel for review. On January 22nd, the EAP members met and collectively narrowed the list of potential grantees to 25.



2015 Multi-Year and One-Year Grants

Organization Legal Name	2015 Award
Bay Area Wilderness Training (fiscally sponsored by Earth Island Institute)	$6,264.46
Brothers on the Rise (fiscally sponsored by San Francisco Study Center)	$25,000.00
Brown Girl Surf (fiscally sponsored by Social Good Fund)	$25,000.00
Center for Land-Based Learning	$20,000.00
Environmental Volunteers (to support Redwood High School's Redwood Environmental Academy and Leadership program)	$2,500.00
Environmental Volunteers	$30,000.00
Environmental Volunteers (pass-through grant from Morgan Family Foundation)	$30,000.00
Growing Up Wild (fiscally sponsored by The Children Are Our Future)	$30,000.00
Literacy for Environmental Justice	$30,000.00
The Mosaic Project	$30,000.00
Movimiento	$3,500.00
Our Wilderness Now (fiscally sponsored by Cloud Forest Institute)	$35,000.00
Outdoor Educators Institute	$22,735.54
Bay Area Wilderness Training	$3,500.00
Brothers on the Rise (San Francisco Study Center)	$500.00
Crissy Field Center - Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy	$1,008.00
East Bay Regional Parks	$100.00
Environmental Traveling Companions	$2,800.00
Latino Outdoors	$500.00
Lighthouse for the Blind and Visually Impaired	$1,045.00
NatureBridge	$3,425.00
Outward Bound California	$6,325.00
Pie Ranch	$1,012.00
ReadySF	$2,250.00
Youth Enrichment Strategies	$270.54
Pie Ranch	$25,000.00
Project AVARY	$25,000.00
Sierra Native Alliance	$30,000.00
The Student Conservation Association	$30,000.00
Sunrise Special Services	$3,500.00
Tides Center (to support San Francisco High School's Get Out and Learn program)	$1,500.00
Waterside Workshops	$25,000.00
Watsonville Wetlands Watch	$25,000.00
Youth Development Network	$45,000.00

JUSTICE OUTSIDE

Youth Enrichment Strategies (YES Nature to Neighborhoods)	$30,000.00
2015 Total Grantmaking	**$530,000.00**

**Organizations highlighted in blue represent those that received microgrants. Organizations highlighted in yellow represent partner organizations who worked with Justice Outside's Outdoor Educators Institute program.*

2014 Grantmaking Overview

Justice Outside awarded a total of $464,700 to 18 youth serving organizations. This was the first year of MGA reporting for the organization.

2014 Multi-Year and One-Year Awards

Organization Legal Name	2014 Award
Bay Area Outreach and Recreation Program	$20,000
Bay Area Wilderness Training	$50,000
Big City Mountaineers (OEI)	$100,000
Environmental Volunteers (Transportation)	$50,000
Girls Inc. of Alameda County	$20,000
Growing Up Wild	$13,000
Movimiento	$10,500
Native Alliance of the Sierra Nevada Foothills	$30,000
NatureBridge	$13,000
One Cool Earth	$13,000
Outward Bound California	$14,700
Pie Ranch	$30,000
Project AVARY	$20,000
Seven Tepees	$15,000
Watsonville Wetlands Watch	$30,000
Wilderness Arts and Literacy Collaborative	$10,000
Wildplaces	$10,500
Youth Enrichment Strategies	$15,000
2014 Total Grantmaking	**$464,700**

JUSTICE OUTSIDE

2014 Grantees

Bay Area Outreach and Recreation (BORP) was awarded $20,000. Through the organization's Youth Outdoor Adventures and Cycling programs, BORP directly seeks to accomplish the objective of providing youth with meaningful participation in outdoor urban and wilderness programs. This includes providing young people with disabilities with a variety of recreational opportunities.

Bay Area Wilderness Training (BAWT) was awarded $50,000. BAWT empowers teachers and youth workers to integrate outdoor and environmental education curriculum into their programs. Through low-cost wilderness leadership courses, free gear lending libraries, and financial and logistical support, these leaders are given the confidence, equipment, and tools to bring youth into the outdoors.

Girls Inc. of Alameda County was awarded $20,000 for its Eureka! Program. The Eureka! Program, which reaches girls in grades 8-12, aims to build confidence and skills while fostering participants' career interest in the Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM) fields. In Eureka! girls participate in an Environmental Adventures program by taking positive risks, learning new skills, and developing their confidence through hands-on activities in outdoor spaces in their community and throughout Northern California.

Growing Up Wild was awarded $13,000. Growing Up Wild is a bilingual nature adventure program that helps economically disadvantaged youth and families in Santa Cruz County develop a connection to nature, life skills, and physical fitness. Growing Up Wild accomplishes its mission by implementing two programs: Boys in the Woodz (a coming-of-age program that provides four, one week-long sessions of camping in the wilderness for adolescent boys) and the Family Camp Program (a camping experience program for families with children ages 12 and under).

Movimiento was awarded $10,500. Movimiento's mission is to support adolescents and young adults in navigating complex times with agility, skill, and heart. Movimiento youth participate in after-school bi-weekly meetings and weekend trips that bring them to nature and wilderness, connect them with food through farming, educate and activate them around climate change, and provide opportunities for social justice engagement in their communities.

NatureBridge was awarded $13,000 for its WildLink program, which transforms youth through a series of powerful outdoor experiences offered at no cost in wilderness and local communities. WildLink educates, inspires, and empowers youth to become environmental stewards with lifelong connections to the natural world in the wilderness and at home.



Outward Bound California was awarded $14,700 for the Youth Leadership Corps (YLC) which is a merit-based scholarship program that empowers a group of 10 highly motivated Bay Area teens to build their leadership skills and give back to their community.

One Cool Earth was awarded $13,000 for The GreenWorks Collaborative project. This project provides students with opportunities to work and play in the outdoors. During school, students complete projects in outdoor classrooms and operate a school plant nursery as a business, gaining job-skills and work experience. Off campus, students participate in restoration projects, hiking, climbing, kayaking, camping, and job shadowing.

Pie Ranch was awarded $30,000 in support of the Pie Ranch Youth Education program. This program helps Bay Area youth get outside in their own communities, school gardens, and at a sustainable San Mateo County farm for hands-on farming, gardening and cooking experiences, and lessons about the environment, food, farming and nutrition. The program's goal is to encourage, inspire and enable young people to live healthier lives, take action to preserve the natural environment, and create healthier schools and communities.

Project Avary was awarded $20,000 for the Outdoor Leaders Training Project. This program will build confidence, physical capacities, and an appreciation of the outdoors among a pool of young outdoor leaders who serve as role models for younger children in the program. Participants will develop individualized training plans that are consistent with their personal interests and aptitudes. The project will culminate by connecting trained youth graduates with real employment experience both at Project AVARY and with partner organizations.

Seven Tepees was awarded $15,000 for the Get Outside! program. This program continues Seven Tepees' 18-year tradition of getting urban youth outside by immersing them in meaningful outdoor experiences over the course of seven years. During this year, the program's 85 participants will collectively spend over 12,000 hours outdoors.

Sierra Native Alliance was awarded $30,000 for the Native Youth Conservation Corps project. This project engages Native American youth in a year-long environmental internship. Program participants will train in restoration techniques, work on restoration projects, learn about professional opportunities in the conservation field, gain skills in advocacy and technological outreach, and be empowered as community leaders by producing peer-education materials and facilitating outdoor education experiences for youth.



Watsonville Wetlands Watch was awarded $30,000 for the Wetland Stewards Middle School program. This program will conduct weekly action-oriented field trips to the Watsonville wetlands for 125 youth in the 7th and 8th grades who are mentored by high school students trained in wetland activities. The goal of the program is to foster wetland stewardship among youth by building their personal connection with the wetlands and increasing their understanding of the important ecological functions that the wetlands serve.

Wilderness Arts and Literacy Collaborative (WALC) was awarded $10,000 for its Using Environmental Education as the Framework for Academics project at two inner-city high schools in San Francisco. WALC utilizes environmental education concepts taught through place-based field studies and stewardship projects in local, state, and national parks in California as integrating frameworks for a rigorous and relevant academic curriculum that focuses on the experiences and communities of our multicultural student population.

WildPlaces was awarded $10,500. WildPlaces protects, restores,and serves the wild and rural places in the southern Sierra. Together with the youth and adult communities within the San Joaquin Valley, WildPlaces designs, plans and implements volunteer-driven habitat restoration, leadership and youth development, and gang prevention projects. Since 2001, they have led projects to educate and empower young people regarding watershed protection and action to create a more sustainable and equitable future for all.

Youth Enrichment Strategies (YES) was awarded $15,000. Founded on the belief that supported discovery experiences in nature act as a catalyst for individual and community transformation, YES offers programs that help participants sustain these experiences upon return from camp to their urban communities. YES core programs include: the Summer Camp program that provides support for up to 300 children and youth to attend overnight summer camps annually; the Camp-to-Community (C2C) leadership program for adolescents; the Family Camp, providing family camping experiences; and the Wellness program, a health advocacy and leadership program for adults.

FOUNDATION
for
YOUTH INVESTMENT

2013 Catalyst Awards

Organization Name	Amount Awarded	City	Region
Adventurers and Scientists for Conservation	$6,100	Oakland	Bay Area
City of Gonzales	$15,000	City of Gonzales	Central Coast
Fresno Police Activities League	$15,000	Fresno	San Joaquin Valley
Growing Up Wild	$15,000	Watsonville	Bay Area
Kids in Parks	$15,000	San Francisco	San Francisco
Northridge Cooperative Homes CommUNITY Garden	$15,000	San Francisco	San Francisco
One Cool Earth	$15,000	Paso Robles, CA	Central Coast
San Mateo Youth Foundation	$15,000	San Mateo City & Foster City	Bay Area
Sequoia Union High School District	$15,000	Redwood City/East Palo Alto	Bay Area
Sonoma Valley Teen Services	$15,000	Sonoma	Bay Area
St. Francis Center of Redwood City	$15,000	North Fair Oaks, Redwood City	Bay Area
Stop the Violence Movement, Inc	$15,000	Bakersfield	San Joaquin Valley
The Jack and Buena Foundation	$11,000	Modesto	San Joaquin Valley
The Wahine Project	$15,000	Salinas	Central Coast
Trips for Kids Santa Cruz	$9,500	Watsonville	Bay Area
Ventana Wilderness Alliance	$14,000	Salinas	Central Coast
Wild Equity Institute	$11,500	San Francisco	San Francisco
Women's Mountain Passages	$15,000	Quincy	Shasta Cascade
Total:	**$247,100**		

FOUNDATION
for
YOUTH INVESTMENT

Adventurers and Scientist for Conservation (Bay Area) was awarded $6,100 for the **Expedition Classroom** program, a hands-on learning experience for students of West Oakland Middle School. The program will combine a 5-day backpacking trip in the Sierras with environmental education, culminating in a research project about the endangered species, the Pika.

City of Gonzales (Central Coast) was awarded $15,000 for the **Environmental Leadership Academy Outdoor Adventures** program. This program will focus on four outdoor themes, including Mountains, Oceans, Snow and Camping. The adventures will include environmental education, leadership training, outdoor survival skills, and presentations by naturalists.

Fresno Police Activities League (San Joaquin Valley) was awarded $15,000 to the **Fresno PAL Mentor** program which connects police personnel with at-risk youth to provide guidance and mentorship. The program was established to help prevent the youth of Fresno from joining gangs, dropping out of school, or turning to drugs and crime. Participants will enjoy great outdoor activities like hiking, camping, and swimming.

Growing Up Wild-The Children Are Our Future (Bay Area) was awarded $15,000 for **The Boys in the Woodz** program, a coming-of-age program that provides groups of underserved teen boys with educational experiences in the wilderness. Groups engage in four weekends of hands-on outdoor activities that foster life skills, health and fitness, and nature education.

Kids in Parks (San Francisco) was awarded $15,000 in support of multiple environmental education programs. Students will participate in weekly or bi-weekly classroom and field sessions, studying the many ecological resources in their communities and caring for those resources through service learning. In the process, students will gain vocational skills that translate into real-world careers--helping them connect to their communities and empowering them to succeed in the workforce.

Northridge Cooperative Homes CommUNITY Garden (San Francisco) was awarded $15,000 for their community garden. This project brings together youth residents of a housing project to learn about gardening and ecology, while providing healthy food for the housing complex. Simultaneously they will be building their interpersonal skills--leadership, cooperation, communication---to strengthen group cohesion and create an effective team. Throughout the season they will make site visits and exchange work days with other youth garden projects, as well as, plan visits to local farms.

One Cool Earth (Central Coast) was awarded $15,000 for **The GreenWorks Collaborative** project. This project provides students with opportunities to work and play in the outdoors. During school, students complete projects in outdoor classrooms and operate a school plant nursery as a business, gaining job-skills and work

experience. Off campus, students participate in restoration projects, hiking, climbing, kayaking, camping, and job shadowing. Additionally, students earn gift cards and cash for their work in service and nursery projects.

San Mateo Youth Foundation (Bay Area) was awarded $15,000 for their college mentorship program. College students who are SMYF alumni come back to mentor current students enrolled in the program. The program focuses on helping students who are less likely to be on a college track. Camping, hiking, and other activities are used as a youth development tool, and as a way to help the students and mentors bond.

Sequoia Union High School District (Bay Area) was awarded $15,000 for **The Green Academy** at Woodside High School. Students in the Green Academy benefit from a coordinated interdisciplinary curriculum that enhances classroom discussion with multiple outdoor and out-of-class experiences. Partnerships and field trips have been developed with local government, business, and community organizations who serve as resources, speakers, and mentors representing real-world sustainability initiatives and challenges.

Sonoma Valley Teen Services (Bay Area) was awarded $15,000 for its **Outdoors to Excellence** project. This program provides twice a month opportunities for teens to learn and grow through the exploration and of their local environment. Teens are given the opportunity to engage in the natural environment through mountain bike rides, guided hikes, ropes course challenges, white water rafting adventures, kayaking, and camping trips as well as a variety of other nature-related field trips.

St. Francis Center of Redwood City (Bay Area) was awarded $15,000 in support the **Outdoor Adventures p**rogram. This is a 10-month class focusing on outdoor education. Youth will be educated in mountain biking, nature rides, wilderness preservation, camping, nature photography, hiking, and garden-to-table classes.

Stop the Violence Movement Inc. (San Joaquin Valley) was awarded $15,000 for **Project XPLORE** which will empower participants with the experiences, skills, and opportunities to access the outdoors while developing leadership and life skills that can have positive impacts on their lives and community. This 12-month program will train and develop a leadership group of 10 inner-city youth through the CA State Parks' Outdoor Youth Connection that will plan and implement at least two additional outdoor events for peers including a recreational and a service project.

The Jack and Buena Foundation (San Joaquin Valley) was awarded $11,000 for its **Camp Jack Hazard** program. This program will extend their summer program into the school year and will include a watershed curriculum, citizen science, outdoor skills development, leadership training, and camping. The program will also take its participants to the Sierras for a full week.

The Wahine Project (Bay Area) was awarded $15,000 for its surf and outdoor education program for girls. This program includes a carefully planned schedule of surf lessons, surf excursions, lectures and field trips, balanced with physical conditioning and ocean related recreation activities. The participants are exposed to yoga and other forms of exercise, beach clean ups and global outreach activities. The curriculum includes the



ove Self Esteem Workshops"; "Global Citizenship Curriculum" by Surfaid International, Team building; ıtrition education, art activities and ocean conservation.

ips for Kids Santa Cruz (Bay Area) was awarded $9,500 for their mountain biking program in Watsonville. ıis program offers two rides a month to a diverse group of youth ranging from at-risk youth in transitional ›mes to city-subsidized youth summer camps, and youth living in low-income housing complexes. The imary focus is working with youth to give them a positive outdoor experience on a bike while also introducing ɐm to nature and healthy living.

ɛntana Wilderness Alliance (Central Coast) was awarded $14,000 for its **Youth in Wilderness** program. udents will learn outdoor and interpersonal skills that will prepare them for future backcountry expeditions. ıe program will focus on different themes, such as "Leave No Trace" outdoor ethics, orienteering, survival ills, natural history, wilderness first aid and team-building. After the trips, debrief discussions will focus on ntinuing student involvement with conservation issues and how they can help protect the Ventana ilderness.

ild Equity Institute (San Francisco) was awarded $11,500 for its **Endangered Species Big Semester** ɔject. This program helps at-risk middle and high school students observe local endangered species in the ld, learn how issues impacting their communities also impact local wildlife, and make healthy lifestyle choices at promote endangered species recovery. It is a competition, offering students incentives to develop their adership skills, become effective stewards of their local parks, and to become civically engaged, all while egrating basic environmental and ecological concepts into their daily life.

omen's Mountain Passages (Shasta Cascade) was awarded $15,000 for its **Girl's Rite** project. Girl's Rite a year-round youth development program that encourages and inspires adolescent girls to build and sustain ıisting relationships, solve problems, cultivate leadership skills, and develop peer group, community, and ıvironmental connections. Participants will establish these connections through facilitated group mentoring ssions, outdoor recreational activities, and service learning opportunities.

FOUNDATION
for
YOUTH INVESTMENT

2013 Impact 1 Awards

Organization Name	Amount Recommended	City	Region
Boys & Girls Clubs of Fresno County	$30,000.00	Fresno	San Joaquin Valley
Community Partners For Youth, Inc.	$40,000.00	San Jose	Bay Area
Deputy Sheriffs' Activities League	$35,000.00	Ashland	Bay Area
Environmental Traveling Companions	$25,000.00	San Francisco, Oakland, Richmond, San Jose, East Palo Alto, Redwood City, Hayward	Bay Area
Good Samaritan Family Resource Center	$30,000.00	San Francisco	San Francisco
Mountain Crisis Services, Inc.	$20,000.00	Mariposa	Central Sierra
Native Alliance of the Sierra Nevada Foothills	$45,000.00	Auburn, Placerville, Grass Valley, Colfax, Foresthill, Lincoln, and Roseville	Greater Sacramento
New Leaf: A Sustainable Living Collaborative	$20,000.00	Martinez	Bay Area
Seven Tepees Youth Program	$20,000.00	San Francisco	San Francisco
Sierra Institute for Community and the Environment	$30,000.00	Greenville	Shasta Cascade
Tuolumne River Preservation Trust	$20,000.00	Modesto	San Joaquin Valley
Youth Enrichment Strategies	$20,000.00	Richmond	Bay Area
Total:	**$335,000**		



Impact 1 Project Descriptions

Boys and Girls Club of Fresno County (San Joaquin Valley) was awarded $30,000 for the **Youth on A PATH (Program for Adventure-based Training and Health)** program. This project will inspire 66 at-risk youth to seek career opportunities in the outdoor and recreation industries. While engaged in adventured based learning, youth from diverse backgrounds will develop leadership and positive life skills that will help them break the cycle of poverty and risky behaviors.

Community Partners for Youth (Bay Area) was awarded $40,000 for the **Step Up/Ahead** program. This program helps develop trust and teamwork by getting at-risk youth of color out of their familiar routine and into nature. With teen alumni as peer-mentors, underserved teens experience youth-led nature hikes, 4-day wilderness camp retreat with high ropes course, monthly outdoor enrichment activities, BBQs, and camping.

Deputy Sherriff's Activities League (Bay Area) was awarded $35,000 to the **Ashland Outdoor Education Project** program The Ashland Outdoor Education Project is a collaborative project of the Alameda County Deputy Sheriffs' Activity League (DSAL) and the REACH Ashland Youth Center. The project provides opportunities for youth to safely challenge themselves through outdoor educational activities. These activities increase access to natural environments, develop social justice skills, build leadership skills, and increase overall well-being of youth.

Environmental Traveling Companions (Bay Area) was awarded $25,000 for **Youth LEAD Program (Leadership, Environment, Adventure, and Diversity)** program. The program offers two core components: A summer outdoor and environmental leadership camps that follow a watershed from the sea to the mountains and a school-year fellowship for seven to eight alumni to further develop their outdoor leadership and job readiness skills, and to inspire them to become empowered environmental stewards.

Good Samaritan Family Resource Center (San Francisco) was awarded $30,000 in support of the **Mission Mapaches Youth Outdoor Project**. This project enriches the lives of low-income, immigrant youth who are newcomers to San Francisco and rarely leave the Mission District. Youth will participate in a year-round program that will enable them to explore an astounding variety of outdoor environments in Northern California. Activities will include camping, backpacking, kayaking, and snow retreats, as well as day trips to Bay Area regional parks.

Mountain Crisis Center (Central Sierra) was awarded $20,000 for **Ethos Wilderness** program. This program will increase the confidence, leadership skills, community connections and wilderness appreciation of 75 underserved and at-risk youth in Mariposa County through outdoor adventure and education at land trusts in Mariposa County and in Yosemite National Park. Three partner organizations collaborate to offer year round wilderness experiences and community extension programs which introduce wellness practices, future job opportunities, and tangible life skills while receiving positive exposure to adult allies and the natural environment.



Native Alliance of the Sierra Nevada Foothills (Greater Sacramento) was awarded $45,000 for **Native Youth Conservation Corps** project. This project engages Native American youth in a year-long environmental internship that supports their social, cultural, economic and outdoor engagement needs. Youth will train in restoration techniques, work on restoration projects, learn about a range of professional opportunities in the conservation field, gain skills in advocacy and technological outreach, and be empowered as community leaders by producing peer-education materials and facilitating outdoor education experiences for underserved youth.

New Leaf: A Sustainable Living Collaborative (Bay Area) was awarded $20,000 for the **New Leaf Collaborative Impact Project**. This project uses alternative education to enhance outdoor appreciation and outdoor career-focused skills development. Students are mentored by a variety of community members, teach concepts to other students, improve school yard habitats, and assist professional scientists. In addition there are internships related to outdoor careers such as: wildlife biology, park rangers, landscape maintenance, cultural landscape engineering, arborists, muralists, and water quality specialists.

Seven Tepees (San Francisco) was awarded $20,000 for **Get Outside!** Program. This program continues Seven Tepees' 18-year tradition of getting urban, under-served, youth outside by immersing them in meaningful outdoor experiences over the course of seven years. During this year, the program's 85 participants will collectively spend over 12,000 hours outdoors.

Sierra Institute for the Community and the Environment (Shasta Cascade) was awarded $30,000 for its **GOAL** (**Greenville Outdoor Adventure Learning)** project. The GOAL project will take Indian Valley adolescents outdoors to experience and learn about outstanding local natural resources and the outdoors through one- to three-day programs that involve hiking, backpacking, camping, river rafting, canoeing and stand-up paddle-boarding, among other activities. Programs will increase participants physical activity, increase their familiarity with the outdoors and local outdoor recreation opportunities, help them grow individually and collectively, and will provide healthy alternatives to using alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs.

Tuolumne River Preservation Trust (San Joaquin Valley) was awarded $20,000 in support the **TRAC 3.0 (Tuolumne River Adventure Club 3.0)** program. Each month youth will experience a new activity including: canoeing, fishing, geo-caching, hiking, habitat restoration, and organizing a day at the River for their families. The year of activities will culminate with a Youth Outdoor Summit that will bring together program participants, other West Modesto youth, local youth leaders and local representatives to facilitate discussion and create sustainable solutions to local barriers to outdoor recreation.

Youth Enrichment Strategies (Bay Area) was awarded $20,000 for the **Camp-to-Community Program**. This program immerses youth and families in nature, providing experiences that build self-confidence and strengthen relationships within families and communities. Camp-to-Community utilizes positive youth development practices to empower teens in developing leadership skills and translates these skills to the community and world of work.

FOUNDATION
for
YOUTH INVESTMENT

2013 Impact 2 Awards

Organization Name	Amount Recommended	City	Region
Bay Area Wilderness Training	$50,000	San Francisco, Oakland, Hayward, East Palo Alto, Alameda, Richmond, + 16 more Bay Area cities	Bay Area
City of Patterson	$40,000	City of Patterson	San Joaquin Valley
Friends of Peralta Hacienda Historical Park	$35,000	Oakland	Bay Area
LightHouse for the Blind and Visually Impaired	$35,000	San Francisco	San Francisco
Pie Ranch	$35,000	San Francisco	San Francisco
Project AVARY	$25,000	Berkeley, Emeryville, Fairfax, Fremont, Grass Valley, Hayward, Mill Valley, Napa, Oakland, Richmond	Bay Area
Round Valley Indian Health Center, Inc.	$40,000	Round Valley/Covelo	North Coast
Student Conservation Association	$25,000	East Palo Alto, Menlo Park, and Redwood City	Bay Area
TLC Child and Family Services	$30,000	Santa Rosa	Bay Area
Ubuntu Green	$35,000	Sacramento	Sacramento
Urban Promise Academy	$30,000	Oakland	Bay Area
Watsonville Wetlands Watch	$40,000	Watsonville	Bay Area
Total:	**$420,000**		

FOUNDATION
for
YOUTH INVESTMENT

Impact 2 Project Descriptions

Bay Area Wilderness Training (Bay Area) was awarded $50,000 for the **Front Country Leadership Training (FLT) and Gear Library Services** program. This program will create opportunities for at-risk/underserved youth to access the wilderness by providing teachers and youth workers with wilderness skills training, free outdoor equipment access, and financial and logistical support, equipping them to facilitate outdoor experiences.

City of Patterson (San Joaquin Valley) was awarded $40,000 for the **Patterson Youth Outdoor Skills Education** program. This program will provide disadvantaged students with educational lessons on outdoor skills taught by city staff and exposure to the outdoors. This program features many hands on activities derived from the California Children's Outdoor Bill of Rights, and it is an expansion of their after-school program.

Friends of Peralta Hacienda Historical Park (Bay Area) was awarded $35,000 to the **Camp ACE** program. Camp ACE is an outdoor spring and summer program that serves 100 diverse, low-income middle and high school students in East Oakland through fun hands-on eco-history activities, study of habitat and nature, cooking and gardening, and play.

LightHouse for the Blind and Visually Impaired (San Francisco) was awarded $35,000 for **Enchanted Hills Camp for Blind Youth** program. Through sessions specifically tailored to blind youth, Enchanted Hills Camp will empower teens to challenge personal, parental and societal expectations for independence and achievement that lasts well beyond their time spent at camp. This program will enable participants to experience the natural world in ways unimaginable to most blind youth, improving their health, wellness and sense of self-worth.

Pie Ranch (San Francisco) was awarded $40,000 in support of the **Pie Ranch Youth Education** program. This program will help Bay Area youth get outside in their own communities, in their school gardens, and at their sustainable San Mateo County farm, for hands-on farming, gardening and cooking experiences, and lessons about the environment, food, farming and nutrition. The program's goal is to encourage, inspire and enable underserved young people to live healthier lives, take action to preserve the natural environment, and create healthier schools and communities.

Project AVARY (Bay Area) was awarded $25,000 for **Outdoor Leaders Training Project**. This program will build confidence, physical capacities, and an appreciation of the outdoors among a pool of young outdoor leaders who serve as role models for younger children in the program. Participants will develop individualized training plans that are consistent with their personal interests and aptitudes. The project will culminate by connecting trained youth graduates with real employment experience both at Project AVARY and with partner organizations.



Round Valley Indian Health Center, Inc. (North Coast) was awarded $40,000 for **OWN - One With Nature** project. This program will engage Round Valley youth in weekly hikes, 2-night deep wilderness experiences, traditional arts and digital storytelling. The goal will be to foster connection to indigenous heritage and place, increased self-confidence and connection to community, leading to increased classroom success and establishing of healthy alternatives to alcohol and drugs.

Student Conservation Association (Bay Area) was awarded $25,000 for the **Green Life** program. This program will engage youth from East Palo Alto and Menlo Park in intensive outdoor experiences, community service, hands-on environmental education and green jobs training. The summer follow-up program will offer volunteer and paid conservation work opportunities for participants.

TLC Child and Family Services (Bay Area) was awarded $30,000 for **Transforming Teens Through Outdoor Connections (T3OC)** program. This program will connect youth to the outdoors using adventure therapy interventions and outdoor experiential activities such as a ropes course and wilderness therapy back packing trips, to empower diverse youth to develop trust, increase motivation, build character and improve their connections to self, others, and the community.

Ubuntu Green (Sacramento) was awarded $35,000 for its **Green Youth Leadership Team (G-Squad)** project. This program will help youth learn how to live green and healthy lifestyles. They also will work to educate their community to do the same. The youth will maintain a community garden and will learn how to distribute and sell the produce. They will also participate in trainings and workshops that will cover gardening, environmental justice, healthy eating, garden development and design, business skills, advocacy and leadership.

Urban Promise Academy (Bay Area) was awarded $30,000 in support the **Wilderness Independence and Leadership Development (WILD)** program. This program will enable students to experience over-night and day-long outdoor expeditions, and to participate in community-building conservation efforts at their school and around the Bay Area. These outdoor experiences will deepen students' relationships with teachers, friends and family, build a connection to nature, and reinforce the social, emotional and life effectiveness skills imparted by their academic environment.

Watsonville Wetlands Watch (Central Coast) was awarded $40,000 for the **Wetland Stewards Middle School** program. This program will conduct weekly action-oriented field trips to the Watsonville wetlands for 125 youth in the 7th and 8th grades, who are mentored by high school students trained in wetland activities. The goal of the program is to foster wetland stewardship among youth, by building their personal connection with the wetlands and increasing their understanding of the important ecological functions that the wetlands serve.

EXHIBIT 13: SCOPE OF WORK FOR SNC REPORT TO CPUC

I. Report on Status of Conservation Easements

A. Performance of Duties by Conservation Easement Holders

Based on the responses provided by each CE Holder in a survey ("CE Holder Survey Responses"), report whether or not the CE Holder has fulfilled its obligations, including performing annual monitoring of the lands subject to each CE, as required by the CE and if not, the reason.

B. Violations of Conservation Easements

Based on the CE Holder Survey Responses describe any breaches/violations which the CE Holders reported resulting in significant impairment of one or more of the BPVs. For each alleged breach/violation, list the BPV(s) that were impaired and note whether or not the CE Holder reported that the breach was cured. If not cured, report on the status of negotiations to comply with the terms of the Conservation Easement. Reporting this information neither represents a validation of said described beaches/violations, nor does it create any obligation or authority for SNC to intervene in the resolution of said described breaches/violations.

C. Conservation Easement Amendments

Based on the CE Holder Survey Responses, describe any amendments that were made to the CE(s) that the CE Holder stated could affect the protection of the BPVs of the subject property. Reporting this information neither represents a validation of said changes or the effects thereof, nor does it create any obligation or authority for SNC to intervene in the remedy of any adverse effects asserted with said changes.

D. Conservation Easement Assignments

Specify:

- Whether any of the CEs established through the LCC process were assignedand the identity of the new CE Holder.
- Whether the SNC approved the successor CE Holder and if the SNC did not approve the successor holder, the grounds for SNC not granting its approval.
- Whether the assigning entity transferred funding to the assignee for the purposeof monitoring and enforcing the CE and the amount of the funds received by theassignee.
- Whether the SNC or another entity performed the role of a "back-up"

CE holder, and if so for how long. For each CE held by the SNC in performance of the role of a "back-up" CE holder, specify the name of the entity that accepted the CE from the SNC and the amount of funding the SNC transferred to that entity for thepurpose of monitoring and enforcing the CE.

II. Report on Conservation Covenants

A. LRMP Revisions

If by the date of the submission of the Stewardship Council Final Report to the CPUC the Forest Service has not completed all necessary revisions of the Land and Resource Management Plans to incorporate Donated Watershed Lands into the respective National Forest ("LRMP Amendment Process"), provide an update on the Forest Service's LRMP Amendment Process since the date of the submission of the Stewardship Council Final Report and describe how the SNC was involved in the process.

B. Property Monitoring

For each Conservation Covenant, state whether or not the SNC has monitored theForest Service's management of Donated Watershed Lands in accordance with the terms of the applicable Conservation Covenant with site monitoring visits conducted not less than once every two years. If site monitoring has not been conducted at least once every two years for each property subject to a Conservation Covenant, state the reasons.

C. Conservation Covenant Violations

State whether or not the Forest Service has violated the terms of any of the Conservation Covenants. If so, describe the violation, what action was taken by theSNC to enforce the terms of the Conservation Covenant, and whether the Forest Service cured the alleged violation. In circumstances where agreement between SNC and the Forest Service has not been reached on whether a violation has occurred or been cured, include a summary which describes the reasons for such disagreement, including relevant information provided by the Forest Service.

III. Subsequent Transfers of Fee Title

Based on the CE Holder Survey Responses, list any transfers of fee title that haveoccurred since the pertinent LCC fee title donation transfer was completed.

IV. Physical and Economic Impacts of Land Conservation Commitment

A. Based on the CE Holder Survey Responses, describe any changes that were

made to prevent significant impairment of one or more of the BPVs as the result of the landowner's consultation with the CE Holder per the terms of the Conservation Easement or any Conservation Easement remedy

B. Based on the CE Holder Survey Responses, describe any changes to Pre-Existing Leases/Licenses on Donated Lands for each property specify what changes to the leases or licenses were made and whether the change affects the protection of one or more of the BPVs.

C. Enhancement Program Update

1. For each Enhancement Program grant that was active after the date of issuance ofthe Stewardship Council Final Report, provide the following information:

- The amount of payments made to the grantee
- The project completion date
- A description of the completed project

2. Specify the total amount of Direct Grant Payments that the SNC made to granteessince the date of the Stewardship Council Final Report.

3. Specify whether all the Enhancement Program grants administered by the SNC havebeen closed and if not why.

EXHIBIT 14: CONSERVATION EASEMENT MONITORING

Transaction Name/LCCP	Fee Title Donee or PG&E Retained/ Conservation Easement Holder	Initial Status Report Date and Results
Kennedy Meadows	Tuolumne County/Mother Lode Land Trust	12/18/19 - This project remains compliant with the CE and baseline. In 2016 some dispersed camping, potential unauthorized road use noted. In 2019 Stream Bank restoration occurred as per the pre-approved plan.
Doyle Springs	PG&E/Sequoia Riverlands Trust	5/1/19 - No issues
Narrows	University of CA/Bear Yuba Land Trust	1/22/20 - Yellow star thistle and mullein were seen along the riverfront section of the property. The growth and spread of the species should be monitored to make sure they aren't spreading throughout the property.
Kern River	PG&E/Sequoia Riverlands Trust	5/1/19 - Numerous stands of invasive castor beans were found on-site. The CE does not require PG&E to do invasive species control. Property for sale. Special status species observed on site.
Middle Fork Stanislaus	PG&E/Mother Lode Land Trust	12/18/19 - In 2016 possible encroachment observed, PG&E surveyed, issue resolved. The MFSR parcels remain in compliance with the CE and align with the BDR.
Lower Bear (Upper Bear)	PG&E/Mother Lode Land Trust	12/18/19 - One parcel has informal camping occurring. This was present at the time of signing of the baseline and is not by itself an easement violation, but no adequate facilities exist for trash and bathrooms so there is concern about increased impact and it potential for future issues. MLLT is working with PG&E and the Resort owner (this parcel is under lease to the resort) to find a solution. For now, signage and blocking access roads to deter use has occurred.
Narrows	PG&E/Bear Yuba Land Trust	1/22/20 - There were no areas of concern at this time.
Iron Canyon Reservoir	PG&E/Western Shasta RCD	1/4/23 - In 2017 landslide and downed timber from storm damage observed. No challenges have been encountered on the property so far and there are no violations to note.
Lower Drum (Christian Valley)	Auburn Recreation and Park District/Placer Land Trust	12/27/19 - The only issue that occurred was the remains of a transient camp that was noted during the 2017 monitoring visit. The landowner was notified and they promptly removed the camp and litter. There have been no violations of the Conservation Easement.
Fordyce (Sterling)	PG&E/Bear Yuba Land Trust	1/22/20 - Jet ski use was observed on Sterling Lake during the annual monitoring visit. The individuals responsible were camping in the informal camping area on the North end of the lake. PG&E took immediate action to close the road leading to the campsites with boulders and posted "No Camping" signs. FERC regulations require the area to be improved in the future. BYLT has recommended posting signs regarding the restriction of motorized vehicles on the lake and removing the "No Camping" signs as the informal campsites are protected under the BPV's.
Fordyce (Meadow Lake)	PG&E/Bear Yuba Land Trust	1/22/20 - The main area of concern is on the northern side of the lake on the hillside. There is an ephemeral drainage

		that is experiencing erosion and channelization due to lack of vegetation to stabilize the soil. The change in the channel cut has noticeably increased since 2014. Recommend addressing this issue by using Erosion Control Methods. The remainder of the Property was in good condition.
Lake Spaulding (Camp 19)	University of CA/Bear Yuba Land Trust	1/22/20 - The forest on Parcel 797 continues to establish since the Fall Fire. The conifers have outcompeted the shrubs in most areas and the succession is exciting to observe. No changes were noted to the area of Parcel 797 east of the Bowman-Spaulding Canal or outside of the burn area. The forest on Parcel 795 has changed dramatically since the baseline survey based on the research and implementation of the THP. The THP covered 487 acres which will be treated. On Parcel 795 near the creek there were signs of recent camping and shooting.
Merced River (asset sale)	Merced Irrigation District/Sierra Foothill Conservancy	12/30/19 - SFC has implemented the Merced Falls Restoration planting and has continued the monitoring and maintenance of the plants and irrigation system. The project has been extremely successful. The property has issues related to trash and debris from recreational (fishing) day use. The issue in documented in the CE monitoring report and SFC will talk with Merced Irrigation District staff to recommend new trash containers and more frequent clean-up to help with issue.
Lower Drum (Pinecroft Reserve)	Placer County/Placer Land Trust	12/27/19 - There have been no issues or changes that have occurred on the property since preparation of the BDR. There have been no violations of the Conservation Easement.
Fordyce (Kidd/Cascade)	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	12/27/19 - In 2018 PLT observed an established camp at Lower Cascade Lake. At the camp, a picnic table, two bathtubs cemented in place, and a fire pit were present. There were also several smaller trees cut down at the site. These activities are not permitted by the Conservation Easement and were considered a “Unauthorized Third-Party Use”. PG&E was present during the monitoring visit and also observed this unauthorized use. We discussed the actions required to remedy the issue and the landowner promptly addressed the issue and removed the items from the Preserve. No violations of the Conservation Easement occurred.
Lower Drum (Old Airport)	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	12/27/19 - The only issue or change that has occurred is the re-establishment of scotch broom along a portion of the property. The main concern is the potential fire hazard that it causes, since it is located underneath a power line. We have communicated the concern with the landowner and are hoping to see it addressed in the next Annual Work Plan. There were no violations of the Conservation Easement.
Lower Drum (Upper Pinecroft)	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	12/27/19 - The only issue that occurred was evidence of target shooting that we observed on the property. There were broken clay pigeons and bullet casing on the ground and an oak tree was used as a back stop. It is not known who is doing the target shooting on the property. The landowner cleaned-up the clay pigeons and bullet casings

		and posted signs discouraging shooting on the property. There were no violations of the Conservation Easement.
McArthur Swamp	Fall River RCD/Ducks Unlimited	3/13/20 - Minor alterations consistent with the terms of the Conservation Easement have occurred since the preparation of the BDR. These activities include, fence maintenance, vegetation management, relocation of a fence boundary to coincide with the surveyed property boundary, and installation of a storage shed for vegetation management materials. Any activity not specifically allowable per the easement was submitted as a written request to Wetlands Trust America, Inc. and was reviewed with a written determination given to Fall River Resource Conservation District. There have not been any violations of the Conservation Easement to date.
Kilarc	PG&E/Western Shasta RCD	1/4/22 - Some trees have been removed near caretaker's house and small washouts were treated on steep hill below canal by Photo Point 13. Trespassing has been an issue on the property since it has been closed to the public. The past few years there has been trash left in the picnic area, graffiti on the bathroom, and a fire started in the picnic area last year. PG&E has staged boulders at access points to the picnic area to dissuade visitor use. There have not been any violations.
Lower Drum (Rock Creek)	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	12/27/19 - The only issue that occurred on the easement is homeless activity and associated littering from abandoned camps. The landowner was notified and has since cleaned-up the abandoned camps and litter. The landowner also hired a security contractor to patrol the property and discourage homeless activity. Also, there was a condemnation by right of eminent domain on the easement by Nevada County Irrigation District (NID) for water conveyance facilities. There were no violations of the Conservation Easement.
Lower Drum (Halsey)	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	12/27/19 - The only issue that we noted on the easement area is the presence and increased cover of invasive scotch broom, which can be a potential fire hazard. We have expressed our concern to the landowner and recommended that they add management of broom to the Annual Work Plan. There were no violations of the Conservation Easement.
Wishon Reservoir	PG&E/Sierra Foothill Conservancy	12/30/19 - A hunting blind was observed during the monitoring visit and SFC has requested information as to if it is on the conservation easement area. Waiting to hear back from PG&E regarding the status.
Lake Spaulding (Lindsey Lakes)	PG&E/Bear Yuba Land Trust	1/22/20 - The Property remains in the same condition as when the BDR was completed in 2016.
Blue Lakes	PG&E/Mother Lode Land Trust	12/18/19 - PG&E is working on retrofitting the levee at Upper lake but it is within the HOZ area. This property is in compliance with the CE and BDR.

McArthur Swamp	PG&E/Ducks Unlimited	3/13/20 - There have been no issues or changes on the property since the preparation of the BDR. There have been no violations of the Conservation Easement.
Chili Bar	PG&E/Mother Lode Land Trust	12/18/19 - No issues
Mountain Meadows Reservoir	PG&E/Feather River Land Trust	2/5/20 - Property appears little changed since the closing occurred. The primary concerns are associated with livestock grazing on PG&E lands and the impacts that are resulting from that land use. There are also concerns about non-designated vehicle use, primarily OHVs on the property. An abandoned travel trailer was discovered onsite (new in 2019) FRLT and PG&E will work cooperatively to ensure that this item is disposed of properly before it degrades further and causes additional impacts to the BPVs identified in the CE. We discovered evidence of illegal tree removal on PG&E property in the Hamilton Branch area. We also documented a number of concerns along the canal system that are contributing sediment into the Hamilton Branch.
Lake McCloud	PG&E/Western Shasta RCD	1/4/23 - No issues or violations encountered or observed on the property.
Willow Creek	PG&E/Sierra Foothill Conservancy	12/30/19 - Only minor issue was the grazing lessee had the mineral lick a little close to Willow Creek and the ground was becoming impacted by the cattle use which could cause erosion issues. PG&E was notified and the rancher removed the mineral supplement.
Kerckhoff Lake	PG&E/Sierra Foothill Conservancy	12/30/19 - No issues, changes or violations observed. PG&E is utilizing a temporary "laydown area" in large parking area to store materials across from the campground host site and day use area. 10 x 10 storage shed for camp host constructed.
Fall River Mills	Fall River Valley CSD/Shasta Land Trust	There were no changes observed on the property. The property is currently used as important wildlife habitat as the majority is undeveloped open space with oak woodlands and grasslands in close proximity to Fall River and the Pit River. Some locals use the property for informal recreational river access.
Lyons Reservoir	PG&E/Mother Lode Land Trust	5/15/23 No issues or changes have occurred.
Battle Creek	PG&E/Northern California Regional Land Trust	12/18/20 - There have been no issues or violations on this property to date
Cow Creek	PG&E/Shasta Land Trust	9/24/21 - There was a minor change observed on the property. A distribution utility pole located in parcel 300 was installed and gravel was added to the road to improve road maintenance/access. Both activities were described in the 2020 Annual Work Plan sent at the beginning of the year and both activities are allowed reserved rights.
Humbug Valley	Maidu Summit Consortium/Feather River Land Trust & CA Dept of Fish and Wildlife	3/25/21 - Existing roads with erosion concerns and infrastructure needs, meadow restoration in process with beaver dam analogs, dumping sites from before closing, ongoing grazing, grass fire of 15 acres with vehicle tracks and fire lines in meadow.
Fordyce (White Rock) Lake	PG&E/Bear Yuba Land Trust	9/29/21 – No changes

Lower Drum/Bell Road	PG&E/Placer Land Trust	10/7/21 - Minor encroachment activities by the neighbors along the west property boundary, which PG&E has addressed. There have been no violations of the Conservation Easement.
Auberry Service Center	PG&E/Sierra Foothill Conservancy	1/22/21 - No issues, changes or violations observed.
North Fork Mokelumne River	CAL FIRE/Mother Lode Land Trust	5/16/23 - No issues or changes have occurred.
Lake Almanor - Maidu Interpretive Trail	Maidu Summit Consortium/Feather River Land Trust	3/25/21 - no changes
Kings River	PG&E/Sequoia Riverlands Trust	10/13/21 - Encroachment issues are ongoing with neighboring property lessees. SRT and PG&E have agreed to meet in early 2022 to resolve next year's encroachment issues.
Manzanita Lake	Madera County/Sierra Foothill Conservancy	5/9/23 - The property continues to have issues with considerable illegal dumping however Madera County has responded by cleaning the sites up. Additionally, day users are leaving trash. More frequent visits to the property by Madera County staff are necessary for their own property monitoring and maintenance to ensure that there are no violations happening. Trail/roads that were implemented as part of the recreation plan have severe erosion and gulling as documented in the monitoring reports. Water bars were suggested however culverts may be necessary.
Lake Almanor - Maidu Forest	Maidu Summit Consortium/Feather River Land Trust	4/23/21 - Issues identified since the preparation of the BDR consist of continued dumping of waste on the property and off-highway-vehicle use/impacts to the meadow onsite. These impacts are from unauthorized third-party use. MSC is attempting to address these issues with improved signage, regular patrols of the property and the implementation of a joint clean-up event with MSC and FRLT staff, volunteers and Board Members from both organizations.
Butte Creek	PG&E/Northern California Regional Land Trust	5/10/23 The only issue we have come across is an illegal dump site on the property. We made note of it last year during the 2022 monitoring visit, and PG&E promptly dealt with the issue. They hired a contractor to clean up the area and contacted us when the clean-up was finished.
Philbrook Reservoir	PG&E/Northern California Regional Land Trust	5/10/23 Property is in good condition.
Manzanita Lake	PG&E/Sierra Foothill Conservancy	10/14/22 - Resources are well managed along with structures. Planning meeting with PG&E to discuss marked areas for new construction adjacent to powerhouse and operator house. Distribution substation expansion proposed. Stakes lined out. Not part of HOZ.
North Fork Feather River	PG&E/Northern California Regional Land Trust	5/9/23 The Dixie Fire and post fire recovery has been the biggest challenge. Much of the property has significant fire damage and several areas have had mudslides/rockslides due to the lack of vegetation and rain. PG&E is diligently working to restore roads, powerlines, and recreation sites while also clearing out burned debris as needed on the

		Property. They also keep us abreast of the changes as they arise.
Fall River Mills - Fall River RCD	Fall River RCD/Shasta Land Trust	5/4/23 A grazing plan was submitted to SLT for approval. Fencing improvements were completed in 2022 to accommodate the grazing operation. The landowners have allowed grazing to occur on the property through a grazing lease. Due to the drought conditions, cattle were only present for a few months so not to overgraze the annual grasses. There have been no changes on the property that fall outside the allowed reserved rights easement terms or violations of the conservation easement to date.
Fall River Mills – FRVCSD	Fall River CSD/Shasta Land Trust	12/5/19 - There have been no changes on the property or violations of the conservation easement to date.
Fall River Valley	Ducks Unlimited/PG&E	9/30/22 - The old cabin structure on the property has collapsed and the neighboring landowner has conducted weed abatement through burning which may have crossed onto PG&E property along Ivy Horr Northern Pond. Both changes have been documented in the annual monitoring report. There have been no violations of the Conservation Easement.
Fall River Mills - Hat Creek Rifle & Pistol Club	Fall River RCD/SLT	5/4/23 The creation of a trap shooting range and the removal of the hazard trees were both started without any notice by the landowner. The misstep in not complying with the “notice and approval” section of the conservation easement was deemed to be an “Administrative Violation” by SLT staff. Through several meetings and phone calls, the landowner has shown to understand the importance of this issue involving timely communication in relation to this property and has since switched to a more open communication style. Overall, the property appeared to be in good condition and the conservation values were intact. The property continues to be utilized frequently by the public for recreation and the forested areas, wetland, and open grasslands provide habitat for wildlife.
Hat Creek	PRT/SLT	5/4/23 There is a violation of the easement due to the cutting/removal of incense cedar and Oregon white oak trees by third parties. A placeholder claim was submitted to Terrafirma on December 13, 2022, with a status update submitted on March 31, 2023. SLT is continuing to work with the USFS (neighboring landowner), PG&E, and the landowner to come up with an effective solution to prevent continued damage to the forest resources in the northeastern portion of the property. The damaged gate is in the works of being fixed and signage has been created/delivered to the landowner for installation along the property boundary.
Lake Britton	DPR/SLT	5/4/23 The Pioneer Cemetery was cleaned by State Parks and CalFire of fallen woody debris that posed as hazardous. Vegetation management occurred in the Cemetery area resulting in an accessible and visible space for the public to enjoy. There were no other changes observed that would result in a violation.

EXHIBIT 15: CPUC DISSOLUTION RESOLUTION

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ENERGY DIVISION **RESOLUTION E-5171**
April 21, 2022

R E S O L U T I O N

Resolution E-5171. Endorses a dissolution plan for the Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council in accordance with Articles of Incorporation and D.03-12-035.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

- This Resolution endorses a process that will result in the dissolution of the Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council (Stewardship Council) commencing by the end of 2022, and for the transfer of remaining assets and certain administrative duties to the Sierra Nevada Conservancy, a California state agency, and to other government agencies or not-for-profit entities as approved by the Stewardship Council Board pursuant to its plan of dissolution.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

- There are no safety considerations associated with this resolution

ESTIMATED COST:

- The Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council was funded by order of D.03-12-035. Remaining funds will be distributed to the Sierra Nevada Conservancy, a California state Agency, and to other governmental agencies or not-for-profit entities as approved by the Stewardship Council Board pursuant to its plan of dissolution. No additional funds are allocated.

By the California Public Utilities Commission's (CPUC's) own motion.

SUMMARY

This Resolution endorses a process for dissolution of the Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council (Stewardship Council) and distribution of remaining funding to the Sierra Nevada Conservancy, a California state agency, and to other government agencies or not-for-profit entities as approved by the Stewardship Council

Board pursuant to its plan of dissolution. The Stewardship Council was not created to exist in perpetuity, and this Resolution provides direction for the timely transfer of its remaining duties.

On June 14, 2021, the Stewardship Council issued a memo to CPUC staff providing a status of land transactions and environmental programs and outlining steps for Stewardship Council wind-down and eventual dissolution. The memo outlined the rationale of three possible dissolution triggering events for CPUC consideration.

This Resolution endorses one of the triggering event alternatives under consideration by the Stewardship Council Board. Specifically, the Stewardship Council should commence the dissolution process after the final donated land transaction Section 851 Advice Letter is filed to the CPUC by PG&E, which is expected to occur by the end of 2022. Completion of the dissolution process, once triggered, is expected to take approximately one year.

BACKGROUND

The Stewardship Council is a private, non-profit foundation established in 2004 as part of the PG&E Settlement Agreement and Stipulation Resolving Issues Regarding the Land Conservation Commitment (Settlement Agreement and Stipulation) approved by the CPUC in 2003 (D.03-12-035). The Stewardship Council's role is to oversee PG&E's Land Conservation Commitment and to develop a strategy to undertake and fund environmental enhancement activities on PG&E watershed lands and to invest in youth programs connecting youth with the outdoors.

As part of the PG&E Settlement Agreement and Stipulation, a Land Conservation Commitment (LCC) was established to permanently protect PG&E watershed lands (Watershed Lands). The Watershed Lands are located across 20 counties, almost 1000 parcels in total, stretching from Shasta County in the north to Kern County in the south, and from the Sierra Nevada and Cascade Ranges in the east to the Eel River watershed in the Coast Range of Mendocino County to the west.

The LCC establishes that 140,000 acres of PG&E's Watershed Lands will be conserved in perpetuity for outdoor recreation, sustainable forestry, agriculture, natural habitat of fish, wildlife and plants, open space preservation and protection of historic resources. The permanent protection, preservation, and enhancement of these Beneficial Public Values (BPVs) will be achieved through the donation of a significant portion of the Watershed Lands in fee to qualified entities, establishing and funding of conservation

easements over all Watershed Lands, promotion of new collaborative partnerships, and grant funding of a variety of enhancement projects.

The Settlement Agreement required PG&E to provide the Stewardship Council with $70 million to achieve LCC goals and an additional $30 million for a program to connect underserved youth to the outdoors. PG&E was authorized to recover these costs through retail rates.

Property Tax Neutrality

The Settlement Agreement and Stipulation establishes a requirement that the totality of dispositions in each affected county will be "tax neutral" for each county. Since many of the potential fee donees expressed concern about their ability to make in lieu payments to counties for lost property taxes, the Stewardship Council agreed to use its funding to make in lieu property tax payments. The CPUC has approved in lieu property tax payments by the Stewardship Council using a portion of the $70 million provided for the LCC.

The Property Tax Neutrality Methodology was amended to formalize a lump-sum payment as the sole and standard payment process when lands are transferred to organizations that are exempt from paying property taxes. The lump sum payment is calculated using a discounted cash flow analysis for perpetual payment streams.

Environmental Enhancement Program

In 2012, the Stewardship Council launched its Environmental Enhancement Program (Enhancement Program) which began by identifying high priority enhancement projects drawing on information and ideas generated by stakeholders through the Stewardship Council's public outreach process, as well as input from the recommended future landowners and conservation easement holders. Overall, the Enhancement Program is expected to result in approximately $13 million in grants for projects to enhance the (BPVs) of the Watershed Lands and promote partnerships involving landowners, conservation easement holders, local communities, youth, and other stakeholders. Examples of projects include habitat restoration, construction of recreational trails and facilities, cultural resource protection and interpretation, forest research, management plans, planning and feasibility studies, and biological surveys.

Youth Investment Program

The Settlement Agreement augments the $70 million allocated to land conservation program with $30 million for the implementation of a program to connect underserved youth in the PG&E service area to the outdoors. In approving funding for the youth program, the CPUC stated its expectation that a portion of the $30 million would be used to provide seed money that would establish a permanent program for young people who are least likely to enjoy California's natural beauty.

In 2013 the Stewardship Council transferred most of its remaining youth program funds to the non-profit Youth Outside (now Justice Outside), the non-profit it created to establish the permanent program contemplated in the Settlement Agreement.

DISCUSSION

As of December 2020, the Stewardship Council Board (Board) approved 96 Land Conservation and Conveyance Plans (LCCPs) for PG&E Watershed Lands subject to the LCC. These Board approved LCCPs have been forwarded to PG&E for regulatory filing and CPUC approval.

On June 14, 2021, the Stewardship Council provided a memo to CPUC staff explaining that the Board was in the process of developing a dissolution planning effort which involved the consideration of milestones that could trigger the commencement of formal dissolution steps, a process expected to take approximately one year from initiation to conclusion.

The Stewardship Council reported that as of April 30, 2021, approximately $23 million in financial assets remained, the majority of which have been allocated to future required expenditures; and that the longer the Stewardship Council is in existence, more of its remaining funds will be spent on general operating expenses.

Three alternative dissolution triggering events considered by the Stewardship Council, include:

1. The dissolution process commences when the final land transaction closes escrow (under this scenario, fee title to all properties recommended for donation by the Stewardship Council will have been transferred and conservation easements will have been recorded on PG&E retained as well as donated lands); or,
2. The dissolution process commences prior to the close of the final land transaction if the final transaction(s) are likely to close later than December 2023; or,

3. The dissolution process commences when the final *donated* land transaction is filed by PG&E (estimated to occur by the end of 2022).

Alternative 3 is the earliest dissolution trigger under consideration by the Stewardship Council Board. The Stewardship Council memo points out that if the one-year dissolution process started at that time, the final donated land transaction should close escrow prior to the Stewardship Council's formal dissolution. Also, prior to dissolution, the conservation easement holders on donated lands would have received their monitoring and stewardship endowments and all property tax neutrality payments would have been made by the Stewardship Council to affected counties. The memo goes on to state that depending on the pace of escrow closings for the remaining PG&E retained transactions, alternative 3 anticipates that the Stewardship Council could fully dissolve prior to the close of the final PG&E retained transaction(s).

In selecting the most appropriate dissolution process alternative, it became apparent that the CPUC would need to balance the potential Stewardship Council operational savings associated with earlier dissolution, with the potential disadvantages of losing the organizational support and institutional knowledge possessed by the Stewardship Council. If the Stewardship Council formally dissolves prior to the final PG&E retained land transaction close of escrow, and should a technical issue with the transaction arise, the CPUC would be without the benefit of Stewardship Council's expertise. Conversely, the additional funding of environmental enhancements that could potentially be achieved by early dissolution is a clearly stated goal of the Settlement Agreement and is of great importance to the CPUC.

The detailed planning and outreach needed to create and ultimately approve each LCCP including protection of BPVs with conservation easements, and careful selection of qualified entities as recipients of fee title land donations is the primary role of the Stewardship Council. The Board approved of the final LCCP in December 2020.

The transactions that involve fee donations of PG&E Watershed Lands to new owners represent the most complex of the LCC transactions and it is important to note that all these fee donations will be complete, even under the earliest dissolution scenario under consideration. The remaining transactions involving PG&E retained land and, while as important, are less of a concern to the CPUC as PG&E will continue to act as the steward of these conservation easement protected parcels. The expedited PU Code Section 851 process for approval of LCC transactions pursuant to D.10-08-004, ensures that appropriate Commission consideration will be given to all outstanding LCC filings.

As such, we find that Option 3 as considered by the Stewardship Council and described above, represents the most practical approach to timely dissolution of the Stewardship Council. Under Option 3, if, at the time of dissolution, the Stewardship Council has remaining endowment funds awaiting release to the identified conservation easement holder for retained lands (Remaining Endowment Funds), the Stewardship Council Board will also approve the transfer of the Remaining Endowment Funds to (i) one or more Internal Revenue Code section 501(c)(3) tax-exempt not-for-profit organizations to hold the funds in trust
(Community Foundations), or (ii) directly to the identified conservation easement holders (CE Holders, and collectively with the Community Foundations, the Nonprofit Transferees) until the transaction is prepared to close escrow. At the close of escrow, (i) the Community Foundations shall transfer the Remaining Endowment Funds to the CE Holders, or (ii) the CE Holders shall be permitted to use the Remaining Endowment Funds. The Nonprofit Transferees shall be approved by the Stewardship Council Board, and the Stewardship Council shall notify the CPUC of the identities of the Nonprofit Transferees.

Additionally, the Stewardship Council memo outlined a plan for transferring remaining duties associated with the administration of the 10 Environmental Enhancement Program grants to a third-party entity to perform oversight of the grants. The Stewardship Council proposes to begin transfer of duties to the third-party prior to dissolution to both enable the Stewardship Council to share institutional knowledge with the new administrator, and to achieve costs savings. The Stewardship Council has identified the Sierra Nevada Conservancy (SNC) as its preferred third-party administrator. The SNC is a California State Agency whose mission is to initiate, encourage, and support efforts that improve the environmental, economic, and social well-being of the Sierra Nevada Region, its communities, and the citizens of the State of California.

The SNC currently plays a significant role in the LCC by holding conservation covenants over approximately 4,570 acres of LCC Watershed Lands that have been donated to the United States Forest Service (USFS). As a conservation covenant holder, the SNC will monitor ongoing uses of the lands on a regular basis to ensure compliance with covenant terms. And like a conservation easement holding Land Trust, the SNC has been provided funding by the Stewardship Council for the long-term costs of annual monitoring, easement administration, and legal defense for enforcement of the covenants.

On January 31, 2022, the Stewardship Council sent Energy Division staff a memo outlining the SNC/Stewardship Council Proposed Grant Agreement (Grant

Agreement). The Grant Agreement sets forth the duties of the SNC to administer the Enhancement Program for the Stewardship Council starting in 2022 and specifies that in 2026 the SNC will complete an assessment of the economic and physical impacts resulting from the LCC. The Stewardship Council memo also outlined options for a CPUC role.

The memo acknowledges that the Stewardship Council is transferring PG&E ratepayer funds to the SNC and the CPUC has a role ensuring there is appropriate accountability for the expenditure of ratepayer funds. Accordingly, the CPUC may choose to draft a Memorandum of Understanding with SNC regarding the CPUC's role.
The Stewardship Council expects to finalize the Grant Agreement early in 2022 so that the SNC can begin administering the Enhancement Program while the Stewardship Council still has staff to transition tasks and provide oversight and guidance. SNC's board of directors reviewed the terms of the proposed Grant Agreement and delegated authority to SNC's Executive Director to approve the final grant agreement.
The Stewardship Council board of directors reviewed the terms of the proposed Grant Agreement and delegated authority to its Fiduciary Committee to approve the language of the Grant Agreement.

The CPUC supports the Stewardship Council's rationale for phased program administration and finds that the SNC is an appropriate third-party administrator for overseeing ongoing LCC environmental enhancement efforts.

According to the Articles of Incorporation of the Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council, Corporation 2644060, Section IV. Subsection B, distribution of funds from the Stewardship Council to a third-party upon dissolution of the Stewardship Council, must be approved by the President of the Commission.
This Resolution satisfies that requirement.

Finally, in accordance with Resolution E-5076, LCC Watershed Lands retained in fee by PG&E remain subject to the Tribal Land Transfer Policy.

COMMENTS

Public Utilities Code section 311(g)(1) provides that this Resolution must be served on all parties and subject to at least 30 days public review. Any comments are due within 20 days of the date of its mailing and publication on the Commission's website and in accordance with any instructions accompanying the notice. Section 311(g)(2) provides that this 30-day review period and 20-day comment period may be reduced or waived upon the stipulation of all parties in the proceeding.

Comments were submitted by the Stewardship Council on April 7, 2022. The comments submitted provided clarification only and were not substantive in nature.

The 30-day review and 20-day comment period for the draft of this resolution was neither waived nor reduced. Accordingly, this draft resolution was mailed to parties for comments, and will be placed on the Commission's agenda no earlier than 30 days from today.

FINDINGS

1. As of December 2020, the Stewardship Council Board has approved all LCC Land Conservation and Conveyance Plans, including recommended donees for all fee and conservation easement interest in PG&E watershed lands, achieving the primary mission of the Stewardship Council staff and Board.
2. On June 14, 2021, the Stewardship Council Executive Director presented a memo to CPUC staff proposing organizational wind down and eventual dissolution strategy options, including a recommendation for the phased transfer of enhancement grant program administration to a qualified third-party administrator.
3. After careful consideration by the CPUC, Option 3 (commence dissolution when the final donated land transaction Section 851 Advice Letter is filed by PG&E) is determined to be the most practical of the dissolution options under consideration by the Stewardship Council Board. Option 3 offers potential savings that can be redirected to the ongoing enhancement program without jeopardizing the success of final transactions that may occur after Stewardship Council dissolution. It is acknowledged that under Option 3, PG&E will continue to implement the LCC in accordance with the requirements of the Settlement and Stipulation approved under D.03-12-035, after the Stewardship Council's dissolution, including submittal of Section 851 Advice Letters for conservation easement transactions on lands being retained by PG&E.
4. Under Option 3, if, at the time of dissolution, the Stewardship Council has remaining funds awaiting release to the identified conservation easement holder for retained lands (Remaining Endowment Funds), the Stewardship Council Board will also approve the transfer of the Remaining Endowment Funds to (i) one or more Internal Revenue Code section 501(c)(3) tax-exempt not-for-profit organizations to hold the funds in trust (Community Foundations), or (ii) directly to the identified conservation easement holders (CE Holders, and collectively with the Community Foundations, the Nonprofit Transferees) until the

transaction is prepared to close escrow. At the close of escrow, (i) the Community Foundations shall transfer the Remaining Endowment Funds to the CE Holders, or (ii) the CE Holders shall be permitted to use the Remaining Endowment Funds. The Nonprofit Transferees shall be approved by the Stewardship Council Board, and the Stewardship Council shall notify the CPUC of the identities of the Nonprofit Transferees.

5. To the extent there are Remaining Funds at the time of the dissolution, the CPUC finds such Nonprofit Transferees appropriate third parties to receive the Remaining Funds.
6. The Stewardship Council identified the Sierra Nevada Conservancy, a California state agency as its preferred l third-party administrator of ongoing Environmental Enhancement Program grants. The SNC holds conservation covenants over LCC lands donated to the United States Forest Service and has worked effectively with the CPUC and Stewardship Council staff in LCC administration.
7. The Commission finds that the SNC is an appropriate entity for transfer of the recommended administrative duties.
8. Staff of the Stewardship Council and SNC worked collectively to develop and finalize a proposed Grant Agreement that sets forth the duties of the SNC to administer the Enhancement Program for the Stewardship Council beginning in 2022.
9. The Stewardship Council will provide funding for SNC to perform these tasks.
10. The Stewardship Council is transferring PG&E ratepayer funds to the SNC and the CPUC has a role in ensuring there is appropriate accountability for the expenditure of ratepayer funds.
11. The Stewardship Council Articles of Incorporation require that distribution of remaining funds to a third-party following dissolution requires approval by the President of the CPUC. This Resolution serves as that approval.
12. Notwithstanding the dissolution of the Stewardship Council, the PG&E retained lands remain subject to the Tribal Land Transfer Policy.

THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The Stewardship Council should commence the formal dissolution process when the final donated land transaction Section 851 Advice Letter is filed by PG&E, and phased program administration transfer of remaining Stewardship Council Environmental Enhancement Programs tasks to the Sierra Nevada Conservancy may begin immediately.

This Resolution is effective today.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed, and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held April 21, 2022; the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

/s/ Rachel Peterson
Rachel Peterson
Executive Director

ALICE REYNOLDS
President
CLIFFORD RECHTSCHAFFEN
GENEVIEVE SHIROMA
DARCIE HOUCK
JOHN R.D. REYNOLDS
Commissioner

EXHIBIT 16: ACTION TO DISSOLVE

RESOLUTION OF THE
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
of the
Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council (Stewardship Council)

The undersigned, constituting all of the directors of Stewardship Council, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation, in accordance with the authority provided in Section 5211(b) of the California Nonprofit Public Benefit Corporation Law (the "Law"), hereby adopt the recitals and resolutions set forth as follows:

WHEREAS the Board of Directors of this corporation (the "Board") has determined that it is in the best interests of this corporation that it be wound up and dissolved;

WHEREAS this corporation has no members, and Section 6610 of the Law permits this corporation to elect to voluntarily wind up and dissolve by approval of this Board; and

WHEREAS this corporation currently holds the cash and other assets listed in Exhibit 1 attached to this consent, and is able to pay or provide for all of its known debts and liabilities, as listed in Exhibit 2 to this consent; and

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED that the Board hereby approves the winding up and dissolution of this corporation;

RESOLVED FURTHER that the officers and directors of this corporation are hereby authorized and directed to take any and all actions they deem necessary or advisable to wind up and dissolve this corporation, including: (a) preparation and filing of final returns with the California Franchise Tax Board, the Internal Revenue Service, and California Attorney General, as applicable; (b) correspondence with the Attorney General relating to the dissolution; and (c) preparation and filing of a Certificate of Dissolution in accordance with Section 6615 of the Law.

RESOLVED FURTHER that the following Plan of Liquidation and Distribution of Assets is hereby approved and adopted:

1. All known debts and liabilities of the corporation shall be paid or otherwise provided for.

2. The amount of $250,000 in cash shall be set aside and held in reserve in the Client Trust Account of Adler & Colvin, this corporation's independent counsel, for the payment of estimated expenses, taxes, unascertained or contingent liabilities, and expenses and costs of winding up, distribution of assets, and dissolution.

3. After (a) paying or adequately providing for all its known debts and liabilities, (b) setting aside the amount of the reserve fund referred to above, and (c) securing from the

California Attorney General a written waiver of objections to the disposition, the corporate assets described in Exhibit 1 then remaining shall be distributed, in conformity with the provisions of this corporation's Articles of Incorporation, to Sierra Nevada Conservancy (remainder beneficiary), a State Agency.

RESOLVED FURTHER that the President and Secretary of this corporation are authorized and directed to execute and deliver in the name of and on behalf of the corporation such deeds, assignments, or other instruments of transfer as they may deem necessary or proper, and the officers and directors of this corporation are empowered to do any and all acts and things necessary to implement and consummate the distributions contemplated by these resolutions and to wind up the corporate affairs and dissolve this corporation.

EXHIBIT 1

List of Stewardship Council's Assets
as of 11/01/22

Cash: **$ 11,292,710**

Net Value of Fixed Assets:
Total Fixed Assets: **$ 3,413**

EXHIBIT 2
List of Stewardship Council's Debts and Liabilities
as of 11/01/22

Grants & Direct Assistance	$	4,732,556
Salaries & Related Expenses	$	537,764
Contract Service Expenses	$	695,837
Non-personnel Expenses	$	27,515
Travel & Conference Expenses	$	11,337
Other Program Specific Expenses	$	3,176,015
Other Expenses	$	248,791
Grand Total	$	9,429,815

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Dissolution
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

6. The undersigned is the sole director or a majority of the directors now in office. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge.

Date: _____
Art Baggett, Director

Date: _____
Mike Schonherr, Director

Date: _____
Jeff Parks, Director

Date: _____
Soapy Mulholland, Director

Date: _____
David Muraki, Director

Date: _____
Chris Nota, Director

Date: _____
Julie Halligan, Director

Date: _____
Lee Adams, Director

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Dissolution
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

6. The undersigned is the sole director or a majority of the directors now in office. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge.

Date: _____

_____
Art Baggett, Director

Date: _____

_____
Mike Schonherr, Director

Date: _____

_____
Jeff Parks, Director

Date: _____

_____
Soapy Mulholland, Director

Date: _____

_____
David Muraki, Director

Date: _____

_____
Chris Nota, Director

Date: _____

_____
Julie Halligan, Director

Date: _____

_____
Lee Adams, Director

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Dissolution
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

6. The undersigned is the sole director or a majority of the directors now in office. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge.

Date: _____ _____
Art Baggett, Director

Date: _____ _____
Mike Schonherr, Director

Date: _____ _____
Jeff Parks, Director

Date: _____ Sloane McCarthy Mulholland
Soapy Mulholland, Director

Date: _____ _____
David Muraki, Director

Date: _____ _____
Chris Nota, Director

Date: _____ _____
Julie Halligan, Director

Date: _____ _____
Lee Adams, Director

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Dissolution
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

6. The undersigned is the sole director or a majority of the directors now in office. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge.

Date: _____ _____
Art Baggett, Director

Date: _____ _____
Mike Schonherr, Director

Date: _____ _____
Jeff Parks, Director

Date: _____ _____
Soapy Mulholland, Director

Date: _____ _____
David Muraki, Director

Date: _____ _____
Chris Nota, Director

Date: _____ _____
Julie Halligan, Director

Date: _____ _____
Lee Adams, Director

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Dissolution
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

6. The undersigned is the sole director or a majority of the directors now in office. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge.

Date: _____ _____
Art Baggett, Director

Date: _____ _____
Mike Schonherr, Director

Date: _____ _____
Jeff Parks, Director

Date: _____ _____
Soapy Mulholland, Director

Date: _____ _____
David Muraki, Director

Date: _____ Chris Nota
Chris Nota, Director

Date: _____ _____
Julie Halligan, Director

Date: _____ _____
Lee Adams, Director

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Dissolution
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

6. The undersigned is the sole director or a majority of the directors now in office. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge.

Date: _____ _____
Art Baggett, Director

Date: _____ _____
Mike Schonherr, Director

Date: _____ _____
Jeff Parks, Director

Date: _____ _____
Soapy Mulholland, Director

Date: _____ _____
David Muraki, Director

Date: _____ _____
Chris Nota, Director

Date: _____ _____
Julie Halligan, Director

Date: _____ _____
Lee Adams, Director

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Dissolution
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

6. The undersigned is the sole director or a majority of the directors now in office. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge.

Date: _____ _____
Art Baggett, Director

Date: _____ _____
Mike Schonherr, Director

Date: _____ _____
Jeff Parks, Director

Date: _____ _____
Soapy Mulholland, Director

Date: _____ _____
David Muraki, Director

Date: _____ _____
Chris Nota, Director

Date: _____ _____
Julie Halligan, Director

Date: _____ _____
Lee Adams, Director

(01581241.DOCX; 1)

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Dissolution
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

6. The undersigned is the sole director or a majority of the directors now in office. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge.

Date: _____ _____
Larry Myers, Director

Date: _____ _____
R Winston Bell Jr, Director

Date: _____ _____

Date: _____ _____

Date: _____ _____

Date: _____ _____

Date: _____ _____

Date: _____ _____

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Election to Wind Up and Dissolve
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

5. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge and that I am authorized by California law to sign.

Date: _____ _____
Art Baggett, Director

Date: _____ Mike Schonherr
Mike Schonherr, Director

Date: _____ _____
Jeff Parks, Director

Date: _____ _____
Soapy Mulholland, Director

Date: _____ _____
David Muraki, Director

Date: _____ _____
Chris Nota, Director

Date: _____ _____
Julie Halligan, Director

Date: _____ _____
Lee Adams, Director

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Election to Wind Up and Dissolve
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

5. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge and that I am authorized by California law to sign.

Date: _____ _____
Art Baggett, Director

Date: _____ _____
Mike Schonherr, Director

Date: _____ _____
Jeff Parks, Director

Date: _____ _____
Soapy Mulholland, Director

Date: _____ _____
David Muraki, Director

Date: _____ _____
Chris Nota, Director

Date: _____ _____
Julie Halligan, Director

Date: _____ _____
Lee Adams, Director

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Election to Wind Up and Dissolve
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

5. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge and that I am authorized by California law to sign.

Date: _____ _____
Art Baggett, Director

Date: _____ _____
Mike Schonherr, Director

Date: _____ _____
Jeff Parks, Director

Date: _____ Sophie McCarthy Mulholland
Soapy Mulholland, Director

Date: _____ _____
David Muraki, Director

Date: _____ _____
Chris Nota, Director

Date: _____ _____
Julie Halligan, Director

Date: _____ _____
Lee Adams, Director

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Election to Wind Up and Dissolve
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

5. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge and that I am authorized by California law to sign.

Date: _____ _____
Art Baggett, Director

Date: _____ _____
Mike Schonherr, Director

Date: _____ _____
Jeff Parks, Director

Date: _____ _____
Soapy Mulholland, Director

Date: _____ _____
David Muraki, Director

Date: _____ _____
Chris Nota, Director

Date: _____ _____
Julie Halligan, Director

Date: _____ _____
Lee Adams, Director

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Election to Wind Up and Dissolve
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

5. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge and that I am authorized by California law to sign.

Date: _____ _____
Art Baggett, Director

Date: _____ _____
Mike Schonherr, Director

Date: _____ _____
Jeff Parks, Director

Date: _____ _____
Soapy Mulholland, Director

Date: _____ _____
David Muraki, Director

Date: _____ Chris Nota
Chris Nota, Director

Date: _____ _____
Julie Halligan, Director

Date: _____ _____
Lee Adams, Director

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Election to Wind Up and Dissolve
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

5. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge and that I am authorized by California law to sign.

Date: _____ _____
Art Baggett, Director

Date: _____ _____
Mike Schonherr, Director

Date: _____ _____
Jeff Parks, Director

Date: _____ _____
Soapy Mulholland, Director

Date: _____ _____
David Muraki, Director

Date: _____ _____
Chris Nota, Director

Date: _____ _____
Julie Halligan, Director

Date: _____ _____
Lee Adams, Director

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Election to Wind Up and Dissolve
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

5. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge and that I am authorized by California law to sign.

Date: _____ _____
Art Baggett, Director

Date: _____ _____
Mike Schonherr, Director

Date: _____ _____
Jeff Parks, Director

Date: _____ _____
Soapy Mulholland, Director

Date: _____ _____
David Muraki, Director

Date: _____ _____
Chris Nota, Director

Date: _____ _____
Julie Halligan, Director

Date: _____ _____
Lee Adams, Director

Attachment to Nonprofit Certificate of Election to Wind Up and Dissolve
PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL
California Corporation Number: 2644060
Signature Page

5. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of my own knowledge and that I am authorized by California law to sign.

Date: _____

Larry Myers, Director

Date: _____

R. Winston Bell Jr, Director

Date: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

{01581463.DOCX; 1}

Exhibit 17 Parcel Level Disposition Table

This table provides the disposition of each parcel identified in LCP Volume I for protection by the Settlement and Stipulation. For details on the BPVs and protections for the relevant parcel, refer to the relevant LCCP document contained in LCP Volume III.

Acreage Source

Survey

LCCP/Calculated

Land Trust BDR/Digitized

Acreage protected by a conservation easement or covenant (For USFS donations)

Note: Total acreage for a LCCP may not match the sum of parcels due to rounding, estimation, and errors made when tabulating total acreage for LCCPs

LCP ID	Acreage specific to transaction	Fee Title Holder	Conservation Easement/Covenant Holder	Transaction
1	560	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Lake McCloud LCCP
2	1	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Lake McCloud LCCP
3	3	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Lake McCloud LCCP
4	83	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Lake McCloud LCCP
5	188	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Lake McCloud LCCP
6	1	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Iron Canyon Reservoir LCCP
7	1	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Iron Canyon Reservoir LCCP
8	6	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Iron Canyon Reservoir LCCP
9	257	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Iron Canyon Reservoir LCCP
10	82	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Iron Canyon Reservoir LCCP
11	42	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Iron Canyon Reservoir LCCP
12	1	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Iron Canyon Reservoir LCCP
13	17.7	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
13	23.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
14	70.1	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
14	11.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
15	318	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
15	14	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
16	5.2	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
16	7.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
17	200.7	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
17	13	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
18	191.1	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
18	52.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
19	16.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
20	18.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
21	40.8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
22	101.4	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP

23	127	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
23	44.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
24	28	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
25	74	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
25	6.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
26	17.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
27	1.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
28	11	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
29	116	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
30	8	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
31	1	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
32	173	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
33	45	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
34	164	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
35	3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
36	285.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
37	40.8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
38	32.5	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
38	124.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
39	19.6	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
40	10.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
41	117.1	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
41	87.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
42	59.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Tunnel Reservoir-Retained LCCP
43	41.2	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
44	164.2	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
45	43.6	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
46	2.6	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
46	157.1	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
47	1.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
47	39.3	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
48	53.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
48	100.8	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
49	18	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
50	43.1	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
51	162.9	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
52	39.25	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
53	311.6	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
54	160.2	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
55	41.1	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
56	39.1	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP

57	76.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
58	38.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
59	36.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
60	46	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
61	29.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
62	44.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
63	475.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
64	42.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
65	160.7	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
66	79.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
67	53.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
67	74	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
68	1.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
68	160.5	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
69	147.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
70	147.6	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
71	149.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
72	206.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
73	100.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
74	115.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
74	3197	University of California	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-UC Donation LCCP
74	2483.9	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
75	25.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
75	47	University of California	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-UC Donation LCCP
76	205.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
77	81.8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
78	279.6	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
79	90.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
80	84.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
81	164.8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
82	40.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
83	247.6	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
83	338	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
84	41.5	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
85	275.9	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
86	38.3	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
87	111.6	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
88	36.6	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
89	9.9	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
90	39.1	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
91	28.3	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP

92	39.1	CAL FIRE	Shasta Land Trust	Pit Tunnel-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
93	164.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
94	77.6	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
95	303.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
96	0.8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
97	0.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
98	40.8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
99	72.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
100	38.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
101	191.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
102	1.6	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Pit River-Retained LCCP
103	76	FRRCD	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Hat Creek Rifle and Pistol Club LCCP
104	68	FRRCD	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Hat Creek Rifle and Pistol Club LCCP
105	78	FRRCD	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Hat Creek Rifle and Pistol Club LCCP
106	69	FRRCD	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Hat Creek Rifle and Pistol Club LCCP
107	105	FRRCD	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Hat Creek Rifle and Pistol Club LCCP
107	90.6	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
107	47.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
108	110.4	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
108	9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
109	39	FRRCD	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Hat Creek Rifle and Pistol Club LCCP
109	140.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
110	163.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
111	40	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
112	139	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
112	17.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
113	149.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
114	121	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
115	152.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
116	412	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
116	90.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
117	75	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
117	6.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
118	161	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
119	34.5	Fall River CSD	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-CSD LCCP
119	1.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
120	0.6	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
121	1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
122	0.8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
123	2.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
124	3.8	Fall River CSD	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-CSD LCCP

124	0.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
125	159.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
126	153	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
127	118	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
127	4.6	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
128	36.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
129	37.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
130	156	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
130	10.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
131	22	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
132	70	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
132	23	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
133	2	PG&E	NSPIV	Fall River Mills-No Significant Public Interest Value
134	1.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
135	208.5	Fall River RCD	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-RCD LCCP
135	107.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
136	124.8	Fall River RCD	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-RCD LCCP
136	35.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
137	18.0	Fall River RCD	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-RCD LCCP
137	22	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
138	20.9	Fall River RCD	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-RCD LCCP
138	207.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
139	139.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
140	90.9	Fall River RCD	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-RCD LCCP
140	25.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
141	1.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
142	13.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
143	137.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
144	45.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
145	208.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
146	4.6	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
147	23.8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
148	4.8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
149	162.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
150	161.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
151	2.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
152	0.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
153	0.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
154	0.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
155	0.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
156	0.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP

157	0.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
158	0.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
159	0.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
160	4.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
161	0.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
162	0.02	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
163	0.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
164	0.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
165	0.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
166	0.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
167	0.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
168	0.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
169	0.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
170	14.8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
171	0.2	Fall River CSD	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-CSD LCCP
171	0.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
172	31.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
173	2.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
174	4491	Fall River RCD	Ducks Unlimited	McArthur Swamp-RCD Donation LCCP
174	2958	PG&E	Ducks Unlimited	McArthur Swamp-Retained LCCP
175	91	PG&E	Ducks Unlimited	McArthur Swamp-Retained LCCP
176	20	PG&E	Ducks Unlimited	McArthur Swamp-Retained LCCP
177	10	PG&E	Ducks Unlimited	McArthur Swamp-Retained LCCP
178	80	PG&E	Ducks Unlimited	McArthur Swamp-Retained LCCP
179	7	PG&E	Ducks Unlimited	McArthur Swamp-Retained LCCP
180	6	PG&E	Ducks Unlimited	McArthur Swamp-Retained LCCP
181	137	PG&E	Ducks Unlimited	Fall River Valley LCCP
182	54	PG&E	Ducks Unlimited	Fall River Valley LCCP
183	11	PG&E	Ducks Unlimited	Fall River Valley LCCP
184	34	PG&E	Ducks Unlimited	Fall River Valley LCCP
185	11	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
185	81	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
186	132.2	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
187	16	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
187	67	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
188	76	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
189	78	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
190	40	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
191	0.5	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
191	32	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
191	20	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP

192	181.9	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
193	9	PG&E	NSPIV	Hat Creek-No Significant Public Interest Value
194	1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
195	9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
196	13	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
197	8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
198	11	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
199	1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
200	1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
201	22	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
202	36	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
203	154	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
204	81.8	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
204	960	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
204	247	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
205	80	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
205	78	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
206	399.2	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
206	149	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
207	43	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
208	23	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
209	127	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
209	30	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
210	3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Hat Creek-Retained LCCP
211	333	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
211	58	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Fall River Mills-Retained LCCP
211	335	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
211	308.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
212	98	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
212	29	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
213	78	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
214	33	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
214	37.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
215	180	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
215	4.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
216	45	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
216	12.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
217	5.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
218	3.8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
219	7.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
220	78.8	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lake Britton-USFS LCCP

221	227	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
222	212.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
222	42	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lake Britton-USFS LCCP
223	84	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lake Britton-USFS LCCP
224	255	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
224	16.2	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lake Britton-USFS LCCP
225	61.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
226	241.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
227	63.8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
228	34.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
229	19.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
230	15.6	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
231	111	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
232	275.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
233	69.3	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
234	40	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
235	122	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
236	112	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
236	29.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
237	78.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
238	38.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
239	4.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
240	36.6	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
241	156.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
242	41.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
243	61.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
244	28.8	California State Parks	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton State Parks Donation LCCP
244	48.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
245	22.9	California State Parks	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton State Parks Donation LCCP
245	61.4	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
246	5.8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
247	53	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
248	112.8	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
249	21.8	California State Parks	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton State Parks Donation LCCP
249	15.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
250	80	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
251	.30.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
252	0.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
253	16.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
254	39.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
255	83.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP

256	119	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
257	119.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
258	19.2	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
259	199	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
260	83.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
261	7.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
262	170.6	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
263	40.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
264	91.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
264	28.6	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lake Britton-USFS LCCP
265	152.7	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
266	22.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
267	86	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton/Hat Creek Pit River Tribe Donation LCCP
267	94.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
268	72.9	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
269	61.9	California State Parks	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton State Parks Donation LCCP
269	11	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
270	70.1	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
271	140.5	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Lake Britton-Retained LCCP
272	77	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
273	40	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
274	286	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
275	235	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
276	2	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
277	201	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
278	19	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
279	81	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
280	161	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
281	40	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
282	53	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
283	303	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
284	45	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
285	56	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
286	13	Pit River Tribe	Shasta Land Trust	Burney Gardens (Removed from Stewardship Council purview)
287	4	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Kilarc Reservoir LCCP
288	14	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Kilarc Reservoir LCCP
289	37	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Kilarc Reservoir LCCP
290	11	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Kilarc Reservoir LCCP
291	48	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Kilarc Reservoir LCCP
292	167	Cal Fire	Shasta Land Trust	Cow Creek CAL FIRE LCCP
293	103	Cal Fire	Shasta Land Trust	Cow Creek CAL FIRE LCCP

293	20	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Cow Creek-Retained LCCP
294	163	Cal Fire	Shasta Land Trust	Cow Creek CAL FIRE LCCP
295	692	Cal Fire	Shasta Land Trust	Cow Creek CAL FIRE LCCP
296	325	Cal Fire	Shasta Land Trust	Cow Creek CAL FIRE LCCP
297	309	Cal Fire	Shasta Land Trust	Cow Creek CAL FIRE LCCP
298	162	Cal Fire	Shasta Land Trust	Cow Creek CAL FIRE LCCP
299	325	Cal Fire	Shasta Land Trust	Cow Creek CAL FIRE LCCP
300	6	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Cow Creek-Retained LCCP
301	40	PG&E	Shasta Land Trust	Cow Creek-Retained LCCP
302	644	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Battle Creek-USFS LCCP
303	170	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Battle Creek-USFS LCCP
304	121	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Battle Creek-USFS LCCP
305	86.3	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
305	38.2	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
306	154.8	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
306	5.6	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
307	120	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
308	45	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
309	15	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
310	84.4	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
311	38.2	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
312	214.2	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
312	105.4	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
313	155.8	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
313	0.4	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
314	83.9	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
314	0.3	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
315	145	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
316	4	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
317	865	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
318	240	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
319	40	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
320	83	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
321	156	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
322	75	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
323	49	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
324	50	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
325	120.1	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
326	31.9	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
326	8.9	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
327	4	PG&E	No Access	Battle Creek-No Legal Access

328	8	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
329	23	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
330	90	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
331	149	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
332	76	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
333	118	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
334	330	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
335	37	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
336	497.4	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
336	174.7	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
337	38.3	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
337	4.1	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
338	6.2	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
338	34.9	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
339	82.7	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
339	56.4	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
340	7	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
341	32	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
342	160	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
343	29.4	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
343	2.8	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
344	3.4	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
344	4.4	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
345	32.5	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
345	4.9	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
346	73.8	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
346	3.1	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
347	148.1	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
347	89.4	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
348	45.4	CAL FIRE	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-CAL FIRE LCCP
348	1.8	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
349	22	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
350	1	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
351	24	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
352	1	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
353	15	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
354	91	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
355	13	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
356	43	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
357	5	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
358	39	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP

359	2	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
360	2	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
361	16	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
362	1	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
363	18	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
364	10	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
365	205	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
366	41	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
367	25	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
368	90	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
369	4	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
370	11	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Battle Creek-NCRLT Retained LCCP
371	9	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
372	9	PG&E	Western Shasta RCD	Battle Creek-WSRCD Retained LCCP
373	183	Maidu Summit Consort	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Maidu Wetlands LCCP
374	154	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
375	2.8	Various	N/A	Lake Almanor-Maidu Cemetery Boundary Adjustment
375	141.8	Maidu Summit Consort	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Maidu Cemetery LCCP
376	153	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
377	164	Maidu Summit Consort	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Maidu Forest LCCP
377	26	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
378	7678	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
379	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
380	60	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
381	12	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
382	3	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
383	5	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
384	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
385	4	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
386	6	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
387	24	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
388	4	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
389	5	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
390	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
391	302	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
392	9	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
393	72	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
394	38	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
395	805	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
396	18	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
397	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP

398	41	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
399	16	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
400	168	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
401	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
402	430	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
403	11	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
404	5	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
405	40	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
406	4	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
407	176	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
408	156	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
409	27	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
410	139	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
411	625	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
412	202	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
413	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
414	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
415	430	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
416	145	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
417	158	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
418	166	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
419	336	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
420	31	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
421	24	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
422	153	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
423	105	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
424	174	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
425	1228	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
426	114.2	Maidu Summit Consort	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Maidu Wetlands LCCP
426	23.9	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
427	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
428	20	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
429	53	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
430	80	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
431	798	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
432	41	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
433	4	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
434	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
435	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
436	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
437	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP

438	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
439	323	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
440	81	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
441	163	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
442	164	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
443	122	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
444	162	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
445	243	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
446	161	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
447	321	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
448	162	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
449	162	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
450	284	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
451	41	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
452	82	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
453	121	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
454	46	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
455	5	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
456	411	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
457	8	Maidu Summit Consort	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Maidu Trail LCCP
457	16	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
458	41	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
459	144	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
460	317	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
461	426	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
462	12	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
463	41	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
464	42	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
465	42	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
466	569	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
467	161	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
468	444	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
469	42	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
470	323	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
471	5	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
472	56	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
473	717	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
474	93	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
475	52	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
476	20	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
477	3	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP

478	484	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
479	41	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
480	38	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
481	165	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
482	123	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
483	41	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
484	11	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
485	162	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
486	81	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
487	162	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
488	32	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
489	783	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
490	188	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
491	228	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
492	44	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
493	515	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
494	1317	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
495	11	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
496	167	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
497	51	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
498	112	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
499	93	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
500	380	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
501	80	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
502	86	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
503	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
504	113	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
505	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
506	19	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
507	380	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
508	100	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
509	136	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
510	189	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
511	93	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
512	40	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
513	24	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
514	265	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
515	41	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
516	7	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
517	9	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
518	9	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP

519	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
520	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
521	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
522	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
523	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
524	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
525	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
526	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
527	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
528	85	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
529	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
530	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
531	3	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
532	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
533	7	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
534	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
535	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
536	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
537	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
538	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
539	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
540	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
541	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
542	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
543	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
544	14	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
545	3	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
546	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
547	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
548	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
549	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
550	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
551	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
552	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
553	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
554	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
555	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
556	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
557	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
558	3	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
559	4	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP

560	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
561	3	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
562	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
563	3	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
564	3	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
565	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
566	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
567	3	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
568	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
569	9	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
570	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
571	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
572	6	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
573	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
574	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
575	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
576	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
577	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Lake Almanor-Retained LCCP
578	41	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
579	27	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
580	79	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
581	5	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
582	28	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
583	12	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
584	1322	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
585	182	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
586	4	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
587	21	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
588	42	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
589	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
590	31	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
591	13	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
592	4	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
593	34	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
594	24	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
595	3	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
596	30	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
597	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
598	2	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
599	27	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
600	8	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP

601	29	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
602	19	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
603	40	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
604	13	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
605	13	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
606	47	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
607	6	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
608	5	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
609	57	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
610	28	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
611	18	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
612	76	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
613	23	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
614	37	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
615	20	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
616	42	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
617	56	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Butt Valley Reservoir LCCP
618	572	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
619	114	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
620	383	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
621	77	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
622	481	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
623	81	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
624	156	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
625	552	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
626	80	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
627	374	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
628	267	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
629	1	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
630	70	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
631	10	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
632	60	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
633	362	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
634	228	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
635	155	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
636	248	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
637	55	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
638	48	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
639	12	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
640	18	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
641	34	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP

642	75	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
643	17	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
644	5	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
645	40	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
646	31	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
647	12	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
648	269	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
649	1	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
650	9	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
651	324	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
652	12	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
653	6	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
654	15	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
655	2	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
656	48	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
657	113	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
658	16	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
659	22	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
660	1	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
661	17	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
662	20	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
663	82	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
664	7	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
665	6	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
666	79	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
667	1	PG&E	NSPIV	North Fork Feather River-No Significant Public Interest Value
668	3	PG&E	NSPIV	North Fork Feather River-No Significant Public Interest Value
669	2	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	North Fork Feather River LCCP
670	15	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
671	13	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
672	79	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
673	113	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
674	18	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
675	35	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
676	74	PG&E	N/A	Oroville - No legal access
677	23	PG&E	N/A	Oroville - No legal access
678	42	PG&E	N/A	Oroville - No legal access
679	15	PG&E	NSPIV	Oroville-No Significant Public Interest Value
680	2	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
681	23	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
682	209	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP

683	72	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
684	56	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
685	39	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
686	219	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
687	109	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
688	15	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
689	2	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
690	4	PG&E	Sold	Oroville-Sold
691	19	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
692	9	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
693	4	PG&E	N/A	Oroville - No legal access
694	19	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
695	1	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
696	42	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
697	51	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
698	17	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Oroville LCCP
699	1037	Maidu Summit Consort	Feather River Land Trust & CDFW	Humbug Valley-Tasman Koyom LCCP
700	1147	Maidu Summit Consort	Feather River Land Trust & CDFW	Humbug Valley-Tasman Koyom LCCP
701	101	Maidu Summit Consort	Feather River Land Trust & CDFW	Humbug Valley-Tasman Koyom LCCP
702	40	Maidu Summit Consort	Feather River Land Trust & CDFW	Humbug Valley-Tasman Koyom LCCP
703	119	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Philbrook Reservoir LCCP
704	158	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Philbrook Reservoir LCCP
705	229	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
706	11	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
707	116	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
708	163	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
709	376	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
710	347	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
711	84	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
712	83	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
713	44	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
714	2	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
715	43	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
716	151	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Deer Creek-USFS LCCP
717	36	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
718	11	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
719	3	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
720	79	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
721	2	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
722	10	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
723	9	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP

724	300	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
725	230	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
726	8	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
727	3	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
728	2	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
729	1	PG&E	Northern California Regional Land Trust	Butte Creek LCCP
730	12	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Mountain Meadows Reservoir LCCP
731	6695	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Mountain Meadows Reservoir LCCP
732	221	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Mountain Meadows Reservoir LCCP
733	125	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Mountain Meadows Reservoir LCCP
734	4	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Mountain Meadows Reservoir LCCP
735	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Mountain Meadows Reservoir LCCP
736	1	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Mountain Meadows Reservoir LCCP
737	157	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Bucks Lake LCCP
738	2007	PG&E	Feather River Land Trust	Bucks Lake LCCP
739	234	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
740	86.2	Potter Valley Tribe	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River Alder Creek LCCP
740	157.8	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
741	72	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
742	562	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
743	939.2	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
743	732.8	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Eel River-USFS LCCP
744	349	Potter Valley Tribe	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River Trout Creek LCCP
745	160	Potter Valley Tribe	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River Trout Creek LCCP
746	77	Potter Valley Tribe	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River Trout Creek LCCP
747	77	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
748	114.7	Potter Valley Tribe	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River Alder Creek LCCP
748	**23.9**	PG&E	NSPIV	Eel River No Significant Public Interest Value
748	92.6	Potter Valley Tribe	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River Trout Creek LCCP
748	983.8	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
749	19	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
750	20	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
751	1	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
752	4	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
753	7	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
754	4	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
755	25	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
756	166	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
757	85	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
758	43	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
759	30	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP

760	163	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
761	42	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
762	45	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
763	520	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
764	41	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
765	286	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
766	369	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
767	166	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
768	153	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
769	202	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
769	41	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Eel River-USFS LCCP
770	42	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
771	134.9	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
771	41.1	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Eel River-USFS LCCP
772	17.7	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
772	92.3	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Eel River-USFS LCCP
773	39	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
774	2	PG&E	Mendocino Land Trust	Eel River-Retained LCCP
775	77.5	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Fordyce-White Rock Lake USFS LCCP
775	40	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-White Rock Lake-Retained LCCP
776	39	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-Meadow Lake LCCP
777	125	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-Meadow Lake LCCP
778	32	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-Meadow Lake LCCP
779	81	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-Sterling Lake LCCP
780	40	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-Sterling Lake LCCP
781	38	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-Sterling Lake LCCP
782	284	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-Sterling Lake LCCP
783	419	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-Sterling Lake LCCP
784	156	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-Sterling Lake LCCP
785	44	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-Sterling Lake LCCP
786	157	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-Sterling Lake LCCP
787	79	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-Sterling Lake LCCP
788	242	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-Sterling Lake LCCP
789	201	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Fordyce-Sterling Lake LCCP
790	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Fordyce-No Significant Public Interest Value
791	1	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Fordyce-Kidd & Cascade Lake LCCP
792	80	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Fordyce-Kidd & Cascade Lake LCCP
793	128	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Fordyce-Kidd & Cascade Lake LCCP
794	39	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Fordyce-Kidd & Cascade Lake LCCP
795	640	University of California	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Camp 19/Grouse Ridge LCCP
796	224.2	University of California	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Camp 19/Grouse Ridge LCCP

796	11.8	NID	N/A	NID Condemnation Camp 19
797	595.7	University of California	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Camp 19/Grouse Ridge LCCP
797	12.3	NID	N/A	NID Condemnation Camp 19
798	469	CAL FIRE	Placer Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
799	50	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Retained LCCP
800	160	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Rucker, Fuller, Blue Lakes LCCP
801	161	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Rucker, Fuller, Blue Lakes LCCP
802	40	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Lindsey Lakes LCCP
803	36	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Lindsey Lakes LCCP
804	205	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Lindsey Lakes LCCP
805	331	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Lindsey Lakes LCCP
806	74	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Lindsey Lakes LCCP
807	131	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Lindsey Lakes LCCP
808	40	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Lindsey Lakes LCCP
809	178	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Rucker, Fuller, Blue Lakes LCCP
810	9	NID	N/A	Lake Spaulding-Condemnation-Bowman canal/Spaulding
810	726	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Rucker, Fuller, Blue Lakes LCCP
811	19	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Retained LCCP
812	9	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Retained LCCP
813	578	CAL FIRE	Placer Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
813	684.3	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Retained LCCP
813	62.7	SJCOE	Wildlife Heritage Foundation	Lake Spaulding-Sky Mountain SJCOE Donation LCCP
814	567	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Rucker, Fuller, Blue Lakes LCCP
815	75	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Rucker, Fuller, Blue Lakes LCCP
816	158	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Rucker, Fuller, Blue Lakes LCCP
817	332	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Rucker, Fuller, Blue Lakes LCCP
818	12	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Rucker, Fuller, Blue Lakes LCCP
819	155	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Rucker, Fuller, Blue Lakes LCCP
820	80	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Rucker, Fuller, Blue Lakes LCCP
821	330	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Rucker, Fuller, Blue Lakes LCCP
822	159	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Rucker, Fuller, Blue Lakes LCCP
823	4.5	NID	N/A	Lake Spaulding-Condemnation-Bowman canal/Spaulding
823	67.5	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Rucker, Fuller, Blue Lakes LCCP
824	104	CAL FIRE	Placer Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
824	60	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lake Spaulding-Retained LCCP
825	304	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Deer Creek NID Asset Sale LCCP
826	364.5	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Deer Creek NID Asset Sale LCCP
827	3	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Deer Creek NID Asset Sale LCCP
828	10	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
829	465	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-BYLT Retained LCCP
829	13.9	NID	N/A	Bear River-Condemnation Dutch Flat Chicago Park

830	73	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-BYLT Retained LCCP
831	210	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-BYLT Retained LCCP
831	0.5	NID	N/A	Bear River-Condemnation Dutch Flat Chicago Park
832	183	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-BYLT Retained LCCP
832	6	NID	N/A	Bear River-Condemnation Dutch Flat Chicago Park
833	132	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-BYLT Retained LCCP
834	137	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
835	26	PG&E	Partial Interest Parcel	Bear River-Partial Interest
836	81	CAL FIRE	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-CAL FIRE BYLT LCCP
836	4.5	NID	N/A	Lake Spaulding-Condemnation-Bowman canal/Spaulding
837	84	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-BYLT Retained LCCP
837	76	CAL FIRE	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-CAL FIRE BYLT LCCP
837	3.8	NID	N/A	Lake Spaulding-Condemnation-Bear Valley Houses
838	116	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-BYLT Retained LCCP
838	39	CAL FIRE	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-CAL FIRE BYLT LCCP
838	4.8	NID	N/A	Lake Spaulding-Condemnation-Bear Valley Houses
839	897	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-BYLT Retained LCCP
839	71	CAL FIRE	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-CAL FIRE BYLT LCCP
839	63	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Deer Creek NID Asset Sale LCCP
840	1.3	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-BYLT Retained LCCP
840	7.3	PG&E	NSPIV	Bear River-No Significant Public Interest Value
840	38	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Deer Creek NID Asset Sale LCCP
841	1	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-BYLT Retained LCCP
842	4	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Deer Creek NID Asset Sale LCCP
843	11	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-BYLT Retained LCCP
844	97	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-BYLT Retained LCCP
845	247.7	CAL FIRE	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-CAL FIRE PLT LCCP
845	225.9	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
846	638.5	CAL FIRE	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-CAL FIRE PLT LCCP
846	1486	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
847	43.9	CAL FIRE	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-CAL FIRE PLT LCCP
847	30.3	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
848	28.2	CAL FIRE	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-CAL FIRE PLT LCCP
848	137.6	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
849	19	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
850	63	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
851	74	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
852	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Bear River-No Significant Public Interest Value
853	56	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
854	144.1	CAL FIRE	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-CAL FIRE PLT LCCP
854	17	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP

855	61.1	CAL FIRE	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-CAL FIRE PLT LCCP
855	18.8	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
856	9	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
857	22.4	CAL FIRE	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-CAL FIRE PLT LCCP
857	101.5	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
858	59	PG&E	Partial Interest Parcel	Bear River-Partial Interest
859	10	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-BYLT Retained LCCP
860	79	PG&E	Partial Interest Parcel	Bear River-Partial Interest
861	78	PG&E	Partial Interest Parcel	Bear River-Partial Interest
862	21	PG&E	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Bear River-BYLT Retained LCCP
862	3.4	NID	N/A	Bear River-Condemnation Dutch Flat Chicago Park
863	152	PG&E	Partial Interest Parcel	Bear River-Partial Interest
864	40	PG&E	Partial Interest Parcel	Bear River-Partial Interest
865	62	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
866	7	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
867	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Bear River-No Significant Public Interest Value
868	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Bear River-No Significant Public Interest Value
869	20	PG&E	Partial Interest Parcel	Bear River-Partial Interest
870	2	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Bear River-PLT Retained LCCP
871	10	Placer County	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Pinecroft Preserve LCCP
871	11	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Upper Pinecroft LCCP
872	41	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Old Airport LCCP
873	158	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Old Airport LCCP
874	2	PG&E	Wildlife Heritage Foundation	Lower Drum-Folsom LCCP
875	10	PG&E	Wildlife Heritage Foundation	Lower Drum-Folsom LCCP
876	145	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Halsey LCCP
877	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
878	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
879	39	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Upper Pinecroft LCCP
880	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
881	24	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Halsey LCCP
882	1	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Halsey LCCP
883	1	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Halsey LCCP
884	1	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Halsey LCCP
885	110	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Halsey LCCP
886	136	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Halsey LCCP
887	69	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Halsey LCCP
888	16	ARD	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Christian Valley Donation LCCP
888	50	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Halsey LCCP
889	1	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Halsey LCCP
890	2	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Halsey LCCP

891	8	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Halsey LCCP
892	75	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Rock Creek LCCP
893	24	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Bell Road LCCP
893	75	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Rock Creek LCCP
894	2	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Bell Road LCCP
895	2	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Bell Road LCCP
896	9	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Rock Creek LCCP
897	29	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Rock Creek LCCP
898	2	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
899	12	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
900	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
901	1	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Wise Forebay LCCP
902	6	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Wise Forebay LCCP
903	6	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Wise Forebay LCCP
904	3	PG&E	Placer Land Trust	Lower Drum-Wise Forebay LCCP
905	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
906	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
907	6	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
908	2	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
909	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
910	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
911	3	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
912	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
913	3	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
914	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
915	1	PG&E	NSPIV	Lower Drum-No Significant Public Interest Value
916	41	University of California	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Narrows-UC Donation LCCP
917	23	YCWA	Bear Yuba Land Trust	Narrows-Retained LCCP
918	24	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
919	28	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
920	4	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
921	26	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
922	3	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
923	1	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
924	7	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
925	13	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
926	16	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
927	19	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
928	3	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
929	4	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
930	8	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP

931	2	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
932	3	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
933	1	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
934	5	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
935	3	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
936	44	SMUD	Mother Lode Land Trust	Chili Bar LCCP
937	45	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Blue Lakes-USFS LCCP
938	78	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Blue Lakes-USFS LCCP
939	80	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Blue Lakes-USFS LCCP
940	240	Tuolumne County	Mother Lode Land Trust	Kennedy Meadows LCCP
941	0.3	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Blue Lakes-Retained LCCP
941	39.2	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Blue Lakes-USFS LCCP
942	94.1	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Blue Lakes-Retained LCCP
942	163.1	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Blue Lakes-USFS LCCP
943	225	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Blue Lakes-Retained LCCP
944	373	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Blue Lakes-Retained LCCP
945	140	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Blue Lakes-Retained LCCP
946	151	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Blue Lakes-Retained LCCP
947	412	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Blue Lakes-Retained LCCP
948	132	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lower Bear-Cole Creek LCCP
949	134	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lower Bear-Cole Creek LCCP
950	224	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lower Bear-Cole Creek LCCP
951	417	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lower Bear-Cole Creek LCCP
952	425	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lower Bear-Upper Bear LCCP
953	41	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lower Bear-Upper Bear LCCP
954	41	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lower Bear-Upper Bear LCCP
955	41	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lower Bear-Upper Bear LCCP
956	160	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lower Bear-Upper Bear LCCP
957	39	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lower Bear-Upper Bear LCCP
958	152	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lower Bear-Upper Bear LCCP
959	77	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
960	77	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	North Fork Mokelumne-USFS Donation LCCP
961	198	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
962	1	CAL FIRE	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
963	179	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
964	4	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
965	86	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
966	41	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
967	160	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
968	82	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
969	17	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP

970	41	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
971	41	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
972	39	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
973	42	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
974	186.4	CAL FIRE	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
974	101	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
975	38	CAL FIRE	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
975	2	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
976	102	CAL FIRE	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
976	18	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
977	118.3	CAL FIRE	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
977	42	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
978	69.4	CAL FIRE	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
978	11	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
979	80	CAL FIRE	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
980	101.2	CAL FIRE	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
980	57	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
981	48.7	CAL FIRE	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
981	44	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
982	306.4	CAL FIRE	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-CAL FIRE Donation LCCP
982	18	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
983	69	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
984	90	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
985	1	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
986	23	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
987	18	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
988	36	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
989	2	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
990	3	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
991	2	PG&E	NSPIV	North Fork Mokelumne-No Significant Public Interest Value
992	1	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
993	1	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
994	10	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
995	118	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
996	310	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
997	153	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
998	1	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
999	2	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
1000	7	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
1001	2	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
1002	4	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP

1003	31	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
1004	6	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
1005	61	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
1006	46	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
1007	34	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
1008	7	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
1009	15	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	North Fork Mokelumne-Retained LCCP
1010	70	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Middle Fork Stanislaus River LCCP
1011	146	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Middle Fork Stanislaus River LCCP
1012	43	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Middle Fork Stanislaus River LCCP
1013	161	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Middle Fork Stanislaus River LCCP
1014	82	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Middle Fork Stanislaus River LCCP
1015	15	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Middle Fork Stanislaus River LCCP
1016	41	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lyons Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1017	365	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lyons Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1018	4	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lyons Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1019	39	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lyons Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1020	5	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lyons Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1021	1	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lyons Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1022	1	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lyons Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1023	4	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Lyons Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1024	160	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lyons Reservoir-USFS LCCP
1025	158	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lyons Reservoir-USFS LCCP
1026	155	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lyons Reservoir-USFS LCCP
1027	155	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Lyons Reservoir-USFS LCCP
1028	1	MID	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Merced River Asset Sale-MID LCCP
1029	2	MID	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Merced River Asset Sale-MID LCCP
1030	3	MID	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Merced River Asset Sale-MID LCCP
1031	10	MID	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Merced River Asset Sale-MID LCCP
1032	8	MID	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Merced River Asset Sale-MID LCCP
1033	832	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Bass Lake LCCP
1033	0.3	PG&E	NSPIV	Bass Lake-No Significant Public Interest Value
1034	149	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Bass Lake LCCP
1035	42	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Bass Lake LCCP
1036	1	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Bass Lake LCCP
1037	1	Sold	Sold	Bass Lake-Sold
1038	1	Sold	Sold	Bass Lake-Sold
1039	0.06	PG&E	NSPIV	Bass Lake-No Significant Public Interest Value
1040	61	PG&E	Mother Lode Land Trust	Bass Lake LCCP
1041	1	Sold	Sold	Bass Lake-Sold
1042	1	Sold	Sold	Bass Lake-Sold

1043	1	Sold	Sold	Bass Lake-Sold
1044	1	Sold	Sold	Bass Lake-Sold
1045	146	Madera County	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Manzanita Lake-Madera County Donation LCCP
1045	244	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Manzanita Lake-Retained LCCP
1046	26	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Manzanita Lake-Retained LCCP
1047	4	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Manzanita Lake-Retained LCCP
1048	6	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Manzanita Lake-Retained LCCP
1049	3	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Manzanita Lake-Retained LCCP
1050	3	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Manzanita Lake-Retained LCCP
1051	3	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Manzanita Lake-Retained LCCP
1052	41	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Manzanita Lake-Retained LCCP
1053	3	PG&E	NSPIV	Willow Creek-No Significant Public Interest Value
1054	2	PG&E	NSPIV	Willow Creek-No Significant Public Interest Value
1055	41	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Willow Creek-Retained LCCP
1056	10	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Willow Creek-Retained LCCP
1057	44	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Willow Creek-Retained LCCP
1058	146	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Willow Creek-Retained LCCP
1059	171	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Kerckhoff Lake LCCP
1060	156	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Kerckhoff Lake LCCP
1061	29	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Auberry LCCP
1061	12.7	PG&E	NSPIV	Auberry-No Significant Public Interest Value
1062	41	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Wishon Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1063	157	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Wishon Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1064	311.9	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Wishon Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1064	167.1	USFS	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Wishon Reservoir-USFS Donation LCCP
1065	82	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Wishon Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1066	81	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Wishon Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1067	80	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Wishon Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1068	40	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Wishon Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1069	81	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Wishon Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1070	323	PG&E	Sierra Foothill Conservancy	Wishon Reservoir-Retained LCCP
1071	100	PG&E	Sequoia Riverlands Trust	Kings River LCCP
1072	40	PG&E	Sequoia Riverlands Trust	Doyle Springs-Retained LCCP
1073	625	Kern & Tule Hydro	Sequoia Riverlands Trust	Kern River LCCP
1074	7	Kern & Tule Hydro	Sequoia Riverlands Trust	Kern River LCCP
1075	21	Kern & Tule Hydro	Sequoia Riverlands Trust	Kern River LCCP
1077	3	PG&E	NSPIV	Doyle Springs-No Significant Public Interest Value



PACIFIC FOREST AND WATERSHED
LANDS STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL